

# ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF TRIMMED L-MOMENTS ESTIMATOR FOR ARCHIMEDEAN COPULAS

IDIOU NESRINE<sup>1</sup>, BENATIA FATAH<sup>1</sup>

Manuscript received: 02.11.2022; Accepted paper: 03.02.2023;

Published online: 30.03.2023.

**Abstract.** In order to present a new estimation approach for multi-parameter distributions without a mean or for heavy tailed distributions, in which the L-moments method proposed by Gumbel, (1960), is invalid due to the absence of theoretical L-moments, Trimmed L-moments were first introduced by Elamir and Seheult (2003). In this paper, a new estimation method based on multi-parameter copulas' Trimmed L-moments is proposed with a simulation study. The consistency and the asymptotic normality of the new estimator also established.

**Keywords:** Archimedien copula; Trimmed L-moments; asymptotic normality.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_d$  be a d-dimensional vector with joint distribution function  $H(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  and margins  $F_j(x_j), j = 1, \dots, d$ . From Sklar's theorem (1959) we can joint  $H$  and  $F_j$  by a function  $C$  called copula, which is defined from  $[0, 1]^d$  to  $[0, 1]$  by:

$$H(x_1, \dots, x_d) = C(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d)).$$

The copula is a joint distribution function with uniform margins  $U = (U_1, \dots, U_d)$  with  $U_j = F_j(x_j)$ :

$$C(u) = F_1^{-1}\left((u_1), \dots, F_d^{-1}(u_d)\right), u \in [0, 1]^d$$

where  $F_j^{-1}(s) = \inf\{x: F_j(x) \geq s\}$ , is the generalized inverse function pertaining to  $F_j$ .

This describes and models the dependence structure of a multivariate data set. It characterizes many properties as the symmetry and the invariance transform. The importance of these two properties appears in measuring of association such as Kendall's tau and Spearman's rho defined in terms of the copula  $C$ , in the bivariate case by copula  $C$ , in the bivariate case by

$$\tau = 4 \int_{[0,1]^2} C(u_1, u_2) dC(u_1, u_2) - 1 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{and } \rho = 12 \int_{[0,1]^2} u_1 u_2 dC(u_1, u_2) - 3$$

<sup>1</sup> Biskra University, Department of Mathematics, 00007 Biskra, Algeria. E-mail: [nesrine.idiou@univ-biskra.dz](mailto:nesrine.idiou@univ-biskra.dz); [f.benatia@univ-biskra.dz](mailto:f.benatia@univ-biskra.dz).

Many parametric copula families have been introduced and applied in different fields such as the insurance, medical science, hydrology and survival analysis see [11, 8, 15]. Among these families, we cite the archimedean copula class which is introduced by [22], they have found many successful applications like the Actuarial and survey actuarial applications, in finance [6, 24, 7]. This class of copulas has nice properties, as: the ease with which it can be constructed; the great variety of families of copulas which it contains [23]. An archimedean copula is defined by

$$C(u) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1) + \varphi(u_2) + \dots + \varphi(u_d)), \quad (2)$$

where  $\varphi$  is a positive continuous and strictly decreasing function on  $[0, 1]$  called the generator with pseudo inverse  $\varphi^{-1}$ . Many families of archimedean copulas are cited in [23], [such as gumbel copula, defined by

$$C_\beta(u) = \exp\left(-\left[(-\ln(u_1))^\beta + \dots + (-\ln(u_d))^\beta\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right), \beta \geq 1$$

with generator  $\varphi(s) = (-\ln(s))^\beta$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(s) = \exp(-s)^{1/\beta}$ .

Suppose that the parametric copula  $C$  belongs to a class  $\mathcal{C}$  where  $\mathcal{C} := C_\theta: \theta \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^r$  for  $r \geq 1$ . The problem of estimating  $\theta$  under this assumption has already been the object of much work, beginning with classical methods: fully maximum likelihood (ML), Pseudo maximum likelihood (PML) and Inference function of margins (IFM) (see [12, 20]).  $(\tau, \rho)$  inversion methods [24]. Minimum distance (MD) (see [27, 2]) which is based on: the empirical copula process, Kendall's dependence function which is proposed by Savu and Trede [14] and Rosenblatt's probability integral transform proposed by [25]. Many comparative studies between these methods were discussed in the literature such as in [21, 16].

Semi parametric estimation methods for multi-parametric copulas were also discussed by [4], [1] and [5] based on moments (CM) and copula L-moments (CLM). They noted that these methods are quick and does not use the density function and therefore no boundary problems arise. In a comparative simulation study, they concluded that the PML and the CM based estimation perform better than the  $(\tau, \rho)$ -inversion method and the main feature of CM and CLM methods is that they provide estimators with explicit forms.

The purpose of this paper is to estimate multi-parameter archimedean copulas using Trimmed L-moments. This method is analogous to L-moment method where the largest value is removed from the conceptual sample to study its influence on bias and root of the mean squared error (RMSE). Particularly, we derive TL-moments (0,1) for Gumbel copula and the results are presented in section 4.

The remainder of this article is structured as follows. Section 2 presents a brief introduction of L-moments, Trimmed L-moment and population Trimmed L-moments in terms of copula as well as the parameter estimation procedures. Section 3 discusses the consistency and asymptotic normality. An illustrative example with simulation procedure is outlined in section 4. The proofs are postponed to the Appendix.

## 2. TRIMMED L-MOMENTS

In this section, we first define the L-moments, TL-moments and the relation between them. Then, we explain how we can write TL-moments in terms of copula by using copula

properties and we present sample TL-moments. L-moments play an important role in describing the characteristics of a probability distribution because they measure the location, scale and shape and they are related to expected values of order statistics. Let  $Y_{1:r}, \dots, Y_{r:r}$  be the order statistics of a random sample  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  of size  $r \geq 1$ . [18] defined the  $r$ th L-moment  $\lambda_r$  as follows:

$$\lambda_r = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (-1)^k \binom{r-1}{k} E(Y_{r-k:r})$$

where

$$E(Y_{r-k:r}) = \frac{r!}{(r-k-1)!k!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y(F(y))^{r-k-1} (1-F(y))^k dF(y).$$

Or we can write L-moments in terms of shifted Legendre polynomials as:

$$\lambda_r = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y P_{r-1} F(y) dF(y)$$

where

$$P_r(u) = \sum_{k=0}^r p_{r,k} u^k, \text{ with } p_{r,k} = (-1)^{r+k} (r+k)! / [(k^2)! (r-k)!].$$

L-moments cannot be defined for distributions for which the means do not exist or distributions with heavy tailed such as the Cauchy distribution. In that case the estimation method based on L-moments is not valid. Trimmed L-moments is an alternative measure to L-moments proposed by [10] where they replace the expected value  $E(Y_{r-k:r})$  by  $E(Y_{r+t_1-k:r+t_1+t_2})$ . Thus TL-moments noted  $\lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)}$  are given as follows:

$$\lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (-1)^k \frac{(r-1)!}{k! (r-k-1)!} E(Y_{r+t_1-k:r+t_1+t_2}), r = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3)$$

where  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are positive integers. The case  $t_1 = t_2 = 0$  yields the original L-moments. A new expression of TL-moments was given using shifted Jacobi polynomials, so (3) may be written as:

$$\lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (-1)^k \frac{(r-1)!}{k! (r-k-1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y P_{r-1}^{*(t_1, t_2)} F(y) dF(y), \quad (4)$$

where  $P_r^{*(t_1, t_2)} = \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r+t_1}{k} \binom{r+t_2}{r-k} u^k (1-u)^{r-k}$ .

Shifted Jacobi polynomials satisfy the recurrence properties (see [19]) which implies that there is a relation between different degrees of trimming and between L-moments and TL-moments as:

$$(2r + t_1 + t_2 - 1) \lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)} = (r + t_1 + t_2 - 1) \lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2-1)} - \frac{1}{r} (r+1)(r+t_1) \lambda_{r+1}^{(t_1, t_2-1)}$$

and for  $t_1 = 0$ , case  $t_2 = 1$ , we obtain:

$$\lambda_r^{(0,1)} = \frac{r+1}{2r} (\lambda_r - \lambda_{r+1}), \quad (5)$$

The relation (5) is valid when all TL-moments exist. Many results concerning sample TL-moments, the existence and the unicity are presented by ([10], [19]).

## 2.1. COPULA TL-MOMENTS

In the following, the population TL-moments is given in details. By (3) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)} &= E\left(YP_{r-1}^{*(t_1, t_2)}(F(y))\right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} yP_{r-1}^{*(t_1, t_2)} F(y) dF(y),\end{aligned}$$

where  $P_{r-1}^{*(t_1, t_2)}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (-1)^k \frac{(r-1)!(r+t_1+t_2)!}{rk!(r-k-1)!(r+t_1-k-1)!(k+t_2)!} u^{r+t_1-k-1} \{1-u\}^{k+t_2}$

For  $r = 1, 2$  and  $(t_1 = 0, t_2 = 1)$  we get

$$P_0^{*(0,1)}(u) = 2(1-u), P_1^{*(0,1)}(u) = \frac{3}{2}(4u - 3u^2 - 1)$$

by substitution, we put  $y = C(u)$  which is considered as a random variable with distribution  $K_C(s) = P(C(U) \leq s), s \in [0, 1]$ , so the  $r$ th TL-moments of the rv  $C(U)$  may be written as:

$$\lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)} = \int_{[0,1]^d} C(u) P_{r-1}^{*(t_1, t_2)} K_C(C(u)) dK_C(C(u)), r = 1, 2. \quad (6)$$

Suppose that the copula  $C$  belongs to a parametric family with unknown parameter  $\theta$ , we put

$$C = C_\theta, K_C = K_\theta \text{ and } \lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)}(C) = \lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)}(\theta)$$

Let  $C(u) = s$ , then (6) is rewritten into

$$\lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)}(\theta) = \int_0^1 s P_{r-1}^{*(t_1, t_2)} K_\theta(s) dK_\theta(s), r = 1, 2, \dots$$

According to theorem 4.3.4 in [23] the density function of rv  $C(u)$  may be represented in terms of the derivatives of the generator  $\varphi_\theta(s)$ . We have for any  $s \in [0, 1], k_\theta(s) = s - \varphi_\theta(s)/\varphi'_\theta(s)$ . So the corresponding density is

$$k'_\theta(s) = \varphi''_\theta(s)\varphi_\theta(s)/(\varphi'_\theta(s))^2$$

and (6) becomes

$$\lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)}(\theta) = \int_0^1 s P_{r-1}^{*(t_1, t_2)} \left( s - \frac{\varphi_\theta(s)}{\varphi'_\theta(s)} \right) \left( \frac{\varphi''_\theta(s)\varphi_\theta(s)}{(\varphi'_\theta(s))^2} \right) ds, r = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

The first TL-moments for  $(t_1, t_2) = (0, 1)$  are

$$\lambda_1^{(0,1)}(\theta) = 2 \int_0^1 s \left( 1 - s + \frac{\varphi_\theta(s)}{\varphi'_\theta(s)} \right) \left( \frac{\varphi''_\theta(s)\varphi_\theta(s)}{(\varphi'_\theta(s))^2} \right) ds \quad (8)$$

$$\lambda_2^{(0,1)}(\theta) = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 \left( 4s^2 - s - 3s^3 + 6s^2 \frac{\varphi_\theta(s)}{\varphi'_\theta(s)} - 3s \frac{\varphi_\theta^2(s)}{\varphi_\theta'^2(s)} - 4s \frac{\varphi_\theta(s)}{\varphi'_\theta(s)} \right) \left( \frac{\varphi''_\theta(s)\varphi_\theta(s)}{(\varphi'_\theta(s))^2} \right) ds$$

We can also compute copula TL-moments by using relation (5) where copula L-moments are presented in [1] and we get the same formulas of  $\lambda_r^{(0,1)}$ .

## 2.2. SEMI-PARAMETRIC TL-MOMENTS ESTIMATION

To give an expression of sample TL-moments, which will be denoted by  $\lambda_r^{(t_1, t_2)}$ , we suppose that the copula  $C$  belongs to a parametric family  $C_\theta$ , with  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r)$ , and satisfies the concordance ordering condition of copulas (see, [23]). For every

$$\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{O}: \theta_1 \neq \theta_2 \Rightarrow C_{\theta_1} (> \text{ or } <) C_{\theta_2} \quad (9)$$

The identifiability condition:

$$\text{for every } \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{O}: \theta_1 \neq \theta_2 \Rightarrow C_{\theta_1} \neq C_{\theta_2}$$

The identifiability condition is a natural and even a necessary condition, since if the parameter is not identifiable then consistent estimator cannot exist [28] and [1]. Now, we give the procedure of sample TL-moments. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  a random sample from  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , with empirical marginal distribution functions:

$$F_{jn}(x_j) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_{ji} \leq x_j\}}, j = 1, \dots, d$$

and joint empirical density function  $C_n$  which is defined by [9] as:

$$C_n(u) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^d 1_{\{\hat{U}_{ji} \leq u_j\}}, u \in [0, 1]^d,$$

where  $\hat{U}_{ji} = F_{jn}(X_{ji})$  and  $\hat{U}_i = (\hat{U}_{1i}, \dots, \hat{U}_{di})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The empirical df of the random variable  $C(U)$  is given by:

$$K_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{C_n(\hat{U}_i) \leq t\}}, t \in [0, 1]$$

by replacing  $K_C$  by  $K_n$  in (6), we get

$$\hat{\lambda}_r^{(t_1, t_2)} = \lambda_r(C_n) = \int_0^1 s P_{r-1}^{*(t_1, t_2)} K_n(s) dK_n(s), r = 1, 2 \quad (10)$$

that is the sample TL-moments are given as follows

$$\hat{\lambda}_r^{(t_1, t_2)} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n C_n(\hat{U}_i) P_{r-1}^{*(t_1, t_2)} K_n(C_n(\hat{U}_i)), r = 1, 2$$

and for  $(t_1, t_2) = (0, 1)$ , we obtain

$$\hat{\lambda}_r^{(0, 1)} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n C_n(\hat{U}_i) P_{r-1}^{*(0, 1)} K_n(C_n(\hat{U}_i)).$$

It is clear that we can't find explicit formulas of  $\hat{\lambda}_r^{(0, 1)}$  and we get the following system

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1^{(0, 1)}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_l) = \hat{\lambda}_1^{(0, 1)} \\ \lambda_2^{(0, 1)}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_l) = \hat{\lambda}_2^{(0, 1)} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_l^{(0, 1)}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_l) = \hat{\lambda}_l^{(0, 1)} \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

which is solved by numerical methods to estimate the copula parameters.

### 3. CONSISTENCY AND ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY

To study the asymptotic normality of the TL-moments estimator noted  $\hat{\theta}^{CT L}$ , we put

$$\mathcal{K}_l(u, \theta) = C_\theta(u) P_{l-1}^{*(0, 1)}(K_\theta(C_\theta)) - \lambda_l^{(0, 1)}, l = 1, \dots, r \quad (12)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(u, \theta) = (\mathcal{K}_1(u, \theta), \dots, \mathcal{K}_r(u, \theta))$$

Let  $\theta_0$  be the true value of  $\theta$  and assume that the following assumptions [A1] – [A3] hold.

- [A1]  $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{O} \in \mathbb{R}^r$  is the unique zero of the mapping  $\theta \rightarrow \int_{[0, 1]^d} \mathcal{K}(u, \theta) dC_{\theta_0}(u)$  which is defined from  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^r$ .

- [A2]  $\mathcal{K}(\cdot, \theta)$  is differentiable with respect to  $\theta$  such that the Jacobian matrix denoted by  $\dot{\mathcal{K}}(u, \theta) = [\partial \mathcal{K}_r(u, \theta) / \partial \theta_k]_{l \times l}$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{K}}(u, \theta)$  is continuous both in  $u$  and  $\theta$ , and the Euclidean norm  $|\dot{\mathcal{K}}(u, \theta)|$  is dominated by a  $dC_\theta$ -integrable function.

- [A3] The  $r \times r$  matrix  $B_0 := \int_{[0, 1]^d} \dot{\mathcal{K}}(u, \theta) dC_{\theta_0}(u)$  is nonsingular.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that the concordance ordering condition (9) and assumptions [A1] – [A3] hold. Then, there exists a solution  $\hat{\theta}^{CT L}$  to the system (11) which converges in probability to  $\theta_0$ . Moreover

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}^{CT L} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, B_0^{-1} D_0 (B_0^{-1})^T), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where

$$D_0 := \text{var}\{\mathcal{K}(\vartheta, \theta_0) + v(\vartheta, \theta_0)\} \text{ and } v(\vartheta, \theta_0) = (v_1(\vartheta, \theta_0), \dots, v_r(\vartheta, \theta_0))$$

with

$$v_l(\vartheta, \theta_0) = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{[0,1]^d} \frac{\partial \left( C_\theta(u) P_{1-1}^{*(0,1)}(K_\theta(C_\theta)) \right)}{\partial u_j} \left( 1_{\{\vartheta_j \leq u_j\}} - u_j \right) dC_{\theta_0}(u), l = 1, \dots, r$$

where  $\vartheta$  is a  $(0,1)$ -uniform rv.

**Remark 3.1.** Following [27] in the case of PML estimator and Z-estimator, one may consistently estimate the asymptotic variance  $B_0^{-1}D_0(B_0^{-1})^T$  by the sample variance of the sequence of rv's

$$\hat{B}_i^{-1}D_i(\hat{B}_i^{-1})^T, i = 1, \dots, n$$

where

$$\hat{B}_i := \int_{[0,1]^d} \mathcal{K}(u, \hat{\theta}^{CT L}) dC_{\hat{\theta}^{CT L}}(u) \text{ and } \hat{D}_i = \mathcal{K}(\hat{U}_i, \hat{\theta}^{CT L}) + v(\hat{U}_i, \hat{\theta}^{CT L})$$

#### 4. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE AND SIMULATION

Gumbel family of copulas was first discussed by [17]. Gumbel copula also belongs to extreme value copulas see [13]. To obtain a Gumbel copula with two parameters we apply a transformation on the generator of (2). The transformed copula is defined by

$$C_\Gamma(u) = \Gamma^{-1} \left( C(\Gamma(u_1), \dots, \Gamma(u_d)) \right)$$

where  $\Gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ , is continuous, concave and strictly increasing with  $\Gamma(0) = 0$  and  $\Gamma(1) = 1$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma = \Gamma_\alpha$ ,  $\Gamma_\alpha = \exp(1 - s^{-\alpha})$ ,  $\beta > 0$  with copula  $C_\beta$  we obtain the transformed copula

$$C_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^d (u_j^{-\alpha} - 1)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} + 1 \right)^{-1/\alpha} \text{ for } u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in [0,1]^d \quad (13)$$

with generator

$$\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = (s^{-\alpha} - 1)^\beta \quad (14)$$

by replacing (13) in (8), we get the first TL-moments as follows

$$\lambda_1^{(0,1)} = A_1 - A_2 \text{ and } \lambda_2^{(0,1)} = \frac{3}{2}(B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4)$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{\alpha\beta + 2\beta - 1}{2\beta(\alpha + 2)}, A_2 = \frac{2\alpha^3\beta^2 + 13\alpha^2\beta^2 - 2\alpha^2\beta + 27\alpha\beta^2 - \alpha\beta - 4\alpha + 18\beta^2 + 3\beta - 8}{6\beta^2(2\alpha^3 + 13\alpha^2 + 27\alpha + 18)}$$

and

$$B_1 = \frac{\alpha^3\beta + 9\alpha^2\beta + 26\alpha\beta + \alpha^2 - 15\alpha + 24\beta - 22}{12\beta(\alpha^3 + 9\alpha^2 + 26\alpha + 24)}, B_2 = -\frac{3\alpha\beta + 6\beta - 3}{2\beta^2(\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 8)}$$

$$B_3 = \frac{8\alpha\beta + 12\beta - 4}{3\beta^2(2\alpha^2 + 9\alpha + 9)}, B_4 = -\frac{9\alpha\beta + 12\beta - 3}{4\beta^3(3\alpha^3 + 22\alpha^2 + 48\alpha + 32)}.$$

In our simulation study we select many different sample sizes with  $n = 30; 50; 100; 200$  and  $500$  to assess their influence on the bias and RMSE of the estimators, and we choose different values of parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , according the degree of dependence calculated by Kendall's tau (1), that is consider three cases:  $\tau = 0,1; 0,5$  and  $0,8$  corresponding to (weak, moderate and strong dependence). For each choice, we make  $N = 1000$  repetitions and we compute the estimation bias and RMSE:

$$Bias = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta), RMSE = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

We can summarize the procedure of simulation as follows:

- (1) Determine the value of the parameters  $\alpha, \beta$ , sample sizes  $n$  and the number of simulated Samples  $N$ .
- (2) Simulate a sample  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  of size  $n$  from the copula  $C_{\alpha, \beta}$  defined in (13)
- (3) Compute the parameter estimates by solving the system (11).
- (4) Compare the parameter estimates  $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\alpha}_i$  and  $\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\beta}_i$  with the true parameters (presented in 1) by computing the biases and RMSE.

**Table 1. True parameters of transformed Gumbel copula used for the simulation study.**

| $\tau$ | $A$ | $B$   |
|--------|-----|-------|
| 0.1    | 0.1 | 1.059 |
| 0.5    | 0.5 | 1.6   |
| 0.8    | 0.9 | 3.45  |

**Table 2. Bias and RMSE of the TLM estimator of two- parameter transformed Gumbel copula for weak dependence.**

| $\tau = 0.1$ |                |                 |       |       |
|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| $n$          | $\alpha = 0.1$ | $\beta = 1.059$ | Bias  | RMSE  |
| 30           |                |                 | 0.331 | 0.153 |
| 50           |                |                 | 0.06  | 0.05  |
| 100          |                |                 | 0.04  | 0.04  |
| 200          |                |                 | 0.03  | 0.03  |
| 500          |                |                 | 0.009 | 0.02  |

**Table 3. Bias and RMSE of the TLM estimator of two- parameter transformed Gumbel copula for moderate dependence.**

| $\tau = 0.5$ |                |               |       |       |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------|-------|
| $n$          | $\alpha = 0.5$ | $\beta = 1.6$ | Bias  | RMSE  |
| 30           |                |               | 0.787 | 0.359 |
| 50           |                |               | 0.149 | 0.310 |
| 100          |                |               | 0.131 | 0.229 |
| 200          |                |               | 0.116 | 0.186 |
| 500          |                |               | 0.100 | 0.176 |



**Table 4. Bias and RMSE of the TLM estimator of two- parameter transformed Gumbel copula for strong dependence.**

| $\tau = 0.8$                        |        |       |        |       |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| $n \alpha = 0.9 \quad \beta = 3.45$ |        |       |        |       |
|                                     | Bias   | RMSE  | Bias   | RMSE  |
| 30                                  | 0.570  | 0.600 | -1.005 | 0.648 |
| 50                                  | 0.418  | 0.543 | 0.496  | 0.568 |
| 100                                 | 0.400  | 0.500 | -0.426 | 0.549 |
| 200                                 | -0.310 | 0.448 | -0.09  | 0.179 |
| 500                                 | 0.248  | 0.369 | 0.08   | 0.176 |

**Table 5. Bias and RMSE of the CTL and CLM estimators of two-parameter transformed Gumbel copula for weak, moderate and strong dependence.**

| $\alpha = 0.1 \quad \beta = 1.059$ |            |               |              |               |              |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| N                                  | estimators | Bias          | RMSE         | Bias          | RMSE         |
| 30                                 | <b>CTL</b> | <b>0.09</b>   | <b>0.110</b> | <b>0.205</b>  | <b>0.106</b> |
|                                    | CLM        | 0.224         | 0.307        | -0.217        | 0.413        |
| 100                                | <b>CTL</b> | <b>0.06</b>   | <b>0.07</b>  | <b>0.06</b>   | <b>0.104</b> |
|                                    | CLM        | 0.104         | 0.142        | -0.07         | 0.296        |
| 500                                | <b>CTL</b> | <b>0.04</b>   | <b>0.06</b>  | <b>0.07</b>   | <b>0.110</b> |
|                                    | CLM        | 0.190         | 0.245        | -0.08         | 0.321        |
| <b>weak dependence</b>             |            |               |              |               |              |
| 30                                 | <b>CTL</b> | <b>-0.339</b> | <b>0.276</b> | <b>-0.167</b> | <b>0.108</b> |
|                                    | CLM        | -0.428        | 0.482        | 0.222         | 0.290        |
| 100                                | <b>CTL</b> | <b>-0.120</b> | <b>0.269</b> | <b>0.04</b>   | <b>0.201</b> |
|                                    | CLM        | -0.320        | 0.369        | -0.05         | 0.204        |
| 500                                | <b>CTL</b> | <b>-0.106</b> | <b>0.236</b> | <b>0.003</b>  | <b>0.126</b> |
|                                    | CLM        | -0.287        | 0.338        | 0.09          | 0.299        |
| <b>moderate dependence</b>         |            |               |              |               |              |
| 30                                 | <b>CTL</b> | <b>-0.264</b> | <b>0.339</b> | <b>0.07</b>   | <b>0.292</b> |
|                                    | CLM        | -0.696        | 0.795        | 0.100         | 0.327        |
| 100                                | <b>CTL</b> | <b>-0.201</b> | <b>0.103</b> | <b>0.05</b>   | <b>0.131</b> |
|                                    | CLM        | -0.319        | 0.367        | 0.111         | 0.297        |
| 500                                | <b>CTL</b> | <b>-0.182</b> | <b>0.103</b> | <b>0.04</b>   | <b>0.110</b> |
|                                    | CLM        | -0.198        | 0.258        | 0.116         | 0.235        |
| <b>strong dependence</b>           |            |               |              |               |              |

## 5. CONCLUSION

The results of the simulation study are presented in Tables 2-4. Each table corresponds to the bias and RMSE for five sample sizes and three true parameter values. We see that the bias and RMSE decrease when the sample size increase but the results in weak case appear to be better than the moderate and strong dependence. On the other hand, the time in seconds needed for computing the parameter estimates, as intended, increases with increasing sample size. The results obtained in Table 5 present a comparative study between our method and Copula L-moments method, we choose three samples for  $n = 30, 100$  and  $500$  and with the same choice of parameter values we observe that the TL-moments give better estimate than the L-moments for small or large samples where the biases and RMSE are lower.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Benatia, F., Brahim, B., Necir, A., *Journal Afrika Statistika*, **6**, 335, 2011.
- [2] Biau, G., Wegkamp, M., *Statistics and Probability Letters*, **73**, 105, 2005.
- [3] Bickel, P.J., Klaassen, C.A.J., Ritov, Y., Wellner, J.A., *Efficient and Adaptive Estimation for Semiparametric Models*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1993.
- [4] Brahim, B., Necir, A., *Statistical Methodology*, **9**, 467, 2011.
- [5] Brahim, B., Chebana, F., Necir, A., *A Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, **49**(3), 497, 2014.
- [6] Clayton, D.G., *Biometrika*, **65**, 141, 1978.
- [7] Cook, R.D., Johnson, M. E., *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, **43**, 210, 1981.
- [8] Cui, S., Sun, Y., *Statistica Sinica*, **14**, 249, 2004.
- [9] Deheuvels, P., *Bulletin de la Classe des Sciences*, **65**, 274, 1979.
- [10] Elamir, A., Seheult, A., *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, **43**(3), 299, 2003.
- [11] Frees, E.W., Valdez, E.A., *North American Actuarial Journal*, **2**, 1, 1998.
- [12] Genest, C., *Biometrika*, **74**, 549, 1987.
- [13] Genest, C., Rivest, L.P. *Statist probab Lett*, **8**, 207, 1989.
- [14] Genest, C., Ghoudi, K., Rivest, L.P., *Biometrika*, **82**, 543, 1995.
- [15] Genest, C., Quessy, J.F., Rémillard, B., *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, **33**, 337, 2006.
- [16] Genest, C., Favre, A.C., *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, **12**, 347, 2007.
- [17] Weiß, G., *Computational Statistics*, **26**, 31, 2011.
- [18] Gumbel, E.J., *Publications de l'Institut de statistique de l'Université de Paris*, **9**, 171, 1960.
- [19] Hosking, J.R.M., *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, **52**, 105, 1990.
- [20] Hosking, J.R.M., *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **137**, 3024, 2007.
- [21] Joe, H., *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **94**, 401, 2005.
- [22] Kim, G., Silvapulle, M., Silvapulle, P., *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, **51**(6), 2836, 2007.
- [23] Ling, C.H., *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, **12**, 189, 1965.
- [24] Nelsen, R.B., *An introduction to copulas. Second*, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [25] Oakes, D., *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A*, **44**(3), 414, 1982.
- [26] Rosenblatt, M., *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **23**(3), 470, 1952.
- [27] Sklar, A., *Publications de l'Institut de statistique de l'Université de Paris*, **8**, 229, 1959.
- [28] Tsukahara, H., *Canadian Journal of Statistics*, **33**(3), 357, 2005.
- [29] Van der Vaart, A.W., *Asymptotic Statistics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1998.

## APPENDIX

*Proof:* For the proof of Theorem 1 we use the results of [28], [3] and [27]. To establish the consistency and the asymptotic normality of our estimator we apply theorem 1 of [27], also the existence of a sequence of consistent roots  $\hat{\theta}^{CTL}$  may be verified by using similar arguments as the proof of Theorem 1 in [27] and [1].

By considering CTL estimator is a RAZ-estimator according [28]. First we set

$$\Phi(\theta) = \int_{[0,1]^d} \mathcal{K}(u, \theta) dC_{\theta_0}(u)$$

For  $\hat{U}_i = (\hat{U}_{1i}, \dots, \hat{U}_{di}), i = 1, \dots, n$ . Where  $\hat{U}_{ji} = F_{jn}(X_{ji})$  with  $(X_{j1}, \dots, X_{jn})$  is a random sample from the rv  $X_j$  we get the empirical version of  $\Phi$  as follows

$$\Phi_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{K}(\hat{U}_i, \theta)$$

Under the assumption [A2] the derivatives of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi_n$  exist and are respectively given by

$$\dot{\Phi}(\theta) = \int_{[0,1]^d} \dot{\mathcal{K}}(u, \theta) dC_{\theta_0}(u) \text{ and } \dot{\Phi}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{U}_i, \theta)$$

To verify the following convergence

$$\sup\{|\dot{\Phi}_n(\theta) - \dot{\Phi}(\theta)| : |\theta - \theta_0| < \varepsilon_n\} \xrightarrow{P} 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (15)$$

for any real sequence  $\varepsilon_n$  we set

$$\sup\{|\dot{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{U}_i, \theta) - \dot{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{U}_i, \theta_0)| : |\theta - \theta_0| < \varepsilon_n\} = o_p(1), i = 1, \dots, n$$

because  $\dot{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{U}_i, \theta)$  is continuous in  $\theta$  and according to the following inequality

$$|\dot{\Phi}_n(\theta) - \dot{\Phi}(\theta)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\dot{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{U}_i, \theta) - \dot{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{U}_i, \theta_0)|$$

we conclude that

$$\sup\{|\dot{\Phi}_n(\theta) - \dot{\Phi}(\theta)| : |\theta - \theta_0| < \varepsilon_n\} \xrightarrow{P} 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (16)$$

By the law of large numbers, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\dot{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{U}_i, \theta_0)| \xrightarrow{P} \dot{\Phi}(\theta_0) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

In addition, the function  $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{U}_i, \theta)$  is continuous in  $u$  and by Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathcal{K}(\widehat{U}_i, \theta) - \mathcal{K}(\widehat{U}_i, \theta_0)| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

It follows that  $|\dot{\phi}_n(\theta) - \dot{\phi}(\theta)| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ , which together with 15, implies 16. Conditions (MG0) and (MG3) in Theorem A.10.2 in [3] are trivially satisfied by our assumptions [A1] – [A3].

In view of the general theorem for Z-estimators (see [28, Theorem 3.3.1]), it remains to prove that  $\sqrt{n}|\dot{\phi}_n(\theta) - \dot{\phi}(\theta)|(\theta_0)$  converges in law to the appropriate limit. But this follows from Proposition 3 in [27], which achieves the proof of Theorem 1.