

A PROBLEM IN NONLINEAR OPTIMIZATION

NECULAE VORNICESCU

Technical University Cluj-Napoca, 15 Constantin Daicoviciu Str., 400020 Cluj - Napoca, Romania,
 e-mail: *neculae.vornicescu@math.utcluj.ro*

In [4], Ragget, Hempson and Jakes solved the following problem called “A student optimal control problem”

P1

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2 \\ & \text{subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i = S, \quad x_i \geq 0 \\ & \text{for given } S > 0, a_i > 0, b_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

In [3], Muntean, Vornicescu added restrictions $x_i \leq B$, with $B > 0$.

In [6] Vornicescu considered the continuous case:

P2

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \int_0^1 a(t)u^2(t)dt \\ & \text{subject to } \int_0^1 b(t)u(t)dt = S, \quad u \in C[0, 1] \text{ and } 0 \leq u(t) \leq B \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], \\ & \text{for given positive functions } a, b \in C[0, 1] \text{ and for given } B, S > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper is considered the following case:

P3

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \int_0^1 a(t)u^m(t)dt, \quad m \geq 1 \\ & \text{subject to } \int_0^1 b(t)u(t)dt = S, \quad u \in C[0, 1] \text{ and } 0 \leq u(t) \leq B \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], \\ & \text{for given positive functions } a, b \in C[0, 1] \text{ and for given } B, S > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Remark that the problem cannot be solved using the classical methods of the calculus of variations.

A function $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ is said to be an **admissible strategy** for the problem P3 if it verifies conditions (1).

For an admissible strategy u , let us denote $J[u] = \int_0^1 a(t)u^m(t)dt$

An admissible strategy u^* is said to be an **optimal strategy** for P3 if for each admissible strategy u we have $J[u^*] \leq J[u]$.

An admissible strategy u^* is said to be a **locally optimal strategy** for P3 if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, if u is an admissible strategy verifying $\max\{|u(t) - u^*(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\} < \delta$, then $J[u^*] \leq J[u]$.

We need to consider four cases, discussed in Propositions 1, 2, 3 and in Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. If $\frac{S}{B} > \int_0^1 b(t)dt$, then the Problem P3 has no solution.

Proof. $\int_0^1 b(t)u(t)dt \leq B \int_0^1 b(t)dt < S$ for each function u verifying conditions (1).

Proposition 2. If $\frac{S}{B} = \int_0^1 b(t)dt$, then the unique admissible strategy is $u = B$.

The proof is straightforward.

Proposition 3. If

$$\frac{S}{B} \leq \min\{a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(s)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(s), s[0, 1]\} \int_0^1 a^{-\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)dt \int_0^1 b(t)dt,$$

then the optimal strategy is

$$u^*(t) = S \left(\int_0^1 a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(s)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(s)ds \right)^{-1} a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)$$

and

$$J[u^*] = S^m \left(\int_0^1 b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)a^{\frac{-1}{m-1}}(t)dt \right)^{-m+1}$$

Proof. Function u^* is easily seen to be an admissible strategy.

Let u be an arbitrary admissible strategy. Using Holder's inequality with $p = m$ and $q = \frac{m}{m-1}$ we obtain

$$S = \int_0^1 a^{\frac{1}{m}}(t)u(t) \cdot a^{-\frac{1}{m}}(t)b(t)dt \leq \left(\int_0^1 a(t)u^m(t)dt \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \left(\int_0^1 a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)ds \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}},$$

whence $J[u] \geq S^m \left(\int_0^1 a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)ds \right)^{-m+1}$.

From $J[u^*] = S^m \left(\int_0^1 a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)ds \right)^{-m+1}$, we obtain that u^* is the optimal strategy.

It remains to study the case when

$$\min\{a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(s)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(s), s[0, 1]\} \int_0^1 a^{-\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)dt < \frac{S}{B} < \int_0^1 b(t)dt \quad (2)$$

which is in fact the main case.

In this case we obtain a characterization of locally optimal strategy using the variation of the functional J .

Before giving the main result (Theorem 1), we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. If u^* is a locally optimal strategy for problem P3 and if there exist $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$a(t_1)b^{-1}(t_1)(u^*)^{m-1}(t_1) < a(t_2)b^{-1}(t_2)(u^*)^{m-1}(t_2), \quad (3)$$

then $u^*(t_1) = B$.

Proof. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $u^*(t_1) < B$.

There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [t_1 - \alpha, t_1 + \alpha] &\subset [0, 1], \\ [t_2 - \alpha, t_2 + \alpha] &\subset [0, 1] \\ [t_1 - \alpha, t_1 + \alpha] \cup [t_2 - \alpha, t_2 + \alpha] &= \emptyset \\ a(c_1)b^{-1}(c_2)(u^*)^{m-1}(c_1) &< a(c_3)b^{-1}(c_4)(u^*)^{m-1}(c_3) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in [t_1 - \alpha, t_1 + \alpha], c_3, c_4 \in [t_2 - \alpha, t_2 + \alpha]$

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \int_{t_1-\alpha}^{t_1+\alpha} b(t)[\alpha^2 - (t - t_1)^2]dt, \\ Q &= \int_{t_2-\alpha}^{t_2+\alpha} b(t)[\alpha^2 - (t - t_2)^2]dt. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the mean value theorem, we obtain that there exist $c_2 \in [t_1 - \alpha, t_1 + \alpha]$, $c_4 \in [t_2 - \alpha, t_2 + \alpha]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \frac{4}{3}\alpha^3 b(c_2), \\ Q &= \frac{4}{3}\alpha^3 b(c_4). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be sufficiently small such that for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} u^*(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{P}[2 - (t - t_1)^2] &< B \quad \text{for } t \in [t_1 - \alpha, t_1 + \alpha], \\ u^*(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{Q}[2 - (t - t_2)^2] &> 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [t_2 - \alpha, t_2 + \alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ the function $u_\varepsilon : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, defined by

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} u^*(t), & t \in [0, 1] \setminus ([t_1 - \alpha, t_1 + \alpha] \cup [t_2 - \alpha, t_2 + \alpha]) \\ u^*(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{P}[\alpha^2 - (t - t_1)^2], & t \in [t_1 - \alpha, t_1 + \alpha] \\ u^*(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{Q}[\alpha^2 - (t - t_2)^2], & t \in [t_2 - \alpha, t_2 + \alpha] \end{cases}$$

is an admissible strategy for the problem P3.

We define the function $L : [0, \varepsilon_0] \rightarrow R$, $L(\varepsilon) = \int_0^1 a(t)u_\varepsilon^m(t)dt$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} L'(\varepsilon) &= \left(\int_{t_1-\alpha}^{t_2+\alpha} a(t)u_\varepsilon^m(t)dt + \int_{t_2-\alpha}^{t_2+\alpha} a(t)u_\varepsilon^m(t)dt \right)' = \\ &= m \left(\frac{1}{P} \int_{t_1-\alpha}^{t_2+\alpha} a(t)u_\varepsilon^{m-1}(t)(\alpha^2 - (t - t_1)^2)dt - \frac{1}{Q} \int_{t_2-\alpha}^{t_2+\alpha} a(t)u_\varepsilon^{m-1}(t)(\alpha^2 - (t - t_2)^2)dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} L'(\varepsilon) &= \\ m \left(\frac{1}{P} \int_{t_1-\alpha}^{t_1+\alpha} a(t)(u^*)^{m-1}(t)(\alpha^2 - (t - t_1)^2)dt - \frac{1}{Q} \int_{t_2-\alpha}^{t_2+\alpha} a(t)(u^*)^{m-1}(t)(\alpha^2 - (t - t_2)^2)dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

We have that there exist $c_1 \in [t_1 - \alpha, t_1 + \alpha]$, $c_3 \in [t_2 - \alpha, t_2 + \alpha]$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} L'(\varepsilon) = m[a(c_1)b(c_2)(u^*)^{m-1}(c_1) - a(c_3)b(c_4)(u^*)^{m-1}(c_3)] < 0$$

which contradicts the local optimality of $L[0]$.

Theorem 1. If inequalities in (2) are verified and if u^* is a locally optimal strategy for problem P3 then there exists $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$u^*(t) = \begin{cases} B, & \text{if } a(t)b^{-1}(t) < a(t_0)b^{-1}(t_0) \\ Ba^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_0)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_0)a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t), & \text{if } a(t)b^{-1}(t) \geq a(t_0)b^{-1}(t_0) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Proof. Denote $C = \max\{a(t)b^{-1}(t)(u^*)^{m-1}(t) | t \in [0, 1]\}$, $I1 = \{t | a(t)b^{-1}(t)(u^*)^{m-1}(t) = C, t \in [0, 1]\}$ and $I2 = [0, 1] \setminus I1$.

First we will show that $I2 \neq \emptyset$.

Supposing the contrary, we have $u^*(t) = C^{\frac{1}{m-1}}a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then (1) implies

$$S = C^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \int_0^1 a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)dt = a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)u^*(t) \int_0^1 a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)dt \leq$$

$$\leq Ba^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{-1}{m-1}}(t) \int_0^1 a^{\frac{-1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)dt$$

whence $\frac{S}{B} \leq a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{-1}{m-1}}(t) \int_0^1 a^{\frac{-1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(t)dt$ which contradicts (2).

In conclusion I_2 is a nonempty open set in induced topology on interval $[0, 1]$.

If $t \in I_2$ then we have $a(t)b^{-1}(t)(u^*)^{m-1}(t) < C$ and from Lemma 1 we find $u^*(t) = B$.

Let I be a maximal open interval included in I_2 . Since $I_1 \neq \emptyset$, $I \neq [0, 1]$ and I has one of the following forms: $[0, t_1)$, (t_0, t_1) or $(t_0, 1]$.

Suppose, for example, that $t_0 \notin I_2$. Thus $t_0 \in I_1$, $u^*(t_0) = B$ and $a(t_0)b^{-1}(t_0)B^{m-1} = C$. In the case when $a(t)b^{-1}(t) < a(t_0)b^{-1}(t_0)$ then $a(t)b^{-1}(t)(u^*)^{m-1}(t) < a(t_0)b^{-1}(t_0)(u^*)^{m-1}(t_0)$, whence $u^*(t) = B$. Let t be such that $a(t)b^{-1}(t) > a(t_0)b^{-1}(t_0)$.

If we suppose that $a(t)b^{-1}(t)(u^*)^{m-1}(t) < C$ then $u^*(t) = B$ and $a(t)b^{-1}(t)(u^*)^{m-1}(t) > a(t_0)b^{-1}(t_0)(u^*)^{m-1}(t_0)$, which contradicts optimality of C . Hence $a(t)b^{-1}(t)(u^*)^{m-1}(t) = C$ and

$$u^*(t) = C^{\frac{1}{m-1}}a^{\frac{-1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t) = Ba^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_0)b^{\frac{-1}{m-1}}(t_0)a^{\frac{-1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)$$

We will say that an admissible strategy u is an **extremal strategy** if there exists $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that u is given by (4). The Theorem 1 states that a locally optimal strategy is an extremal strategy.

Define the function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$,

$$F(t) = \int_0^t b(s)ds + a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t) \int_t^1 a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(s)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(s)ds \quad (5)$$

Corollary 1. If inequalities (2) hold and if $a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}$ is an increasing function, then there exists a unique $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $F(t_0) = \frac{S}{B}$ and the function $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ given by

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} B, & \text{if } 0 \leq t < t_0 \\ Ba^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_0)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_0)a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t)b^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t), & \text{if } t_0 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

is an extremal strategy.

Proof. Let $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(t_2) - F(t_1) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(s)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(s)(a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(s)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(s) - a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_1)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_1))ds + \\ &+ \int_{t_2}^1 a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(s)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(s)(a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_2)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_2) - a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_1)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(t_1))ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence F is an increasing function and

$$\begin{aligned} F(0) &= a^{\frac{1}{m-1}}(0)b^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(0) \int_0^1 a^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}(s)b^{\frac{m}{m-1}}(s)ds < \frac{S}{B}, \\ F(1) &= \int_0^1 b(t)ds > \frac{S}{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists a unique $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $F(t_0) = \frac{S}{B}$. Function u given by (6) is easily seen to be an extremal strategy.

References

- [1] Bondi, H., *Note on "A student related optimal control problem" by Ragget, Hempson and Jukes*, Bull.Inst.Math.Appl.18 (1982), 10-11

- [2] Klamkin, M.S., *A student optimal control problem and extensions*, Math.Modelling,6 (1985),49-64
- [3] Muntean, I., Vornicescu, N., *Estrategias de aprendizaje en tiempo minimo*, Metodos Matematicos Aplicados a las Ciencias, VII, VIII Simposios, Editorial de la Universidad de Costa Rica, (1994), 183-201
- [4] Ragget, Hempson and Jukes, *A student related optimal control problem*, Bull.Inst.Math.Appl.,17 (1981),133-138
- [5] Vornicescu, N., *A generalized student optimal problem*, PU.M.A., 15 (2008),349-356.
- [6] Vornicescu, N., *A continuous case of student optimal control problem*, Automation, Computer, Applied Mathematics, 15 (2006), 325-330.
- [7] Woodside, W., *A student optimal control problem or how to pass courses with the minimum expenditure of effort*, Appl. Math. Notes, Canad.Math.Soc.,7 (1982), 2-13

Manuscript received: 22.01.2009 / accepted: 23.04.2009