

# PSEUDO-SLANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN R-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD AND THEIR PROPERTIES

PRASHANT KUMAR SHUKLA<sup>1</sup>, GEETA VERMA<sup>1</sup>, VIRENDRA NATH PATHAK<sup>2</sup>

*Manuscript received: 22.12.2022; Accepted paper: 04.08.2023;*

*Published online: 30.09.2023.*

**Abstract.** *The present paper aims to study pseudo-slant submanifolds of an r-Sasakian manifold and find few results. The integrability conditions of distributions that involve in the definition of pseudo-slant submanifolds of an r-Sasakian manifold are investigated. Finally, the necessary & sufficient condition for a pseudo-slant submanifold of an r-Sasakian manifold to be the pseudo-slant product is obtained successfully.*

**Keywords:** *Slant submanifold; Pseudo-slant submanifold; r-Sasakian manifold; totally geodesic.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], D. Blair investigated r-contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry. A. Lotta defined and studied slant submanifolds of an almost r-contact metric manifold in [2]. Later, submanifolds in Sasakian manifold were investigated by A.Carriazo [3] and J. L. Cabrerizo et al. [4]. Since B.Y. Chen introduced slant submanifolds in complex manifolds as a natural generalization of both invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds [5, 6] the differential geometry of slant submanifolds has exhibited growing progress. The existence of these submanifolds in many known spaces has since been the subject of numerous research articles. As a generalisation of slant submanifolds, N. Papaghuice [7] described semi-slant submanifolds of the Keahler manifold. An almost Hermitian manifold included the introduction of bi-slant submanifolds. In an almost Hermitian manifold, Carriazo recently defined and investigated bi-slant submanifolds and introduced the concept of pseudo-slant submanifold. In an r-Sasakian manifold, the contact version of the pseudo-slant submanifold was defined and investigated by V.A. Khan and M.A. Khan [8]. The pseudo-slant submanifolds of trans-r-Sasakian manifolds were also explored by U.C. De and Avijit Sarkar in [9]. M.A. Khan reported a number of findings in [10] on totally umbilical hemi-slant submanifolds of Cosymplectic manifolds. Recently, M. Atceken [11] explored the geometry of pseudo-slant submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold in [12, 13] for approximately Cosymplectic manifolds, as well as slant and pseudo-slant submanifolds in (LCS)n-manifolds and CR-submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds in [14].

S. Uddin et al. researched the warped product pseudo-slant submanifolds of a nearly Cosymplectic manifold in [15]. S.K. Srivastava et al have found Characterizations of PR-Pseudo-Slant Warped Product Submanifold of Para-Kenmotsu Manifold with Slant Base in [16]. F. Alghamdi, B.Y. Chen & S. Uddin studied S. Geometry of Pointwise Semi-slant

---

<sup>1</sup> Shri Ramswaroop Memorial College of Engineering and Management, Department of Mathematics, Lucknow, India. E-mail: [pshukla7@yahoo.com](mailto:pshukla7@yahoo.com); [geeta.verma15@gmail.com](mailto:geeta.verma15@gmail.com).

<sup>2</sup> Shri Ramswaroop Memorial University, Faculty of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Lucknow, India. E-mail: [pathak\\_virendra@rediffmail.com](mailto:pathak_virendra@rediffmail.com).

Warped Products in Locally Conformal Kaehler Manifolds in [17]. For nearly trans-r-Sasakian manifolds in [18] and for nearly Kenmotsu manifolds in [15], S. Uddin and M.A. Khan discovered a classification on totally umbilical proper slant and hemi-slant submanifolds. We find some intriguing results on pseudo-slant submanifolds of an r-Sasakian manifold as a result of the aforementioned investigation. For the recent studies on pseudo-slant submanifolds we refer to [2, 7, 8, 15, 16, 19-29] and many more.

The pseudo-slant submanifolds of an r-Sasakian manifold have certain characteristics, which we uncover in this study. We provide a basic overview of an r-Sasakian manifold and their submanifolds, along with some formulas. Additionally, we provide some fundamental definitions and results for a pseudo-slant submanifold of almost r-contact metric manifolds. In the context of an r-Sasakian manifold, we obtain the integrability conditions of distributions on the pseudo-slant submanifolds and then obtain comparable findings for these submanifolds. A pseudo-slant submanifold of an r-Sasakian manifold must satisfy both a necessary and sufficient condition in order to be a pseudo-slant product, which we finally obtain.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\bar{M}$  be an odd dimensional  $C^\infty$ -differentiable manifold with the almost r-contact metric structure  $(J, \xi, \eta, g)$ , where  $J$  is a tensor field of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $\xi$  is a vector field,  $\eta$  is a 1-form and  $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $\bar{M}$ , satisfying

$$J^2X = -X + \eta^p(X) \xi_p, \quad (1)$$

$$J \xi_p = 0, \eta^p \circ \phi = 0, \eta^p(\xi_p) = 1, g(X, \xi_p) = \eta^p(X), \quad (2)$$

and

$$g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y) - \eta^p(X) \eta^p(Y), \quad g(JX, Y) = -g(X, JY), \quad (3)$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$ . An almost r-contact structure  $(J, \xi_p, \eta^p, g)$  is said to be normal if the almost complex structure  $\phi$  on the product manifold  $\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\phi \left( X, f \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \left( JX - f \xi_p, \eta^p(X) \frac{d}{dt} \right),$$

where  $f$  is the  $C^\infty$ -function on  $\bar{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ . The condition for normality in terms of  $J, \xi_p$  and  $\eta^p$  is  $[J, J] + 2d\eta^p \otimes \xi_p = 0$  on  $\bar{M}$ , where  $[J, J](X, Y) = J^2[X, Y] + [JX, JY] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$  is the Nijenhuis tensor of  $J$ . Finally, the fundamental 2-form  $\phi$  is defined by

$$\phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y).$$

A normal almost r-contact metric structure is called an r-Sasakian structure, which satisfies

$$(\nabla_X J) = g(X, Y) \xi_p - \eta^p(Y) X \quad (4)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X \xi_p) = -JX \quad (5)$$

For any vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$ . Then an almost r-contact metric structure  $(\bar{M}, J, \xi_p, \eta^p, g)$  is called an r-Sasakian manifold.

Now, let  $M$  be a submanifold of an  $r$ -contact metric manifold  $\bar{M}$  with induced metric  $g$ . Also let  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^\perp$  be the induced connections on the tangent bundle  $TM$  and the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$  of  $M$ , respectively. Then the Gauss and Wiengarten formulas are, respectively given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \tag{6}$$

and

$$\bar{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + \nabla^\perp X, \tag{7}$$

where  $h$  and  $A_V$  are the second fundamental form and the shape operator corresponding to the normal vector field  $V$ , respectively, for the immersion of  $M$  into  $\bar{M}$ .

The second fundamental form and shape operator are related by formula

$$g(h(X, Y), V) = g(A_V X, Y) \tag{8}$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ .  $M$  is said to be totally geodesic submanifold if  $h(X, Y) = 0$  for each  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

**Example 1.** We consider  $R^{2n+1}$  with Cartesian coordinates  $(x_i, y_i, z_i) (i = 1, \dots, n)$  and its usual contact form

$$\eta^p = \frac{1}{2}(dz - \sum y_i dx_i).$$

The characteristic vector field  $\xi_p$  is given by  $2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  and its Riemannian metric  $g$  and its tensor field  $J$  are given by

$$g = \eta^p \otimes \eta^p + \frac{1}{4}(\sum((dx_i)^2 + (dy_i)^2)), \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{ij} & 0 \\ -\delta_{ij} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, i = 1, \dots, n$$

This gives an  $r$ -contact structure on  $R^{2n+1}$ . The vector fields  $E_i = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, E_{n+i} = 2(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}), \xi_p$  form a  $J$  – basis for the  $r$ -contact metric structure. On the other hand, it can be shown that  $R^{2n+1}(J, \xi_p, \eta^p, g)$  is a  $r$ -Sasakian manifold.

### 3. PSEUDO-SLANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN R-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

We obtain the integrability conditions of the distributions of pseudo-slant submanifolds of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold. At last, we will get necessary and sufficient conditions for a pseudo-slant submanifold to be pseudo-slant product. In contact geometry A. Lotta introduced slant submanifold as follows [2]:

**Definition 1.** A submanifold  $M$  of an almost  $r$ -contact metric manifold  $\bar{M}$  is said to be a slant submanifold if for any  $p \in M$  and  $X \in T_p M - \{\xi_p\}$ , the angle between  $JX$  and  $T_p M$  is constant. The constant angle  $\theta X \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  is called slant angle of  $M$  in  $\bar{M}$ .

- (1) If  $\theta = 0$  the submanifold is invariant submanifold.
- (2) If  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  then it is anti-invariant submanifold.
- (3) If  $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$  then it is proper slant submanifold.

The tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$  is decomposed as  $TM = D \oplus \langle \xi_p \rangle$ , where the orthogonal complementary distribution  $D$  of  $\langle \xi_p \rangle$  is known as the slant distribution on  $M$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $M$  be a submanifold of an almost  $r$ -contact metric manifold  $\bar{M}$ .  $M$  is said to be pseudo-slant of  $\bar{M}$  if there exist two orthogonal distributions  $D_\theta$  and  $D^\perp$  on  $M$  such that:

- (1)  $TM$  has the orthogonal direct decomposition  $TM = D^\perp \oplus D_\theta \oplus \langle \xi_p \rangle$ .
- (2) The distribution  $D^\perp$  is an anti-invariant submanifold.
- (3) The distribution  $D_\theta$  is a slant, which is the slant angle between of  $D_\theta$  and  $JD_\theta$  is constant.

Let  $m = \dim(D^\perp)$  and  $n = \dim(D_\theta)$ . We distinguish the following five cases.

- (1) If  $n = 0$  or  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then  $M$  is an anti-invariant submanifold.
- (2) If  $m = 0$  and  $\theta = 0$ , then  $M$  is invariant submanifold.
- (3) If  $m = 0$  and  $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then  $M$  is a proper slant submanifold.
- (4) If  $m, n \neq 0$  and  $\theta = 0$ , then  $M$  is semi-invariant submanifold.
- (5) If  $m, n \neq 0$  and  $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then  $M$  is pseudo-slant submanifold [8].

Now we give the following results in the setting of almost  $r$ -contact manifolds given by Cabrerizo et.al [19].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $M$  be a slant submanifold of an almost  $r$ -contact metric manifold  $\bar{M}$  such that  $\xi_p \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then  $M$  is slant submanifold if and only if there exists a constant  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that.

Now, let  $M$  be a submanifold of an almost  $r$ -contact metric manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we can write

$$JX = \phi X + \omega X, \quad (9)$$

where  $\phi X$  and  $\lambda X$  are the tangential and normal component of  $JX$  respectively.

Similarly, for  $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ , we have

$$JV = BV + CV \quad (10)$$

where  $BV$  and  $CV$  are the tangential and normal component of  $JV$ . Then, using (1), (9) and (10), we have

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta^p \otimes \xi_p - B\omega, \quad \omega\phi + C\omega = 0, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\phi B + BC = 0, \quad \omega B + C^2 = -I \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have  $g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y)$  and  $U, V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ , we get  $g(U, CV) = -g(U, BV)$ . These show that  $\phi$  and  $C$  are skew symmetric tensor fields. Moreover, for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ , we get

$$g(\omega X, V) = -g(X, BV) \quad (13)$$

which gives the relation between  $\omega$  and  $B$ .

Furthermore, the covariant derivatives of the tensor field  $\phi, \omega, B$  and  $C$  are respectively defined by

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \nabla_X \phi Y - \phi \nabla_X Y, \quad (14)$$

$$(\nabla_X \omega)Y = \nabla_X^\perp \omega Y - \phi \omega_X Y, \quad (15)$$

$$(\nabla_X B)Y = \nabla_X B Y - B \nabla_X^\perp Y, \quad (16)$$

$$(\nabla_X C)Y = \nabla_X^\perp C Y - C \nabla_X^\perp Y. \quad (17)$$

A submanifold  $M$  is said to be invariant if  $\omega$  is identically zero, that is  $JX \in \Gamma(TM)$  for all  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . On the other hand,  $M$  is said to be anti-invariant if  $\phi$  is identically zero, that is  $JX \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  for all  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Now, we get easily

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = A_{\omega Y} X + B h(X, Y), \quad (18)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X \omega)Y = Ch(X, Y) - h(X, \phi Y), \quad (19)$$

Similarly, for any  $V \in \Gamma(T^2 M)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we obtain

$$(\nabla_X B)Y = A_{CY} X + \phi A_V X, \quad (20)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X C)Y = -h(BV, X) - \omega A_V X. \quad (21)$$

since  $M$  is tangent to  $\xi_p$  then using (5), (6), (8) and (9)

$$\nabla_{\xi_p} \xi_p = 0, \quad h(\xi_p, \xi_p) = 0, \quad A_V \xi_p = 0 \quad (22)$$

for all  $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  and  $\xi_p \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

Now, we have the following result of an almost r-contact manifold given by Cabrerizo et al. [19].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $M$  be a slant submanifold of an almost r-contact manifold of  $\bar{M}$  such that  $\xi_p \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then,  $M$  is slant submanifold if and only if there exists a constant  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\phi^2 = -\lambda(I - \eta^p \otimes \xi_p) \quad (23)$$

furthermore, in this case, if  $\theta$  is slant angle of  $M$ , then  $\lambda = \cos^2 \theta$  [19].

**Corollary 1.** Let  $M$  be a slant submanifold of an almost r-contact manifold of  $\bar{M}$  with slant angle  $\theta$  then for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = \cos^2 \theta (g(X, Y) - \eta^p(X)\eta^p(Y)), \quad (24)$$

and

$$g(\omega X, \omega Y) = \sin^2 \theta (g(X, Y) - \eta^p(X)\eta^p(Y)). \quad (25)$$

By using (18) and (22), we get

$$\eta^p((\nabla_X T)Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta^p(X)\eta^p(Y) \quad (26)$$

for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\theta)$ .

If we denote the projection on  $D^\perp$  and  $D^\theta$  by  $P$  and  $Q$  respectively then for any vector field  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ , We can write

$$X = PX + QX + \eta^p(X)\xi_p \quad (27)$$

Now operating  $J$  on both sides of equation (27), we get

$$JX = JPX + JQX$$

and

$$\phi X + \omega X = \omega PX + \phi QX + \omega QX.$$

we can easily see that

$$\phi X = \phi QX, \omega X = \omega PX + \omega QX,$$

and

$$JPX = \omega PX, \phi PX = 0, JQX = \phi QX + \omega QX, \phi QX \in \Gamma(D_\theta).$$

If we denote the orthogonal complementary of  $J(TM)$  in  $T^\perp M$  by  $\mu$ , then the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$  can be decomposed as follows

$$T^\perp M = \omega(D^\perp) \oplus \omega(D_\theta) \oplus \mu. \quad (28)$$

We can easily see that bundle  $\mu$  is an invariant sub bundle with respect to  $J$ . Since  $D^\perp$  and  $D_\theta$  are orthogonal distributions on  $M$ ,  $g(Z, X) = 0$  for each  $Z \in (D^\perp)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ . Thus, by equation (3) and (9), we can write

$$g(\omega Z, \omega X) = g(JZ, JX) = g(Z, X) = 0,$$

that is distributions  $\omega(D^\perp)$  and  $\omega(D_\theta)$  are also mutually perpendicular. In fact, decomposition (28) is an orthogonal direct decomposition.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $M$  be a submanifold of an almost  $r$ -contact metric manifold of  $\bar{M}$ . Then  $D^\theta$  is slant distribution if and only if there is a constant  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$(\phi Q)^2 X = -\lambda X. \quad (29)$$

for any  $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ . In this case, the slant angle  $\theta$  satisfies  $\lambda = \cos^2 \theta$ .

Moreover, for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $U \in \Gamma(TM)$ , also by using (4), (7) and (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(A_{\omega Z}W - A_{\omega W}Z, U) &= g(h(W, U), \omega Z) - g(h(Z, U), \omega W) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_U W, JZ) - g(\bar{\nabla}_U Z, JW) \\ &= -g(J\bar{\nabla}_U W, Z) + g(J\bar{\nabla}_U Z, W) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_U JZ - (\bar{\nabla}_U J)Z, W) + g((\bar{\nabla}_U J)W - \bar{\nabla}_U JW, Z) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_U JZ, W) - g(\bar{\nabla}_U JW, Z) \\ &= -g(A_{\omega Z}U, W) + g(A_{\omega W}U, Z) \\ &= g(A_{\omega W}Z - A_{\omega Z}W, U). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(A_{\omega W}Z = A_{\omega Z}W). \tag{30}$$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ , then

$$\nabla_W^\perp \omega Z - \nabla_Z^\perp \omega W \in (D^\perp)$$

for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $V \in \mu$  and using (4), (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_W^\perp \omega Z - \nabla_Z^\perp \omega W, V) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_W JZ + A_{JZ}W - \bar{\nabla}_Z JW + A_{JW}Z, V) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_W JZ - \bar{\nabla}_Z JW, V) \\ &= g((\bar{\nabla}_W J)Z + J\bar{\nabla}_W Z, V) - g((\bar{\nabla}_Z J)W + J\bar{\nabla}_Z W, V) \\ &= g(J\bar{\nabla}_W Z, V) - g(J\bar{\nabla}_Z W, V) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_W Z, JV) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Z W, JV) \\ &= g(\nabla_W Z, V) - g(\nabla_Z W, V) + g(h(Z, W), JV) - g(h(W, Z), JV) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then the anti-invariant distribution  $D^\perp$  is completely integrable and its maximal integral submanifold is an anti-invariant submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ , by using (4), (6), (7) and (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], X) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_Z W - \bar{\nabla}_W Z, X) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_W X, Z) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Z X, W) \\ &= g(J\bar{\nabla}_W X, JZ) - g(J\bar{\nabla}_Z X, JW) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_W JX, JZ) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Z JX, JW) - g((\bar{\nabla}_W J)X, JZ) + g((\bar{\nabla}_Z J)X, JW) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_W \phi X + \bar{\nabla}_W \omega X, \omega Z) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi X + \bar{\nabla}_Z \omega X, \omega W) \\ &= g(h(\phi X, W), \omega Z) - g(h(\phi X, Z), \omega W) + g(\nabla_W^\perp \omega X, \omega Z) - g(\nabla_Z^\perp \omega X, \omega W) \\ &= g(A_{\omega Z}W - A_{\omega W}Z, \phi X) + g(\nabla_W^\perp \omega X, \omega Z) - g(\nabla_Z^\perp \omega X, \omega W), \end{aligned}$$

by using (15), (19) and (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], X) &= g(\nabla_W^\perp \omega X, \omega Z) - g(\nabla_Z^\perp \omega X, \omega W) \\ &= g((\nabla_W \omega)X + \omega \nabla_W X, \omega Z) - g((\nabla_Z \omega)X + \omega \nabla_Z X, \omega W) \\ &= g(\text{Ch}(W, X) - h(W, \phi X), \omega Z) - g(\text{Ch}(Z, X) - h(Z, \phi X), \omega W) \\ &\quad + g(\omega \nabla_W X, \omega Z) - g(\omega \nabla_Z X, \omega W) \\ &= -g(h(W, \phi X), \omega Z) + g(h(Z, \phi X), \omega W) + g(\omega \nabla_W X, \omega Z) - g(\omega \nabla_Z X, \omega W) \end{aligned}$$

by using (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], X) &= \sin \theta g(\nabla_W X, Z) - \sin^\theta g(\nabla_Z X, W) \\ &= \sin \theta g(\nabla_Z W, X) - \sin^\theta g(\nabla_W Z, X) \\ &= \sin^2 \theta g([Z, W], X), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\cos^2 \theta g([Z, W], X) = 0.$$

Thus  $[Z, W] \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ , that is, anti-invariant distribution  $D^\perp$  is always integrable and its integral submanifold is an anti-invariant submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ .

Thus, the proof is complete.

Now, by using (4), we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y = \bar{\nabla}_X JY - J\bar{\nabla}_X Y = g(X, Y)\xi_p - \eta^p(Y)X.$$

Hence by using (6), (7), (9) and (10), we have

$$-A_{\omega Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \omega Y - \phi \nabla_X Y - Bh(X, Y) - Ch(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\xi_p - \eta^p(Y)X,$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . From the tangential component of this last equation, we have

$$A_{\omega Y}X + \phi \nabla_X Y + Bh(X, Y) + g(X, Y)\xi_p = 0. \quad (31)$$

By interchanging roles of  $X$  and  $Y$  in (31), we have

$$A_{\omega X}Y + \phi \nabla_Y X + Bh(Y, X) + g(Y, X)\xi_p = 0, \quad (32)$$

which is equivalent to

$$T[X, Y] = A_{\omega X}Y - A_{\omega Y}X.$$

From (30), we can easily see that the anti-invariant distribution  $D^\perp$  is always integrable. Since the ambient manifold  $\bar{M}$  is Sasakian, for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Z J)W = g(Z, W)\xi_p - \eta^p(W)Z,$$

which implies that

$$\bar{\nabla}_Z JW - J\bar{\nabla}_Z W = \bar{\nabla}_Z \omega W - J(\nabla_Z W + h(W, Z)) - g(Z, W)\xi_p$$

So, we have

$$-A_{\omega W}Z + \nabla_Z^\perp \omega W - \phi \nabla_Z W - \omega \nabla_Z W - Bh(W, Z) - Ch(W, Z) - g(Z, W)\xi_p = 0.$$

From the tangential components of the last equation, we have

$$A_{\omega W}Z + \phi \nabla_Z W + Ch(W, Z) + g(Z, W)\xi_p.$$

From the above equation, we obtain

$$T[W, Z] = A_{\omega W}Z + \phi \nabla_Z W + Ch(W, Z)$$

The anti-invariant distribution  $D^\perp$  is integrable,  $J[Z, W] = \omega[Z, W]$  because tangential component of  $J[Z, W]$  is zero. So, we have

$$A_{\omega W}Z + \phi \nabla_Z W + Ch(W, Z) = 0. \quad (33)$$

Similarly, we get,



$$A_{\omega Z}W + \phi \nabla_W Z + Ch(Z, W) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Here, by using (30), (33) and (34), we have

$$(\nabla_Z \phi)W = (\nabla_W \phi)Z.$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ , Then we get

$$(\nabla_Z \phi)W = (\nabla_W \phi)Z, \quad (35)$$

for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then the slant distribution  $D_\theta$  is integrable if and only if

$$P_1\{\nabla_X \phi Y - \phi \nabla_X Y - A_{\omega Y}X - Bh(X, Y) + g(X, Y)\xi_p - \eta^p(Y)X\} = 0, \quad (36)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ , by using (4) and considering the tangential component, we have

$$T[X, Y] = \nabla_X \phi Y - \phi \nabla_Y X - A_{\omega Y}X - Bh(X, Y) + g(X, Y)\xi_p - \eta^p(Y)X. \quad (37)$$

Applying  $P_1$  to (37), we have (36)

**Theorem 7.** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then the slant distribution  $D_\theta$  is integrable if and only if

$$\nabla_Z^\perp \omega W - \nabla_W^\perp \omega Z + h(Z, \phi W) - h(W, \phi Z) \in \mu \oplus \omega(D_\theta),$$

for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ , by using (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], X) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_Z W, X) - g(\bar{\nabla}_W Z, X) \\ &= g(J\bar{\nabla}_Z W, JX) + \eta^p(\bar{\nabla}_Z W)\eta^p(X) - g(J\bar{\nabla}_W Z, JX) - \eta^p(\bar{\nabla}_W Z)\eta^p(X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$g([Z, W], X) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Z J W, \omega X) - g((\bar{\nabla}_Z J)W, \omega X) - g(\bar{\nabla}_W J Z, \omega X) + g((\bar{\nabla}_W J)Z, \omega X).$$

Taking into account (4) and (9), we get

$$g([Z, W], X) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Z(\phi W + \omega W), \omega X) - g(\bar{\nabla}_W(\phi Z + \omega Z), \omega X).$$

Then from the Gauss and Weingarten formulas the above equation takes the form, we obtain

$$g([Z, W], X) = g(h(Z, \phi W), \omega X) + g(\nabla_Z^\perp \omega W, \omega X) - g(h(W, \phi Z), \omega X) + g(\nabla_W^\perp \omega Z, \omega X).$$

Since, we have  $\omega X \in (D^\perp) \subseteq (T^\perp M)$ , we conclude

$$\nabla_Z^\perp \omega W - \nabla_W^\perp \omega Z + h(Z, \phi W) - h(W, \phi Z) \in \mu \oplus \omega(D_\theta).$$

**Theorem 8.** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then the slant distribution  $D_\theta$  is integrable if and only if

$$\phi A_{\omega U} X + A_{\omega U} \phi X = 0,$$

for any  $U \in (D^\perp)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $U \in (D^\perp)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ , by direct calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], U) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X, U) \\ &= g(J\bar{\nabla}_X Y, JU) - g(J\bar{\nabla}_Y X, JU) \\ &= g(J\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \omega U) - g(J\bar{\nabla}_Y X, \omega U) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_X JY, \omega U) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Y JX, \omega U) - g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y, \omega U) + g((\bar{\nabla}_Y J)X, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using (4) and (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], U) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_Y \omega U, JX) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X \omega U, JY) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_Y \omega U, \phi X) + g(\bar{\nabla}_Y \omega U, \omega X) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X \omega U, \phi Y) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X \omega U, \omega Y) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (4), (6) and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X J)U &= \bar{\nabla}_X JU - J\bar{\nabla}_X U \\ g(X, U)\xi_p - \eta^p(U)X &= \bar{\nabla}_X \omega U - \phi \nabla_X U - \omega \nabla_X U - Bh(X, U) - Ch(X, U) \\ 0 &= \bar{\nabla}_X \omega U - \phi \nabla_X U - \omega \nabla_X U - Bh(X, U) - Ch(X, U) \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$-A_{\omega U} X + \nabla_X^\perp \omega U = \phi \nabla_X U + \omega \nabla_X U + Bh(X, U) + Ch(X, U),$$

From the tangential components, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -A_{\omega U} X &= \phi \nabla_X U + Bh(X, U) \\ (\nabla_X \omega)U &= Ch(X, U). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Also, by using (15) and (38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], U) &= g(A_{\omega U} X, \phi Y) - g(A_{\omega U} Y, \phi X) + g(\nabla_Y^\perp \omega U, \omega X) - g(\nabla_X^\perp \omega U, \omega Y) \\ &= -g(\phi A_{\omega U} X, Y) - g(A_{\omega U} \phi X, Y) + g((\nabla_Y \omega)U) + g(\omega \nabla_Y U, \omega X) \\ &\quad - g((\nabla_X \omega)U) + g(\omega \nabla_X U, \omega Y) \\ &= -g(\phi A_{\omega U} X, Y) - g(A_{\omega U} \phi X, Y) + g(Ch(Y, U), \omega X) + g(C\nabla_Y U, \omega X) \\ &\quad - g(Ch(X, U), \omega Y) - g(\omega \nabla_X U, \omega Y) \\ &= -g(\phi A_{\omega U} X, Y) - g(A_{\omega U} \phi X, Y) + g(\omega \nabla_Y U, \omega X) - g(\omega \nabla_X U, \omega Y) \\ &= -g(\phi A_{\omega U} X, Y) - g(A_{\omega U} \phi X, Y) + \sin^2 \theta \{g(\nabla_Y U, X) - g(\nabla_X U, Y)\} \\ &= -g(\phi A_{\omega U} X, Y) - g(A_{\omega U} \phi X, Y) + \sin^2 \theta \{g(\nabla_X Y, U) - g(\nabla_Y X, U)\} \\ &= -g(\phi A_{\omega U} X, Y) - g(A_{\omega U} \phi X, Y) + \sin^2 \theta \{g([X, Y], U)\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\cos^2\theta\{g([X, Y], U)\} = -g(\phi A_{\omega U}X, Y) - g(A_{\omega U}\phi X, Y)$$

For a pseudo-slant submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$ , the slant and anti-invariant distributions are totally geodesic in  $M$ , then  $M$  is called pseudo-slant product.

The following theorem characterizes the pseudo-slant product in an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then  $M$  is a pseudo-slant product if and only if the second fundamental form  $h$  satisfies

$$Bh(X, Z) = 0, \tag{39}$$

for all  $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

*Proof:* For all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$  and  $U, V \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X Y, U) &= -g(\nabla_X U, Y) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_X U, Y) \\ &= -g(J\bar{\nabla}_X U, JY) - \eta^p(\bar{\nabla}_X U)\eta^p(Y) \\ &= -g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)U - \bar{\nabla}_X J U, JY) - g(\nabla_X U + h(X, U), \xi_p)\eta^p(Y) \\ &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_X J U, JY) - g(\nabla_X U, \xi_p)\eta^p(Y) \\ &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_X J U, JY) + g(\nabla_X \xi_p, U)\eta^p(Y) \\ &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_X J U, \phi Y) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X J U, \omega Y). \end{aligned}$$

Now, put  $JU = \omega U$  and using (22), we obtain

$$g(\nabla_X Y, U) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_X \omega U, \phi Y) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X \omega U, \omega Y).$$

Using (6) and (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X Y, U) &= g(A_{\omega U}X - \nabla_X^\perp \omega U, \phi Y) + g(A_{\omega U}X - \nabla_X^\perp \omega U, \omega Y) \\ &= (A_{\omega U}X, \phi Y) - g((\nabla_X \omega)U, \omega Y) - g(\omega \nabla_X U, \omega Y) \\ &= (A_{\omega U}X, \phi Y) - g(\omega \nabla_X U, \omega Y) - g(Ch(X, U), \omega Y), \end{aligned}$$

Hence using (22) and (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X Y, U) &= g(A_{\omega U}X, \phi Y) - g(\omega \nabla_X U, \omega Y) \\ &= g(A_{\omega U}X, \phi Y) - \sin^2\theta\{g(\nabla_X U, Y) - \eta^p(\nabla_X U)\eta^p(Y)\} \\ &= g(h(X, \phi Y), \omega U) - \sin^2\theta g(\nabla_X U, Y) + \sin^2\theta g(\nabla_X U, \xi_p)\eta^p(Y) \\ &= g(h(X, \phi Y), \omega U) - \sin^2\theta g(\nabla_X Y, U) - \sin^2\theta g(\nabla_X \xi_p, U)\eta^p(Y) \\ &= g(h(X, \phi Y), \omega U) - \sin^2\theta g(\nabla_X Y, U) \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\cos^2\theta g(\nabla_X Y, U) = g(h(X, \phi Y), \omega U) = -g(Bh(X, \phi Y), U). \tag{40}$$

In the same way, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_V U, X) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_V U, X) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_V X, U) \\ &= -g(J\bar{\nabla}_V X, JU) - \eta^p(\bar{\nabla}_V X)\eta^p(U) \\ &= g((\bar{\nabla}_V J)X, JU) - g(\bar{\nabla}_V JX, JU) \end{aligned}$$

For  $U, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ , since the tangent component of  $JU$  and  $\phi U$  are zero, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_V U, X) &= g((\bar{\nabla}_V J)X, \omega U) - g(\bar{\nabla}_V JX, \omega U) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_V JX, \omega U) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_V \phi X, \omega U) - g(\bar{\nabla}_V \omega X, \omega U) \\ &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_V \phi X + h(\phi X, V), \omega U) + g(A_{\omega X} V - \nabla_V^\perp \omega X, \omega U) \\ &= -g(h(\phi X, V), \omega U) - g(\nabla_V^\perp \omega X, \omega U) \\ &= -g(h(\phi X, V), \omega U) - g((\nabla_V \omega)X + \omega \nabla_V X, \omega U), \end{aligned}$$

Hence using (22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_V U, X) &= -g(h(V, \phi X), \omega U) - g(\omega \nabla_V X, \omega U) + g(h(V, \phi X), \omega U) - g(\text{Ch}(V, X), \omega U) \\ &= -g(\omega \nabla_V X, \omega U) - g(\text{Ch}(V, X), \omega U) \\ &= g(\text{Ch}(V, X), \omega U) + \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_V U, X), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\cos^2 \theta g(\nabla_V U, X) = -g(\text{Ch}(V, X), \omega U) = g(\text{Bh}(V, X), U). \quad (41)$$

From equations (40) and (41). Thus  $D_\theta$  and  $D^\perp$  are totally geodesic in  $M$  if and only if (39) is satisfied.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-slant submanifold of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ . If  $\omega$  is parallel on  $D_\theta$ , then either  $M$  is a  $D_\theta$ -geodesic submanifold or  $h(X, Y)$  is an eigen vector of  $C^2$  with eigen values  $-\cos^2 \theta$ , for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ .

*Proof:* For any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ , from (19), we have

$$\text{Ch}(X, Y) - h(X, \phi Y) = 0 \quad (42)$$

Since  $D_\theta$  is a slant distribution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Ch}(X, Y - \eta^p(Y)\xi_p) - h(X, \phi(Y - \eta^p(Y)\xi_p)) \\ &= \text{Ch}(X, Y - \eta^p(Y)\xi_p) - h(X, \phi Y), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\text{Ch}(X, Y - \eta^p(Y)\xi_p) = h(X, \phi Y). \quad (43)$$

Now, applying  $C$  to (43), we obtain

$$C^2 h(X, Y - \eta^p(Y)\xi_p) = \text{Ch}(X, \phi Y).$$

by interchanging of  $Y$  and  $\phi Y$  in (3.34), we get

$$h(X, \phi^2 Y) = \text{Ch}(X, \phi Y).$$

Hence, using (23), we obtain

$$C^2 h(X, Y - \eta^p(Y)\xi_p) = Ch(X, \phi Y) = h(X, \phi^2 Y) = -\cos^2 \theta h(X, Y - \eta^p(Y)\xi_p)$$

This implies that either  $h$  vanishes on  $D_\theta$  or  $h$  is eigen vector of  $C^2$  with eigen values  $-\cos^2 \theta$ .

#### 4. CONCLUSION

We considered pseudo-slant submanifolds of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold and obtained basic results. The necessary & sufficient condition for a pseudo-slant submanifold of an  $r$ -Sasakian manifold to be the pseudo-slant product have been determined. Future studies could fruitfully explore this issue further by considering the para Sasakian manifold, Trans Sasakian manifold, etc.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Blair, D.E, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 509, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [2] Khan, M.N.I, *Tensor N.S.*, **68**(2), 154, 2007.
- [3] Carriazo, A., *New Developments in Slant Submanifolds Theory*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, India, 2002.
- [4] Cabrerizo, J.L., Carriazo, A., Fernandez, L.M., Fernandez, M., *Geometriae Dedicata*, **78**, 183, 1999.
- [5] Chen, B.Y., *Geometry of slant submanifolds*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, View at Zentralblatt Math., 1990.
- [6] Chen, B.Y., *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **41**, 135, 1990.
- [7] Khan, M.N.I., De, U. C., *AIMS Mathematics*, **7**(5), 7888, 2022.
- [8] Khan, V.A., Khan, M.A., *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **38**, 31, 2007.
- [9] De Chand, U., Sarkar, A., *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Science*, **60**(1), 2011.
- [10] Khan, M.A., *Mathematica Aeterna*, **3**(8), 845, 2013.
- [11] Atceken, M., Hui, S.K., *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, **63**, 177, 2013.
- [12] Atceken, M., Dirik, S., *Gulf journal of Mathematics*, **2**, 51, 2014.
- [13] Dirik, S., Atceken, M., *Turkish Journal of Mathematics and Computer Sciences*, **2**, 1, 2014.
- [14] Atceken, M., Dirik, S., *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae*, **4**, 182, 2012.
- [15] Uddin, S., Ozel, C., Khan, M.A., Singh, K., *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, **7**, 5538, 2012.
- [16] Srivastava, S.K., Mofarreh, F., Kumar, A., Ali, A., *Symmetry*, **14**, 1001, 2022.
- [17] Alghamdi, F., Chen, B.Y., Uddin, S., *Results Math*, **76**, 204, 2021.
- [18] Khan, M.A., Uddin, S., Singh, K., *Differential Geometry-dynamical System*, **13**, 117, 2011.
- [19] Cabrerizo, J.L., Carriazo, A., Fernandez, L.M., Fernandez, M., *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, **4**, 125, 2000.
- [20] Das, L.S., Khan, M.N.I., *Mathematical Sciences Research Journal*, **17**(10), 263, 2013.

- [21] Khan, M.N.I, Mofarreh, F., Haseeb, A., *Symmetry*, **15**(3), 753, 2023.
- [22] Khan, M.N.I, Mofarreh, F., Haseeb, A., Saxena, M., *Symmetry*, **15**(8), 1553, 2023.
- [23] Kumar, R., Colney, L., Khan, M.N.I., *Results in Nonlinear Analysis*, **6**(3), 50, 2023.
- [24] Khan, M.N.I., *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, **17**(1), 909, 2022.
- [25] Lotta, A., *Bulletin Mathematical Society, Roumanie*, **39**, 183, 1996.
- [26] Khan, M.N.I., *Journal of Science and Arts*, **53** (4), 801, 2019.
- [27] Papaghiuc, N., *Ann. St. Univ. Iasi*, tom. XL, S.I. **9**(f1), 55, 1994.
- [28] Sardar, A., Khan, M.N.I., De Chand, U, h-\*Ricci Solitons and Almost co-Kähler Manifolds, *Mathematics*, **9**(24), 3200, 2021.
- [29] Uddin, S., Bernardine, W.R., Mustafa, A.A., *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2012**, 420890, 2012.