

WEAKLY BINARY $g\alpha$ – CLOSED SETS AND WEAKLY BINARY αg – CLOSED SETS IN BINARY TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

DURASINGAM ABINAYA¹, MICHAEL GILBERT RANI²,
RAJENDRAN PREMKUMAR²

Manuscript received: 21.01.2023; Accepted paper: 30.04.2023;

Published online: 30.06.2023.

Abstract. In this paper, we will define some new class of generalized closed sets called weakly binary generalized α -closed sets, weakly binary α generalized closed sets, binary generalized $\ast\alpha$ -closed sets and weakly binary generalized $\ast\alpha$ -closed sets in binary topological spaces and study some of their characterizations and properties.

Keywords: $wbg\alpha$ -closed sets; $wbag$ -closed sets; $bg\ast\alpha$ -closed sets and $wbg\ast\alpha$ -closed sets.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1970 Levine [1] gives the concept and properties of generalized closed (briefly g -closed) sets and the complement of g -closed set is said to be g -open set. Njasted [2] introduced and studied the concept of α -sets. Later these sets are called as α -open sets in 1983. Mashhours et.al [3] introduced and studied the concept of α -closed sets, α -closure of set, α -continuous functions, α -open functions and α -closed functions in topological spaces. Maki et.al [4, 5] introduced and studied generalized α -closed sets and α -generalized closed sets. In 2011, S.Nithyanantha Jothi and P.Thangavelu [6] introduced topology between two sets and also studied some of their properties. Topology between two sets is the binary structure from X to Y which is defined to be the ordered pairs (A, B) where $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$. In this paper, we will define some new class of generalized closed sets called weakly binary generalized α -closed sets, weakly binary α generalized closed sets, binary generalized $\ast\alpha$ -closed sets and weakly binary generalized $\ast\alpha$ -closed sets in binary topological spaces and study some of their characterizations and properties.

Let X and Y be any two nonempty sets. A binary topology [6] from X to Y is a binary structure $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X) \times \mathbb{P}(Y)$ that satisfies the axioms namely

1. (ϕ, ϕ) and $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{M}$,
2. $(A_1 \cap A_2, B_1 \cap B_2) \in \mathcal{M}$ whenever $(A_1, B_1) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $(A_2, B_2) \in \mathcal{M}$, and
3. If $\{(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) : \alpha \in \delta\}$ is a family of members of \mathcal{M} , then $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \delta} A_\alpha, \bigcup_{\alpha \in \delta} B_\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$.

If \mathcal{M} is a binary topology from X to Y then the triplet (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is called a binary topological space and the members of \mathcal{M} are called the binary open subsets of the binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The elements of $X \times Y$ are called the binary points of the binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . If $Y = X$ then \mathcal{M} is called a binary topology on X in which case we write (X, \mathcal{M}) as a binary topological space.

¹ Madurai Kamaraj University, Department of Mathematics, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: abimat@annejac.ac.in.

² Arul Anandar College, Department of Mathematics, Karumathur, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: gilmathaac@gmail.com; prem.rpk27@gmail.com.

Definition 1.1. [6] Let X and Y be any two nonempty sets and let (A, B) and $(C, D) \in \mathbb{P}(X) \times \mathbb{P}(Y)$. We say that $(A, B) \subseteq (C, D)$ if $A \subseteq C$ and $B \subseteq D$.

Definition 1.2. [6] Let (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) be a binary topological space and $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$. Then (A, B) is called binary closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) if $(X \setminus A, Y \setminus B) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 1.3. [6] Let (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) be a binary topological space and $(A, B) \subseteq (X, Y)$. Let $(A, B)^{1*} = \bigcap \{A_\alpha : (A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \text{ is binary closed and } (A, B) \subseteq (A_\alpha, B_\alpha)\}$ and $(A, B)^{2*} = \bigcap \{B_\alpha : (A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \text{ is binary closed and } (A, B) \subseteq (A_\alpha, B_\alpha)\}$. Then $((A, B)^{1*}, (A, B)^{2*})$ is binary closed and $(A, B) \subseteq ((A, B)^{1*}, (A, B)^{2*})$.

Proposition 1.4. [6] Let (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) be a binary topological space and $(A, B) \subseteq (X, Y)$. Let $(A, B)^{1*} = \bigcup \{A_\alpha : (A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \text{ is binary open and } (A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \subseteq (A, B)\}$ and $(A, B)^{2*} = \bigcup \{B_\alpha : (A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \text{ is binary open and } (A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \subseteq (A, B)\}$.

Definition 1.5. [6] The ordered pair $((A, B)^{1*}, (A, B)^{2*})$ is called the binary closure of (A, B) , denoted by $b\text{-cl}(A, B)$ in the binary space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) where $(A, B) \subseteq (X, Y)$.

Definition 1.6. [6] The ordered pair $((A, B)^{1*}, (A, B)^{2*})$ defined in proposition 1.4 is called the binary interior of (A, B) , denoted by $b\text{-int}(A, B)$. Here $((A, B)^{1*}, (A, B)^{2*})$ is binary open and $((A, B)^{1*}, (A, B)^{2*}) \subseteq (A, B)$.

Definition 1.7. [6] Let (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) be a binary topological space and let $(x, y) \subseteq (X, Y)$. The binary open set (A, B) is said to be a binary neighbourhood of (x, y) if $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Proposition 1.8. [6] Let $(A, B) \subseteq (C, D) \subseteq (X, Y)$ and (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) be a binary topological space. Then, the following statements hold:

1. $b\text{-int}(A, B) \subseteq (A, B)$.
2. If (A, B) is binary open, then $b\text{-int}(A, B) = (A, B)$.
3. $b\text{-int}(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-int}(C, D)$.
4. $b\text{-int}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) = b\text{-int}(A, B)$.
5. $(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-cl}(A, B)$.
6. If (A, B) is binary closed, then $b\text{-cl}(A, B) = (A, B)$.
7. $b\text{-cl}(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-cl}(C, D)$.
8. $b\text{-cl}(b\text{-cl}(A, B)) = b\text{-cl}(A, B)$.

Definition 1.9. A subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is called

1. a binary semi open set [7] if $(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$.
2. a binary pre open set [8] if $(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(A, B))$,
3. a binary regular open set [9] if $(A, B) = b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(A, B))$.

Definition 1.10. A subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is called

1. a binary g -closed set [10] if $b\text{-cl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary open.
2. binary g^* -closed set [11] if $b\text{-cl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary g -open in (X, Y) .
3. a binary gs -closed set [12] if $b\text{-scl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary open.
4. a binary sg -closed set [12] if $b\text{-scl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary semi open.

5. a binary gr -closed set [9] if $b\text{-rcl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary open.

6. a binary gsp -closed set [13] if $b\text{-}\beta\text{cl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary open.

7. a binary gp -closed set [13] if $b\text{-pcl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary open.

8. a binary gpr -closed set [9] if $b\text{-pcl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary regular open.

Definition 1.11. A subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is called

1. a binary α -open [14] if $(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)))$.
2. a binary β -open [8] if $(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-cl}(b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(A, B)))$.

Definition 1.12. [15] A subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is called

1. a binary $g\alpha$ -closed if $b\text{-cl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary α -open.
2. a binary αg -closed if $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary open.

2. WEAKLY BINARY $g\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS AND WEAKLY BINARY αg -CLOSED SETS

Definition 2.1. A subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is said to be

1. weakly binary generalized α -closed (briefly $wbg\alpha$ -closed) set if $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary α -open in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .
2. weakly binary α -generalized closed (briefly $wb\alpha g$ -closed) set if $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary open in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .
3. binary generalized $^*\alpha$ -closed (briefly $bg^*\alpha$ -closed) set if $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary $g\alpha$ -open in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .
4. weakly binary generalized $^*\alpha$ -closed (briefly $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed) set if $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) \subseteq (U, V)$ whenever $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) is binary $g\alpha$ -open in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

The collection of all $wbg\alpha$ -closed (resp. $wb\alpha g$ -closed, $bg^*\alpha$ -closed and $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed) sets of (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is denoted by $WBG\alpha C(X, Y)$ (resp. $WB\alpha GC(X, Y)$, $BG^*\alpha C(X, Y)$ and $WBG^*\alpha C(X, Y)$).

Proposition 2.2. Every binary closed set in a topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Assume that a subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is binary closed. Let (U, V) be a binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing (A, B) . Then $(U, V) \supseteq (A, B) = b\text{-cl}(A, B)$, as (A, B) is binary closed. Also, $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-cl}(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. We have $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. Hence (A, B) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The converse of Proposition 2.2 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{1, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \{(\phi, \phi), (\{a\}, \{1\}), (\phi, \{1\}), (X, Y)\}$. Then the sets in $\{(\phi, \phi), (\{b\}, \{2\}), (X, \{2\}), (X, Y)\}$ are binary closed. Then the subset $(\{b\}, \{1\})$ is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed but not binary closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Corollary 2.4. Every binary regular closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: We know that every binary regular closed set is binary closed and by Proposition 2.2, every binary closed set is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed. Hence every binary regular closed set is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Proposition 2.5. Every binary α -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Assume that a subset (A, B) is binary α -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . Let (U, V) be a binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing (A, B) . Then $(U, V) \supseteq (A, B) = b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$, as (A, B) is α -closed. Thus $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. Hence (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The converse of Proposition 2.5 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.6. In Example 2.3, then the subset $(\{a\}, Y)$ is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed but not binary α -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.7. Every binary $g\alpha$ -closed set in a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is $wbg\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Let (A, B) be a subset of (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) which is binary $g\alpha$ -closed and let (U, V) be an binary α -open set containing (A, B) . Since (A, B) is binary $g\alpha$ -closed, $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. Then $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. i.e., $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. Hence (A, B) is $wbg\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The converse of Proposition 2.7 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.8. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{1, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \{(\phi, \phi), (\phi, \{2\}), (\{a\}, \{1\}), (X, \{1\}), (\{a\}, Y), (X, Y)\}$. Then the subset $(\phi, \{1\})$ is $wbg\alpha$ -closed but not binary $g\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.9. If a subset (A, B) of (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is both binary open and $wbg\alpha$ -closed, then it is binary $g\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Let (A, B) be a subset of (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) which is both binary open and $wbg\alpha$ -closed. Then $(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. i.e., $(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. Hence (A, B) is binary $g\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.10. Every binary αg -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is $wb\alpha g$ -closed.

Proof: Assume that a subset (A, B) of (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is binary αg -closed. Let (U, V) be an binary open set containing (A, B) , Then $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$, as (A, B) is binary αg -closed. Thus $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. i.e., $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. Hence (A, B) is $wb\alpha g$ -closed. The converse of Proposition 2.10 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.11. In Example 2.8, then the subset $(\{a\}, \{2\})$ is $wb\alpha g$ -closed but not binary αg -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.12. If a subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is both binary open and $wb\alpha g$ -closed, then it is binary αg -closed.

Proof: Assume that a subset (A, B) of (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is both binary open and $wb\alpha g$ -closed. Then $(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. i.e., $(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. Hence (A, B) is binary αg -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.13. Every $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is

1. binary $g\alpha$ -closed,
2. $wbg\alpha$ -closed,
3. binary αg -closed and
4. $wb\alpha g$ -closed.

Proof: Assume that (A, B) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

1. Let (U, V) be an binary α -open set containing (A, B) . Then (U, V) is a binary $g\alpha$ -open set, as every binary α -open set is binary $g\alpha$ -open. Since (A, B) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set, $(U, V) \supseteq (A, B)$ and $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. Therefore (A, B) is binary $g\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

2. Follows from (1) and from Proposition 2.7.

3. Let (U, V) be an binary open set containing (A, B) . Then (U, V) is a binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing (A, B) . Thus $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$, as (A, B) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set. Therefore (A, B) is binary αg -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

4. Follows from (3) and from Proposition 2.10.

The converse of Proposition 2.13 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.14. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \{(\phi, \phi), (\{a\}, \{1\}), (\{b\}, \phi), (\{b\}, \{2\}), (\{a, b\}, \{1\}), (\{a, b\}, Y), (X, Y)\}$. Then the subset $(\{a\}, \{2\})$ is binary $g\alpha$ -closed, $wbg\alpha$ -closed, binary αg -closed and $wb\alpha g$ -closed but not $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Remark 2.15. The following examples show that the concept of binary semi-closed and $wbg\alpha$ -closed sets are independent.

Example 2.16. In Example 2.14, then the subset $(\{c\}, \{1\})$ is $wbg\alpha$ -closed but not binary semi-closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and then the subset $(\{a\}, \{1\})$ is binary semi-closed but not $wbg\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.17. Every $wbg\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is $wb\alpha g$ -closed.

Proof: Let (A, B) be a $wbg\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and let (U, V) be an binary open set containing (A, B) . Since every binary open set is binary α -open, (U, V) is an binary α -open set containing (A, B) . Since (A, B) is $wbg\alpha$ -closed, $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. Hence (A, B) is $wb\alpha g$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The converse of Proposition 2.17 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.18. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{1, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \{(\phi, \phi), (\phi, \{1\}), (\{a\}, \{1\}), (\{b\}, \{1\}), (X, \{1\}), (X, Y)\}$. Then the subset $(\{b\}, Y)$ is $wb\alpha g$ -closed but not $wbg\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Corollary 2.19. Every binary closed set is $wb\alpha g$ -closed.

Proof: By Proposition 2.2, every binary closed set is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed and by Proposition 2.13, every $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set is $wb\alpha g$ -closed. Hence every binary closed set is $wb\alpha g$ -closed.

Remark 2.20. The following example show that the concept of binary g -closed and $wbg\alpha$ -closed sets are independent.

Example 2.21. In Example 2.18 Then the subset $(\{a\}, \phi)$ is $wbg\alpha$ -closed but not binary g -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and the subset $(\{b\}, Y)$ is binary g -closed but not $wbg\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.22. Every $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Assume that a subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed. Let (U, V) be a binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing (A, B) . Then $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$, as (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed. Thus $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. So, $(U, V) \subseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. Hence (A, B) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The converse of Proposition 2.22 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.23. In Example 2.14, then the subset $(\{a\}, \{2\})$ is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed but not $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.24. If a subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is both binary open and $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed, then it is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Assume that a subset (A, B) in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is both binary open and $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed. Let (U, V) be a binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing (A, B) . Since (A, B) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed, $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. Thus $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$, as (A, B) is binary open. Hence (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Remark 2.25. The following examples show that the concept of binary sg -closed and $wbg\alpha$ -closed sets are independent.

Example 2.26. In Example 2.14, then the subset $(\{b\}, \{2\})$ is binary sg -closed but not $wbg\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and then the subset $(\{b, c\}, \{2\})$ is $wbg\alpha$ -closed but not binary sg -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.27. If a subset A of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is binary nowhere dense, then it is $wbg\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Let (A, B) be a binary nowhere dense set. Then $b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(A, B)) = (\phi, \phi)$. It is obvious that $(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$ and also $b\text{-int}(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-int}(b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)) \subseteq b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(A, B))$. Hence $b\text{-int}(A, B) = (\phi, \phi)$ which implies $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) = (\phi, \phi)$. Thus (A, B) is $wbg\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The converse of Proposition 2.27 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.28. In Example 2.14, then the subset $(\{a, c\}, \{1\})$ is $wbg\alpha$ -closed but not binary nowhere dense.

Proposition 2.29. If a subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is binary nowhere dense, then it is $wb\alpha g$ -closed.

Proof: The proof follows from Propositions 2.17 and 2.27.

Proposition 2.30. Every $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is $wb\alpha g$ -closed.

Proof: Let (A, B) be a $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and let (U, V) be an binary open set containing (A, B) . Since every binary open set is binary $g\alpha$ -open, (U, V) is a binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing (A, B) . Since (A, B) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed, $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. Hence (A, B) is $wb\alpha g$ -closed. The converse of Proposition 2.30 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.31. In Example 2.8, then the subset $(\{a\}, \{2\})$ is $wb\alpha$ -closed but not $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Remark 2.32. The following examples show that the concept of binary β -closed and $wb\alpha$ -closed sets are independent.

Example 2.33. In Example 2.3, then the subset $(\phi, \{1\})$ is binary β -closed but not $wb\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and then the subset $(\{b\}, \{2\})$ is $wb\alpha$ -closed but not binary β -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Remark 2.34. The following examples show that the concept of binary sg -closed and $wb\alpha$ -closed sets are independent.

Example 2.35. In Example 2.14, then the subset $(\{a\}, Y)$ is binary sg -closed but not $wb\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and then the subset $(\{b, c\}, \{2\})$ is $wb\alpha$ -closed but not binary sg -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.36. Every binary g -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is $wb\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Assume that a subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is binary g -closed. Let (U, V) be an binary open set containing (A, B) . Then $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-cl}(A, B)$, as (A, B) is binary g -closed. Thus $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-cl}(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. i.e., $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. Hence (A, B) is $wb\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The converse of Proposition 2.36 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.37. In Example 2.8, then the subset $(\phi, \{1\})$ is $wb\alpha$ -closed but not binary g -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.38. Every $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is binary gs -closed.

Proof: Let a subset (A, B) be $bg^*\alpha$ -closed and let (U, V) be an binary open set containing (A, B) . Then (U, V) is a binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing (A, B) . Since (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed, $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$. Thus $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \supseteq b\text{-scl}(A, B)$. i.e., $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-scl}(A, B)$. Hence (A, B) is binary gs -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 2.38 need not be true:

Example 2.39. In Example 2.14, then the subset $(\{a\}, \{2\})$ is binary gs -closed but not $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Remark 2.40. The following examples show that the concepts of binary semi-closed and $bg^*\alpha$ -closed sets are independent.

Example 2.41. In Example 2.14, then the subset $(\{b, c\}, \phi)$ is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed but not binary semi-closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and then the subset $(\{a\}, \{1\})$ is binary semi-closed but not $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Remark 2.42. The following examples show that the concepts of binary semi-closed and $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed sets are independent.

Example 2.43. In Example 2.14, then the subset $(\{a\}, Y)$ is binary semi-closed but not $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and then the subset $(\{b, c\}, \{2\})$ is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed but not binary semi-closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.44. If a subset (A, B) of a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) is binary nowhere dense, then it is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proof: Assume that (A, B) is binary nowhere dense in (X, Y) , then $b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(A, B)) = (\phi, \phi)$. It is obvious that $(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$ and also $b\text{-int}(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-int}(b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)) \subseteq b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(A, B))$. Thus $b\text{-int}(A, B) \subseteq b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(A, B))$. Since $b\text{-int}(b\text{-cl}(A, B)) = (\phi, \phi)$, we get $b\text{-int}(A, B) = (\phi, \phi)$. This implies that $b\text{-cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) = (\phi, \phi)$. Hence $(\phi, \phi) = b\text{-cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. So, $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) = (\phi, \phi)$. Therefore, (A, B) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The converse of Proposition 2.44 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.45. In Example 2.14, then the subset $(\{a, c\}, \{1\})$ is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed but not binary nowhere dense in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.46. Every $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed set is binary gsp -closed.

Proof: Let (A, B) be a $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed set and let (U, V) be a binary open set containing (A, B) . Then (U, V) is a binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing (A, B) . Since (A, B) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed, $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B))$. Now, $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)) \supseteq b\text{-int}(b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)))$. This implies $(A, B) \cup (U, V) \supseteq (A, B) \cup b\text{-int}(b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(b\text{-int}(A, B)))$. i.e., $(U, V) \supseteq b\text{-}sp\text{cl}(A, B)$. Hence (A, B) is binary gsp -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . The converse of Proposition 2.46 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.47. In Example 2.8, then the subset $(\{a\}, \{2\})$ is binary gsp -closed but not $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Theorem 2.48. A set (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed if and only if $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B)$ contains no non-empty binary $g\alpha$ -closed set.

Proof:

Necessity: Assume that (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed. Let (U, V) be a binary $g\alpha$ -closed set such that $(U, V) \subseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B)$. Then $(U, V)^c$ is a binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing (A, B) . From the definition of $bg^*\alpha$ -closed, $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)^c$. i.e., $(U, V) \subseteq (b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B))^c$. This implies that $(U, V) \subseteq b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \cap (b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B))^c = (\phi, \phi)$. i.e., $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B)$ contains no non-empty binary $g\alpha$ -closed set.

Sufficiency: Let us assume that $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B)$ contains no non-empty binary $g\alpha$ -closed set. Let $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ where (U, V) is a binary $g\alpha$ -open subset of (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . If $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B)$ is not contained in (U, V) , then $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \cap (U, V)^c$ is a non-empty binary $g\alpha$ -closed set of $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B)$. Thus we obtain a contradiction. Therefore $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ and hence (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Corollary 2.49. Let (A, B) be a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set. Then (A, B) is binary α -closed if and only if $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B)$ is binary $g\alpha$ -closed.

Proof:

Necessity: Assume that a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set (A, B) is binary α -closed. i.e., $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) = (A, B)$. Then $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B) = (\phi, \phi)$ is binary α -closed and hence binary $g\alpha$ -closed.

Sufficiency: Let $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B)$ be binary $g\alpha$ -closed. By Theorem 2.48, $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B)$ contains no non-empty binary $g\alpha$ -closed set. i.e., $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) - (A, B) = (\phi, \phi)$. Therefore $b\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}(A, B) = (A, B)$. Hence (A, B) is binary α -closed.

Proposition 2.50. Let (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) be a binary topological space and let $(C, D) \subseteq (A, B) \subseteq (X, Y)$. If (C, D) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set relative to (A, B) and (A, B) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed subset of (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . Then (C, D) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set relative to (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proof: Let $(C, D) \subseteq (U, V)$ and (U, V) be a binary $g\alpha$ -open set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . Then $(C, D) \subseteq (A, B) \cap (U, V)$. Since (C, D) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed relative to (A, B) , $b\text{-}acl(C, D) \subseteq (A, B) \cap (U, V)$. i.e., $(A, B) \cap b\text{-}acl(C, D) \subseteq (A, B) \cap (U, V)$. We have $(A, B) \cap \alpha b\text{-}cl(C, D) \subseteq (U, V)$ and hence $(A, B) \cap b\text{-}acl(C, D) \cup (b\text{-}acl(C, D))^c \subseteq (U, V) \cup (b\text{-}acl(C, D))^c$. Since (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) , we have $b\text{-}acl(A, B) \subseteq (U, V) \cup (b\text{-}acl(C, D))^c$. Also $(C, D) \subseteq (A, B)$ implies $b\text{-}acl(C, D) \subseteq b\text{-}acl(A, B)$, thus $b\text{-}acl(C, D) \subseteq b\text{-}acl(A, B) \subseteq (U, V) \cup (b\text{-}acl(C, D))^c$. Therefore $b\text{-}acl(C, D) \subseteq (U, V)$, since $b\text{-}acl(C, D)$ is not contained in $(b\text{-}acl(C, D))^c$. Thus (C, D) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set relative to (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.51. If (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed and (E, F) is closed in a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) , then $(A, B) \cap (E, F)$ is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proof: Clearly, $(A, B) \cap (E, F)$ is binary closed in (A, B) . Therefore $b\text{-}cl((A, B) \cap (E, F)) = (A, B) \cap (E, F)$ in (A, B) . Let $(A, B) \cap (E, F) \subseteq (U, V)$, where (U, V) is binary $g\alpha$ -open in (A, B) . Then $b\text{-}acl((A, B) \cap (E, F)) \subseteq b\text{-}cl((A, B) \cap (E, F)) = (A, B) \cap (E, F) \subseteq (U, V)$. Thus $b\text{-}acl((A, B) \cap (E, F)) \subseteq (U, V)$ and hence $(A, B) \cap (E, F)$ is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (A, B) . By Proposition 2.50, $(A, B) \cap (E, F)$ is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.52. If (A, B) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and $(A, B) \subseteq (C, D) \subseteq B\text{-}acl(A, B)$, then (C, D) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proof: Let (G, H) be a binary $g\alpha$ -open set in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) such that $(C, D) \subseteq (G, H)$ and hence $(A, B) \subseteq (G, H)$. Since (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed, $b\text{-}acl(A, B) \subseteq (G, H)$. Since $(C, D) \subseteq b\text{-}acl(A, B)$ we have, $b\text{-}acl(C, D) \subseteq b\text{-}acl(A, B) = b\text{-}acl(A, B) \subseteq (G, H)$. Hence $b\text{-}acl(C, D) \subseteq (G, H)$ which implies that (C, D) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.53. Let (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) be a binary topological space and $(A, B) \subseteq (E, F) \subseteq (X, Y)$. If (A, B) is a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y) , then (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed relative to (E, F) .

Proof: Let (A, B) be a $bg^*\alpha$ -closed set in (X, Y) and let $(A, B) \subseteq (E, F) \cap (U, V)$, where (U, V) is binary $g\alpha$ -open in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . Since (A, B) is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) and $(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$ implies $b\text{-}acl(A, B) \subseteq (U, V)$. That is $(E, F) \cap b\text{-}acl(A, B) \subseteq (E, F) \cap (U, V)$ where $(E, F) \cap b\text{-}acl(A, B)$ is binary α -closure of (A, B) in (E, F) . Thus, (A, B) is $ng^*\alpha$ -closed relative to (E, F) .

Result 2.54. For a binary topological space (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) the following results hold:

1. Let $(C, D) \subseteq (A, B) \subseteq (X, Y)$. If (C, D) is a $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed set relative to (A, B) and (A, B) is a $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed subset of (X, Y) . Then (C, D) is a $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed set relative to (X, Y) .
2. If (A, B) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed and (G, H) is binary closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) , then $(A, B) \cap (G, H)$ is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .
3. If (A, B) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed and $(A, B) \subseteq (C, D) \subseteq b\text{-}acl(b\text{-}int(A, B))$, then (C, D) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed.
4. Let $(A, B) \subseteq (E, F) \subseteq (X, Y)$. If (A, B) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y) , then (A, B) is $wbg^*\alpha$ -closed relative to (E, F) .

Proposition 2.55. If (A, B) is binary $g\alpha$ -open and $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) , then (A, B) is binary α -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proof: Let (A, B) be binary $g\alpha$ -open and $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . Then $b-\alpha cl(A, B) \subseteq (A, B)$. Also we know that $(A, B) \subseteq b-\alpha cl(A, B)$ for every subset (A, B) of (X, Y) . Hence $b-\alpha cl(A, B) = (A, B)$. This implies that (A, B) is binary α -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) .

Proposition 2.56. For each $\{i, j\} \in (X, Y)$, either $\{i, j\} \notin BG\alpha C(X, Y)$ or its complement $(X, Y) - \{i, j\} \in BG^*\alpha C(X, Y)$.

Proof: Assume that $\{i, j\} \notin BG\alpha C(X, Y)$, for each $(i, j) \in (X, Y)$. Therefore $(X, Y) - \{i, j\} \notin BG\alpha O(X, Y)$. Then (X, Y) is the only binary $g\alpha$ -open set containing $(X, Y) - \{i, j\}$. Hence $b-\alpha cl((X, Y) - \{i, j\}) \subseteq (X, Y)$ which implies that $(X, Y) - \{i, j\}$ is $bg^*\alpha$ -closed in (X, Y, \mathcal{M}) . Hence $(X, Y) - \{i, j\} \in BG^*\alpha C(X, Y)$.

CONCLUSION

In this work weakly binary $g\alpha$ -closed sets and weakly binary ag -closed sets in binary topological space were introduced and the properties of the sets were investigated. The continuation of this work will deal with some special functions on these topological spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] Levine, N., *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, **19**(2), 89, 1970.
- [2] Njastad, O., *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, **15**(3), 961, 1965.
- [3] Mashhour, A.S., Abd El-Monsef, M.E., EL-Deeb, S.N., *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **41**, 213, 1983.
- [4] Maki, H., Devi, R., Balachandran, K., *Bulletin of Fukuoka University of Education*, **42**, 13, 1993.
- [5] Maki, H., Devi, R., Balachandran, K., *Memoirs of the Faculty of Science. Series A. Mathematics*, **15**, 51, 1994.
- [6] Nithyanantha Jothi, S., Thangavelu, P., *Journal of Mathematical Sciences & Computer Applications*, **1**(3), 95, 2011.
- [7] Nithyanantha Jothi, S., *International journal of Mathematical Archieve*, **7**(9), 73, 2016.
- [8] Jayalakshmi, S., Manonmani, A., *International Journal of Analytical and Experimental Modal Analysis*, **12**(4), 494, 2020.
- [9] Nithyanantha Jothi, S., Thangavelu, P., *IRA-International Journal of Applied Sciences*, **4**(2), 259, 2016.
- [10] Nithyanantha Jothi, S., Thangavelu, P., *Ultra Scientist*, **26**(1), 25, 2014.
- [11] Gnana Arockiam, A., Gilber Rani, M., Premkumar, R., *Indian Journal of Natural Science*, **13**(76), 52299, 2023.
- [12] Santhini, C., Dhivya, T., *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, **9**(10), 1, 2018.
- [13] Jayalakshmi, S., Manonmani, A., *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, **66**(7), 18, 2020.
- [14] Granados, C., *South Asian Journal of Mathematics*, **11**(1), 1, 2021.
- [15] Abinaya, D., Gilbert Rani, M. and Premkumar, R., *Indian Journal of Natural Science*, **14**(77), 54089, 2023.
- [16] Gilber Rani, M., Premkumar, R., *Journal of Education: Rabindra Bharati University*, **XXIV**(1)(XII), 164, 2022.
- [17] Nithyanantha Jothi, S., Thangavelu, P., *Acta Ciencia Indica*, **XLIM**(3), 241, 2015.