ORIGINAL PAPER

NEW CONCENTRATION INEQUALITIES AND COMPLETE CONVERGENCE FOR ELNQD RANDOM VARIABLES WITH APPLICATION TO LINEAR MODELS GENERATED BY ELNQD ERRORS

FATMA MOUSSAOUI¹, SAMIR BENAISSA²

Manuscript received: 17.06.2022; Accepted paper: 28.08.2023; Published online: 30.09.2023.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the concept of extended linear negative quadrant dependence (ELNQD, in short). We establish a new concentration inequalities and complete convergence for the distribution of sums of extended linear negative quadrant dependent random variables. Using these inequalities for proved the complete convergence of first autoregressive processes model generated by identically distributed ELNQD errors.

Keywords: autoregressive processes; *Random variables; ELNQD sequence; complete convergence.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of negative dependence random variable in the bivariate cas was introduced by [1]: two random variables X_1 and X_2 are said to be negatively quadrant dependent (NQD, in short), if for all real numbers x_1, x_2

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 \le x_2) \le \mathbb{P}(X_1 \le x_1)\mathbb{P}(X_2 \le x_2),$$

or

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 > x_1, X_2 > x_2) \le \mathbb{P}(X_1 > x_1)\mathbb{P}(X_2 > x_2).$$

Joag-Dev and Proschan (in [2]) established stronger concept than extended negative quadrant dependence (NQD): A sequence $(X_i, 1 \le i \le n)$ of random variables is said to be negatively lower orthant dependent (NLOD, in short) if for all real numbers $x_1, ..., x_n$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \le x_1, \dots, X_n \le x_n) \le \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \le x_i),$$

and it is said to be negatively upper orthant dependent (NUOD, in short) if for all real numbers x_1, \ldots, x_n

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 > x_1, \dots, X_n > x_n) \le \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i > x_i).$$

¹ University Center Naama, Math and computer science, 45000 Naama, Algeria. E-mail: <u>fatma.moussaoui@yahoo.fr</u>

² Djillali Liabes University, Probability and statistic, 22000 Sidi Bel Abbes, Algeria. E-mail: <u>benaissamir@yahoo.fr</u>.

www.josa.ro

If a sequence $(X_i, 1 \le i \le n)$ of random variables is both NLOD and NUOD, then it is said to be negatively orthant dependent (NOD, in short). [3] formulated a nother notion of negative dependence as follows:

- A sequence $(X_i, 1 \le i \le n)$ of random variables is said to be linearly negative quadrant dependent (LNQD, in short) if for any disjoint subsets A and B of $\{1, ..., n\}$ and positive r_i 's, $\sum_{i \in A} r_i X_i$, $\sum_{i \in B} r_i X_i$ are NQD.

Next, [4] introduced the concept of extended negative dependence in the multivariate case: A sequence $(X_i, 1 \le i \le n)$ of random variables is said to be extended negative lower orthant dependent (ENLOD, in short) if for all real numbers x_1, \ldots, x_n , there exists a constant M > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \le x_1, \dots, X_n \le x_n) \le M \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \le x_i),$$

and it is said to be extended negative upper orthant dependent (ENUOD, in short) if for all real numbers $x_1, ..., x_n$, there exists a constant M > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 > x_1, \dots, X_n > x_n) \le M \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i > x_i).$$

A sequence $(X_i, 1 \le i \le n)$ of random variables is said to be extended negatively orthant dependent (ENOD) if it is both ENUOD and ENLOD. Based on the concept of by NQD and ENOD we consider the concept of extended negative dependence in the bivariate case as following: two random variables X_1 and X_2 are said to be extended negatively quadrant dependent (ENQD, in short), if there exists a constant M > 0 such that for all real numbers x_1, x_2

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 \le x_2) \le M \mathbb{P}(X_1 \le x_1) \mathbb{P}(X_2 \le x_2),$$

or

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 > x_1, X_2 > x_2) \le M \mathbb{P}(X_1 > x_1) \mathbb{P}(X_2 > x_2).$$

And by definitions of extended negative quadrant dependence and linear negative quadrant dependence, another notion of extended negative dependence can be formulated as follows:

- A sequence $(X_i, 1 \le i \le n)$ of random variables is said to be extended linearly negative quadrant dependent (ELNQD, in short) if for any disjoint subsets *A* and *B* of $\{1, ..., n\}$ and positive r_i 's, $\sum_{i \in A} r_i X_i$, $\sum_{i \in B} r_i X_i$ are ENQD.

The purpose of the present paper is to establish a new probability inequality and complete convergence for ELNQD random variables, and to extend and improve the results of [5-7].

In the paper, let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of random variables defined on a probability space (Ω, A, P) and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_n$.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Lemma 2.1. [4] Let two random variables X and Y be ENQD, then:

i. If f and g are both nondecreasing (or both nonincreasing) functions, then f(X) and g(Y) are ENQD,

ii. If X and Y are nonnegative random variables, then there exists a constant M > 0 such that $\mathbb{E}(XY) \le M\mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$,

iii. Especially, there exists a constant M > 0 such that for any real number h, $\mathbb{E}(e^{h(X+Y)}) \le M\mathbb{E}(e^{hX})\mathbb{E}(e^{hY})$.

Corollary 2.1. [6] Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of ELNQD random variables and t >0. Then for each $n \ge 1$, there exists a constant M > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{t\mathbf{X}\mathbf{i}}\right) \le M \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbb{E}e^{t\mathbf{X}\mathbf{i}})$$
(1)

Lemma 2.2. [5] For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, we have

$$e^{x} \le 1 + x + |x|^{1+\alpha} e^{2|x|} \tag{2}$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of ELNQD random variables with $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$, for each $n \ge 1$. If there exists a sequence of positive numbers $(a_n, n \ge 1)$ such that $|X_n| \le a_i$, and $\max_{i\ge 1} a_i$ exists for each $i \ge 1$, then for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda S_n}) \le Mexp\left(\lambda^{1+\alpha} \ e^{2\lambda \max_{i\ge 1} a_i} \ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^{1+\alpha}\right)$$
(3)

Proof: By lemma 2.2, $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$, $|X_n| \le a_i$, for each $i \ge 1$ and $1 + x \le e^x$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X_{i}}) \leq \mathbb{E}(1 + \lambda X_{i} + \lambda^{1+\alpha} |X_{i}|^{1+\alpha} e^{2\lambda |X_{i}|})
= 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}(X_{i}) + \lambda^{1+\alpha} \mathbb{E}(|X_{i}|^{1+\alpha} e^{2\lambda |X_{i}|})
\leq \exp(\lambda^{1+\alpha} \mathbb{E}(|X_{i}|^{1+\alpha} e^{2\lambda |X_{i}|})
\leq \exp(\lambda^{1+\alpha} e^{2\lambda \max_{i\geq 1} a_{i}} \mathbb{E} |X_{i}|^{1+\alpha}).$$
(4)

By corollary 2.1 and (4) we have can see that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right)$$

$$\leq M \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right)$$

$$\leq M \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left(\lambda^{1+\alpha} e^{2\lambda \max_{i\geq 1} a_{i}} \mathbb{E} |X_{i}|^{1+\alpha}\right)$$

$$\leq M \exp\left(\lambda^{1+\alpha} e^{2\lambda \max_{i\geq 1} a_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} |X_{i}|^{1+\alpha}\right) \blacksquare$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of ELNQD random variables with $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$, for each $n \ge 1$, if there exists a sequence of positive numbers $(a_n, n \ge 1)$ such that $|X_n| \le a_i$, for each $i \ge 1$, then for any $\lambda > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon) \le 2M \ e^{-\lambda\varepsilon} exp\left(\lambda^{1+\alpha} \ e^{2\lambda \max_{i\ge 1} a_i} \ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^{1+\alpha}\right)$$
(5)

Proof: Markov's inequality and lemma 2.3, we can see that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \ge \varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}(e^{\lambda S_n} \ge e^{-\lambda\varepsilon})$$

$$\le e^{-\lambda\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda X_i}\right)$$

$$\le M e^{-\lambda\varepsilon} \exp\left(\lambda^{1+\alpha} e^{2\lambda \max_{i\ge 1} a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^{1+\alpha}\right)$$
(6)

Since $-X_n$'s are still ELNQD by (6) we obtain

$$(S_n \le -\varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}(-S_n \ge \varepsilon) \le M \ e^{-\lambda\varepsilon} \exp(\lambda^{1+\alpha} \ e^{2\lambda \max_{i\ge 1} a_i} \ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^{1+\alpha})$$
(7)

By (6) and (7) the desired result (5) follows.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of identically distributed ELNQD random variables, $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$ and finite variances. If there exists a sequence of positive numbers $(a_n, n \ge 1)$ such that $|X_n| \le a_i$, for each $i \ge 1$, then for any $p > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ and $n \ge 1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{n} \ge \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\leq M K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{p\alpha}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$
(8)
With

With

$$\mathbf{K} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} b^{\frac{q}{p}} e^{2q\lambda \max_{i\geq 1} a_i} \left(\mathbb{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha}\right)^q\right)$$

Proof: Markov's inequality and lemma 2.3, we can see that

$$I = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{n} \ge \varepsilon\right) \le \mathbb{P}\left(e^{\lambda S_n} \ge e^{-\lambda \varepsilon n}\right)$$
$$\le M e^{-\lambda \varepsilon n} \exp\left(\lambda^{1+\alpha} n e^{2\lambda \max_{i\ge 1} a_i} \mathbb{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha}\right)$$

Let p > 1, it is well known that

$$UV = inf_{b>0} \left\{ \frac{1}{pb} \ U^p + \frac{1}{q} \ b^{\frac{q}{p}} \ V^q \right\} \text{ for } U > 0, V > 0 \ and \ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

This yields the inequality

$$\lambda^{1+\alpha} n \, e^{2\lambda \max_{i \ge 1} a_i} \, \mathbb{E} |X_1|^{1+\alpha} \le \frac{1}{pb} \lambda^{p(1+\alpha)} \, n^p + \frac{1}{q} \, b^{\frac{q}{p}} \, e^{2q\lambda \max_{i \ge 1} a_i} (\mathbb{E} |X_1|^{1+\alpha})^q$$

We can thus conclude that for every p > 0, there for all $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\begin{split} I &\leq M \exp\left(-\lambda \varepsilon n + \frac{1}{pb} \lambda^{p(1+\alpha)} n^p\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} b^{\frac{q}{p}} e^{2q\lambda \max_{i\geq 1} a_i} (\mathbb{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha})^q\right) \\ &\leq M K \exp\left(-\lambda \varepsilon n + \frac{1}{pb} \lambda^{p(1+\alpha)} n^p\right) \end{split}$$

The equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} \Big[-\lambda \varepsilon n + \frac{1}{pb} \lambda^{p(1+\alpha)} n^p \Big] = 0,$$

has a unique solution

$$\lambda^* = \left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\overline{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{1-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}$$

which minimizes $(-\lambda \varepsilon n + \frac{1}{pb} \lambda^{p(1+\alpha)} n^p)$. Then

$$I \le M K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{p\alpha}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$

With

$$\mathbf{K} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} b^{\frac{q}{p}} e^{2q\lambda \max_{i\geq 1} a_i} (\mathbb{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha})^q\right)$$

That concludes our theorem. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.2. Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of identically distributed ELNQD random variables, $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$ and finite variances. If there exists a sequence of positive numbers $(a_n, n \ge 1)$ such that $|X_n| \le a_i$, for each $i \ge 1$, then for any p > 1, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon) \le 2M \ K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$

with

$$\mathbf{K} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} b^{\frac{q}{p}} e^{2q\lambda \max_{i \ge 1} a_i} \left(\mathbb{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha}\right)^q\right)$$

Proof: By hypothesis $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$ and $|X_n| \le a_i$, for each $i \ge 1$. Hence, by Markov's inequality, lemma 2.4, corollary 2.1 and the fact that $1 + x \le e^x$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \ge \varepsilon) \le \mathbb{P}(e^{\lambda S_n} \ge e^{-\lambda \varepsilon}) \le M e^{-\lambda \varepsilon} \exp\left(\lambda^{1+\alpha} n e^{2\lambda \max_{i\ge 1} a_i} \mathbb{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha}\right)$$
$$\le M \exp\left(-\lambda \varepsilon + \lambda^{1+\alpha} n e^{2\lambda \max_{i\ge 1} a_i} \mathbb{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha}\right)$$

Using the same method as proof of the theorem 3.1. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq \varepsilon) \leq M K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$
(9)

Since $-X_n$'s are still ELNQD by (9) we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \le -\varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}(-S_n \ge \varepsilon) \le M K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$
(10)

From (9) and (10), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(|S_n| \ge \varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}(S_n \ge \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(-S_n \ge \varepsilon)$$

$$\le 2M K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$

$$K = \exp\left(\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)} e^{\frac{2q}{p}} e^{\frac{2q}{p}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) e^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} e^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$

with

$$\mathbf{K} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} \ b^{\frac{q}{p}} \ e^{2q\lambda \max_{i\geq 1} a_i} \ (\mathbb{E}|X_1|^{1+\alpha})^q\right)$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of identically distributed ELNQD random variables, $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$ and finite variances. If there exists a sequence of positive numbers $(a_n, n \ge 1)$ such that $|X_n| \le a_i$, for each $i \ge 1$, then for any $p > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ and $n \ge 1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(|S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)| \ge \varepsilon)$$

$$\le 2M K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$
(11)

where

$$\mathbf{K} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} b^{\frac{q}{p}} e^{4q\lambda \max_{i\geq 1} a_i} \left(\mathbb{E}|X_1 - \mathbb{E}(X_1)|^{1+\alpha}\right)^q\right)$$

Proof: Markov's inequality and theorem 3.2, we obtain that for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n) \ge \varepsilon) \le \mathbb{P}\left(e^{\lambda(S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n))} \ge e^{-\lambda\varepsilon}\right)$$

$$\le e^{-\lambda\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left[\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i))\right]$$

$$\le M \exp\left(-\lambda\varepsilon + \lambda^{1+\alpha} e^{4\lambda \max_{i\ge 1} a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i)|^{1+\alpha}\right)$$

$$\le M \operatorname{Kexp}\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$
(12)

with

$$\mathbf{K} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} b^{\frac{q}{p}} e^{4q\lambda \max_{i\geq 1} a_i} \left(\mathbb{E}|X_1 - \mathbb{E}(X_1)|^{1+\alpha}\right)^q\right)$$

Let $-S_n = T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (-X_i)$. Since $(-X_n, n \ge 1)$ is also a sequence of ELNOD random variables. We also have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n) \le -\varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}(T_n - \mathbb{E}(T_n) \ge \varepsilon)$$

$$\le M K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$
(13)

By (12) and (13) the desired result (11) follows. \blacksquare

Corollary 3.1. Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of identically distributed ELNQD random variables, $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$ and finite variances. If there exists a sequence of positive numbers $(a_n, n \ge 1)$ such that $|X_n| \le a_i$, for each $i \ge 1$, then for any $p > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ and $n \ge 1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(|S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)| \ge n \varepsilon) \le 2M K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{p\alpha}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$
(14)

where

$$\mathbf{K} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} b^{\frac{q}{p}} e^{4q\lambda \max_{i\geq 1}a_i} \left(\mathbb{E}|X_1 - \mathbb{E}(X_1)|^{1+\alpha}\right)^q\right)$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of identically distributed ELNQD random variables, $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$ and finite variances. If there exists a sequence of positive numbers $(a_n, n \ge 1)$ such that $|X_n| \le a_i$, for each $i \ge 1$, then for any $p > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ and $n \ge 1$, then

$$n^{-r} S_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$
 completely.

Proof: For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by theorem 2.3 we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|S_n| \ge n^r \varepsilon) \\ &\le 2M \, K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \, \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1\right) \\ &-\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \, (n^r \varepsilon)^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right) \\ &\le 2M \, K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \, \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right) \right\}^{n^{\frac{rp(1+\alpha)-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}} \end{split}$$

$$\leq 2M K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\exp(-k')\}^{n^{\frac{rp(1+\alpha)-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}}$$

where k' is positive number not depending on n. Using the inequality $e^{-y} \leq (\frac{d}{ey})^d$, choosing $d = \frac{2p(1+\alpha)-1}{rp(1+\alpha)-p}, d > 0, y > 0$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|S_n| \ge n^r \varepsilon) \le 2M K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{ek'}\right)^d \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\left(\frac{rp(1+\alpha)-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}\right)^d}$$
$$\le 2M K \left(\frac{d}{ek'}\right)^d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{d(rp(1+\alpha)-p)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}}$$
$$\le 2M K \left(\frac{d}{ek'}\right)^d \sum_{\substack{n=1\\n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$
$$\le 2M K \left(\frac{d}{ek'}\right)^d \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$
$$< \infty (15)$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of identically distributed ELNQD random variables and $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$. Assume that there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $|X_n| \le a_n$, for each $1 \le i \le n, n \ge n_0$, where $(a_n, n \ge 1)$ is a sequence of positive numbers. Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)| \ge n^r \varepsilon) \le \infty$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ be a sequence of identically distributed ELNQD random variables and $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$. If there exists a positive number a such that $|X_i| \le a_{ni}$, for each $i \ge 1$, then for any r > 0

$$n^{-r}(S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$
 completely.

Proof: For any $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows from corollary 3.1 that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)| \ge n^r \varepsilon)$$

$$\le 2M \, K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) (n^r \varepsilon)^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$
(16)

$$\begin{split} &\leq 2M \, K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1\right) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)} \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \right) \right\}^{n^{\frac{rp(1+\alpha)-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}} \\ &\quad \leq 2M \, K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \exp(-k') \right\}^{n^{\frac{rp(1+\alpha)-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}} \\ &\quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)| \ge n^r \varepsilon) \\ &\leq 2M \, K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) (n^r \varepsilon)^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right) \right) \\ &\leq 2M \, K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right) \right\}^{n^{\frac{rp(1+\alpha)-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}} \\ &\leq 2M \, K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \exp(-k') \right\}^{n^{\frac{rp(1+\alpha)-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}} \end{split}$$

By this result we get (15).

4. APPLICATION TO THE RESULTS TO LINEAR MODELS GENERATED BY ELNQD ERRORS

The basic object of this section is applying the results to first-order autoregressive processes (AR(1)) model. We consider an autoregressive time series of first order AR(1) defined by

$$X_{n+1} = \theta X_n + \zeta_{n+1} n = 1, 2 \dots, \tag{17}$$

where θ is a parameter with $|\theta| < 1$, and where $\{\zeta_n, n \ge 0\}$ is a sequence of identically distributed ELNQD random variables with $\zeta_0 = X_0 = 0, 0 < \mathbb{E}\zeta_k^4 < \infty, k = 1, 2, \dots$ Here, we can rewrite X_{n+1} in (17) as follows:

$$X_{n+1} = \theta^{n+1} X_0 + \theta^n \zeta_1 + \theta^{n-1} \zeta_2 + \dots + \zeta_{n+1}.$$
 (18)

The coefficient θ is fitted least squares, giving the estimator θ_n , for all $n \ge 1$, by

$$\widehat{\theta_n} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j X_{j-1}}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j-1}^2}$$
(19)

It immediately follows from (18) and (19) that

$$\widehat{\theta_n} - \theta = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j X_{j-1}}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j-1}^2}$$
(20)

Theorem 4.1: Let the condition of theorem 3.3 be satisfied then for any $\frac{(\mathbb{E}\zeta_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho^2} < \xi$ positive, we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\widehat{\theta_{n}}-\theta\right| > \rho\right) \\ \leq 2M \, K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \\ & \times \left(1-\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \left(\rho^{2}\xi^{2} - \mathbb{E}\zeta_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right) \\ & + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} n \frac{(T_{1}-n\xi^{2})^{2}}{T_{2}}\right) \end{split}$$

where $\mathbf{K} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} \mathbf{b}^{\frac{q}{p}} \mathbf{e}^{4\lambda q \max_{i \ge 1} a_i} (\mathbb{E}|\zeta_1^2 - \mathbb{E}\zeta_1^2|^{1+\alpha})^q\right)$, $T_1 = \mathbb{E}(X_j^2)$ and $T_2 = \mathbb{E}(X_j^4)$.

Proof: Let

$$\widehat{\theta_n} - \theta = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j X_{j-1}}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j-1}^2}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}|\widehat{\theta_n} - \theta| > \rho) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j X_{j-1}}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j-1}^2}\right| > \rho\right)$$

By virtue of the probability properties and Hölder's inequality, we have for any ξ positive

$$\mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}|\widehat{\theta_n} - \theta| > \rho) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j^2 \ge \rho^2 \xi^2\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j-1}^2 \le \xi^2\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j^2 \ge (\rho^2 \xi^2)n\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j-1}^2 \le n^2 \xi^2\right)$$
$$= I_{1n} + I_{2n}$$

Next we estimate I_{1n} and I_{2n}

$$I_{1n} = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta_j^2 \ge (\rho^2 \xi^2) n\right)$$
(21)

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta_j^2 - \mathbb{E}\zeta_j^2 + \mathbb{E}\zeta_j^2) \ge (\rho^2 \xi^2) n\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta_j^2 - \mathbb{E}\zeta_j^2) \ge (\rho^2 \xi^2 - \mathbb{E}\zeta_1^2) n\right)$$
$$\le \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta_j^2 - \mathbb{E}\zeta_j^2)\right| \ge (\rho^2 \xi^2 - \mathbb{E}\zeta_1^2) n\right)$$

By using the theorem (3.3), we have

$$I_{1n} = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta_{j}^{2} \ge (\rho^{2}\xi^{2})n\right)$$

$$\le 2M \, K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \left(1\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\left((\rho^{2}\xi^{2} - \mathbb{E}\zeta_{1}^{2})n\right)^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}n^{\frac{-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right)$$
(22)

where $K = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} b^{\frac{q}{p}} e^{4\lambda q \max_{i\geq 1} a_i} (\mathbb{E}|\zeta_1^2 - \mathbb{E}\zeta_1^2|^{1+\alpha})^q\right)$. Now, we limite the probability I_{2n} , using the Markov's inequality, we have for any λ

positive

$$\begin{split} I_{2n} &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \le n^{2}\xi^{2}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(n^{2}\xi^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \ge 0\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\left\{n^{2}\xi^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \ge 0\right\}}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda\left(n^{2}\xi^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2}\right)}\right), \lambda > 0 \\ &\leq e^{\lambda n^{2}\xi^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2}}\right) \\ &\leq e^{\lambda n^{2}\xi^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda X_{j-1}^{2}}\right). \end{split}$$

Since

$$I_{2n} \leq e^{\lambda n^2 \xi^2} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda X_{j-1}^2}\right)$$

We take the inequality

$$e^{-x} \le 1 - x + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \tag{23}$$

To show this let $\psi(x) = e^{-x}$, $\phi(x) = 1 - x + \frac{1}{2}x^2$ and recall that for every x,

$$e^x \ge 1 + x, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$
(24)

So that

$$\psi(x)' = -e^{-x} \le -1 + x^2 = \phi(x)'.$$

•

Since $\psi(0) = \phi(0) = 1$ this implies $\psi(x) \le \phi(x)$ for all $x \ge 0$ and (23) is claimed. By (23) and (24), we can write that any for λ positive

$$\begin{split} e^{\lambda n^{2}\xi^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda X_{j-1}^{2}}\right) &\leq e^{\lambda n^{2}\xi^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(1 - \lambda X_{j-1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda X_{j-1}^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\ &= e^{\lambda n^{2}\xi^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \lambda \mathbb{E}X_{j-1}^{2} + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E}(X_{j-1}^{4})\right) \\ &\leq e^{\lambda n^{2}\xi^{2}} \left(1 - \lambda \mathbb{E}X_{j-1}^{2} + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E}(X_{j-1}^{4})\right)^{n} \\ &\leq e^{\lambda n^{2}\xi^{2}} \left(exp\left(-\lambda \mathbb{E}X_{j-1}^{2} + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E}(X_{j-1}^{4})\right)\right)^{n} \\ &\leq e^{\lambda n^{2}\xi^{2}} \exp\left(-\lambda n\mathbb{E}X_{j-1}^{2} + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E}(X_{j-1}^{4})\right) \end{split}$$

where $T_1 = \mathbb{E}(X_j^2) < \infty$, $T_2 = \mathbb{E}(X_j^4) < \infty$, we have

$$I_{2n} = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \le n^{2} \xi^{2}\right) \le \exp\left(\lambda(n^{2} \xi^{2} - nT_{1}) + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} n T_{2}\right)$$
$$\le \inf_{\lambda > 0} \exp\left(\lambda(n^{2} \xi^{2} - nT_{1}) + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} n T_{2}\right), \forall \lambda > 0$$

The equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left[\lambda (n^2 \xi^2 - nT_1) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} n T_2 \right] = 0,$$

has a unique solution

$$\lambda = \frac{T_1 - n\xi^2}{T_2}$$

which minimizes $\left(\lambda(n^2\xi^2 - nT_1) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}nT_2\right)$. Hence

$$I_{2n} = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \le n^{2} \xi^{2}\right) \le exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} n \frac{(T_{1} - n\xi^{2})^{2}}{T_{2}}\right).$$
(25)

Then for any $\rho > 0, T_1 < \infty, T_2 < \infty$, and by (22), (25), we have $\mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}|\widehat{\theta_n} - \theta| > \rho)$

$$\leq 2M \, K \exp\left(-\left(\frac{b}{1+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(1+\alpha)}\right) \left(\rho^2 \xi^2 - \mathbb{E}\zeta_1^2\right)^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)}{p(1+\alpha)-1}} n^{\frac{p(1+\alpha)-p}{p(1+\alpha)-1}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} n \frac{(T_1 - n\xi^2)^2}{T_2}\right).$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 4.1. The sequence $(\hat{\theta}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined in (19) converge complete to the parameter θ of the order 1 autoregressive process. Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n} |\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| > \rho) \le \infty.$$
(26)

Proof: By using theorem 3.6 and $\mathbb{E}(X_j^2) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(X_j^4) < \infty$, we get the result of (26) immediately. ■

5. CONCLUSION

We are interested in this work to establish some new exponential type inequalities and complete convergence for distribution of sums of extended linear negative quadrant dependence (ELNQD, in short) random variables. We applied these inequalities and proved the complete convergence of first-order autoregressive processes AR(1) with identically distributed ELNQD errors.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lehmann, E. L., *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **37**, 1137, 1966.
- [2] Joag-Dev, K., Proschan, F., Annals of Statistics, 11, 286, 1983.
- [3] Newman, C. M., *Theory of Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 5, 127, 1984.
- [4] Liu, L., Statistics and Probability Letters, **99**, 1290, 2009.
- [5] Nadjia, A., Amina, Z., Samir, B., Journal of Science and Arts, 55, 437, 2021.
- [6] Hyun-Chull, K., Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, 24, 783, 2011.
- [7] Chen, X., Liu, F., Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods, 46, 12387, 2017.