ORIGINAL PAPER DIFFERENCE-CUM-EXPONENTIAL EFFICIENT ESTIMATOR OF POPULATION VARIANCE

KHALID UL ISLAM RATHER^{1,*}, M. IQBAL JEELANI¹, M. YOUNIS SHAH¹, AFSHAN TABASSUM¹, S.E.H RIZVI¹

> Manuscript received: 28.02.2022; Accepted paper: 06.05.2022; Published online: 30.06.2022.

Abstract. In the current investigation, we have suggested a Difference Cum-Exponential Type Efficient Estimator of Population variance of the study variable using information on the auxiliary variable. Up to the first order of approximation, the proposed estimator's bias and mean square error (MSE) expressions are derived and suggested optimum estimator is also found, with its optimal qualities are investigated. The suggested estimator is proven to be more competent than sample variance, classic ratio estimators based on Isaki, Singh et al. and Kadilar and Cingi estimators in [1-3]. Numerical study is also carried out by using real data sets.

Keywords: ratio estimator; exponential estimator; bias; mean square error; efficiency.

1. INTRODUCTION

In general, supplementary data is used is survey sampling to improve sampling strategies and attain higher accuracy in estimations of particular population parameters like the mean, variance of the research variable. This evidence can be employed during the design stage (leading to stratified, systematic, or probability proportional to size sample designs, for example) as well as the estimating stage. When auxiliary data is employed at the estimation stage, it is widely known that the ratio, product and regression estimation approaches are frequently used in various circumstances. This is an example of in sampling theory, estimating population variance is a hot topic, and numerous efforts have been made to increase the precision of the estimates. A considerable deal has been written about survey sampling in the literature. A variety of strategies have been utilised, including different estimators and the use of auxiliary data. In the current manuscript, we have suggested a Difference Cum-Exponential Type Efficient Estimator of Population variance. Here the keypurpose of this paper is to propose a new estimator and to increase the efficiency of the estimator for the population variance.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Let the finite population U consists of N units $U_1, U_2, ..., U_N$ and the simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) technique is used to select a sample of n units

¹ Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, Faculty of Basic Sciences, Division of Statistics & Computer Science, 180009 Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India.

^{*} Corresponding author: <u>khalidstat34@gmail.com</u>.

from this population. Assume that Y and X represent the study and explanatory variables, respectively, and these variables are closely connected.

It is well identified that the variance of the sample variance estimator, $t_0 = S_y^2$

$$V(t_0) = \gamma S_y^4 [(\lambda_{40} - 1)]$$
(2.1)

Based on Robson in [4] stated estimator for the population mean, product typeestimator for the population variance as

$$t_1 = \mathbf{S}_y^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{S}_x^2}{\mathbf{S}_x^2} \right)$$
(2.2)

wh

where,
$$S_{y}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} - \overline{y} \right)^{2}$$
, $S_{x}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2}$, $S_{x}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\chi_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2}$,
 $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}$, $\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i}$, $\overline{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i}$, and $\overline{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$

The MSE of the estimator, Eq. (2.2) presents as

$$MSE(t_1) = \gamma S_{y}^{4} [(\lambda_{40} - 1) + (\lambda_{04} - 1) + 2(\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
(2.3)

where,

$$\lambda_{rs} = \frac{\mu_{rs}}{\mu_{20}^{r/2} \mu_{02}^{s/2}}, \ \mu_{rs} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum \left(Y_i - \overline{Y} \right), \ f = \frac{n}{N} \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{(1-f)}{n}$$

Based on Bahl and Tuteja and Singh et al. in [5-2] suggested the exponential-ratio estimator for the population variance as

$$t_{2} = s_{y}^{2} \exp\left[\frac{S_{x}^{2} - S_{x}^{2}}{S_{x}^{2} + S_{x}^{2}}\right]$$
(2.4)

The MSE of the estimator, Eq. (2.4) presents as

$$MSE(t_{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{4} \left[(\lambda_{40} - 1) + \frac{(\lambda_{04} - 1)}{4} - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
(2.5)

Based on Bahl and Tuteja in [5], the exponential-product type estimator for the population variance can be define is stated as

$$t_{3} = S_{y}^{2} \exp\left[\frac{S_{x}^{2} - S_{x}^{2}}{S_{x}^{2} + S_{x}^{2}}\right]$$
(2.6)

as

The MSE of the estimator, Eq. (2.6) presents as

$$MSE(t_{3}) = \gamma S_{y}^{4} \left[(\lambda_{40} - 1) + \frac{(\lambda_{04} - 1)}{4} + (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
(2.7)

Kadilar and Cingi in [3], the ratio estimator for the population variance can be stated

$$t_{4} = S_{y}^{2} \left[\frac{\left(S_{x}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(S_{x}^{2}\right)^{2}} \right]$$
(2.8)

The MSE of the estimator, Eq. (2.8) presents as

$$MSE(t_{4}) = \gamma S_{y}^{4} [(\lambda_{40} - 1) + 4(\lambda_{04} - 1) - 4(\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
(2.9)

Kadilar and Cingi in [3], the product-type estimator for the population variance, can be stated as

$$t_{5} = \mathbf{S}_{y}^{2} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{S}_{x}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{S}_{x}^{2}\right)^{2}} \right]$$
(2.10)

The MSE of the estimator, Eq. (2.10) presents as

$$MSE(t_5) = \gamma S_{\gamma}^{4} [(\lambda_{40} - 1) + 4(\lambda_{04} - 1) + 4(\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
(2.11)

3. PROPOSED ESTIMATOR

We suggest the estimator of the population variance as a result and motivated Tiwari *et al.* in [6],

$$t_{RK} = \eta S_{y}^{2} + \delta \left(S_{x}^{2} - S_{x}^{2} \right) \left[1 - \exp \left(\frac{S_{x} - S_{x}}{S_{x} + S_{x}} \right) \right]^{-1}$$
(3.1)

where *k*, *t* are suitably chosen constants.

For study the large sample assets of the suggested class of estimators t_6 , we express $S_y^2 = S_y^2 (1 + \varepsilon_0)$ and $S_x^2 = S_x^2 (1 + \varepsilon_0)$ such that $E(\varepsilon_1) = 0$ for i=0,1 and $E(\varepsilon_0^2) = \gamma(\lambda_{40} - 1)$, $E(\varepsilon_1^2) = \gamma(\lambda_{04} - 1)$, $E(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1) = \gamma(\lambda_{22} - 1)$.

Express (3.1) in terms of \mathcal{E}_i 's

$$\boldsymbol{t}_{RK} = \eta S_{y}^{2} (1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{0}) + \delta S_{x}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1} \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}}{2 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}}\right) \right]^{-1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{t}_{RK} = \eta \boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{2} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{0} \right) + \delta \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1} \left[1 - \exp \left\{ \frac{-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}}{2} \right)^{-1} \right\} \right]^{-1}$$

Expression in terms of ε 's and ignoring terms having ε 's degree greater than two to get first order approximation, we have

$$\boldsymbol{t}_{RK} = \eta \boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{2} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{0} \right) + 2\delta \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{3\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}}{4} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}^{2}}{2} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

On simplifying, we get

$$\boldsymbol{t}_{RK} - \boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{2} = \left[\boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{2}(\eta - 1) - 2\delta \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{2}\right] + \eta \boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{0} - \delta \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{2} \left(\frac{3\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}}{2}\right) \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{2}}{8} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}^{2}$$
(3.2)

To obtain the bias of t_{rk} up to first order of Approximation, take expectation on both sides of Eq. (3.2), we have

$$Bias(\boldsymbol{t}_{RK}) = \left[\boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{2}(\eta-1) - 2\delta \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{2}\right] - \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{2}}{8}\gamma(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{04}-1)$$
(3.3)

By squaring Eq. (3.3) on both sides and terminating the terms having ε 's degree more than two, we have

$$\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{RK} - \boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{2}\right)^{2} = \left[\boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{2}(\eta-1) - 2\delta\boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{2}\right]^{2} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{4}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}^{2} - \eta\delta\boldsymbol{S}_{xy}^{2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1} + \left[\frac{11}{4}\boldsymbol{t}^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\delta(\eta-1)\boldsymbol{R}\right]\boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{4}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{2}^{2}$$

$$(3.4)$$

To get the MSE of t_{rk} to the first order of approximation, take expectation on both sides of Eq. (3.4), we have

$$MSE(t_{RK}) = \left[S_{y}^{2}(\eta-1) - 2\delta S_{x}^{2}\right]^{2} + \eta^{2} S_{y}^{4} \gamma (\lambda_{40}-1) - \eta \delta S_{xy}^{2} 3\gamma (\lambda_{22}-1) + \left[\frac{11}{4}\delta^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\delta(\eta-1)R\right] S_{x}^{4} \gamma (\lambda_{04}-1)$$
(3.5)

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{t}_{RK}) = \boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{4} \left[1 - 2\eta + \eta^{2} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} + \delta \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{2} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{3} - \eta \delta \boldsymbol{\phi}_{4} \right]$$
(3.6)

where,

$$\phi_{1} = \gamma(\lambda_{40} - 1), \phi_{2} = \frac{4}{R} + \frac{\gamma(\lambda_{04} - 1)}{4R}, \phi_{3} = \frac{4}{R^{2}} + \frac{11}{4R^{2}}\gamma(\lambda_{04} - 1)$$
$$\phi_{4} = \frac{4}{R} + \frac{\gamma(\lambda_{04} - 1)}{4R} + \frac{3}{R}\gamma(\lambda_{22} - 1), R = \frac{S_{y}^{2}}{S_{x}^{2}}$$

To minimize $MSE(t_{RK})$, we have to differentiate $MSE(t_{RK})$ in Eq. (3.6) partially with respect to k and t, and equating to zero, we get

$$2\varphi_{1}\eta - \varphi_{4}\delta = 2 \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$-\phi_4 \eta + 2\phi_3 \delta = -\phi_2 \tag{3.8}$$

On solving above simultaneous Eqs.(3.7) and (3.8) for k and t, the optimal values of kand *t* are obtained as

$$\eta_{0} = \frac{4\phi_{3} - \phi_{2}\phi_{4}}{4\phi_{1}\phi_{3} - \phi_{4}^{2}}, \delta_{0} = \frac{2\phi_{4} - 2\phi_{1}\phi_{2}}{4\phi_{1}\phi_{3} - \phi_{4}^{2}}$$
(3.9)

The minimum MSE (t_{RK}) can be obtained by using the optimum values of k and t from Eq. (3.9) in Eq. (3.6), as

$$MSE\min(\boldsymbol{t}_{RK}) = \boldsymbol{S}_{y}^{4} \left[1 - \frac{4\phi_{3} + \phi_{1}\phi_{2}^{2} - 2\phi_{2}\phi_{4}}{4\phi_{1}\phi_{3} - \phi_{4}^{2}} \right]$$
(3.10)

4. EFFICIENCY COMPARISON

In this section, the performance of the suggested estimator has been demonstrated over the existing estimators in the literature as follows:

From (2.1) and (3.10)

 $[Var(t_0) - [MSE] _min(t_RK)] > 0$ I. $\omega_0 - \omega_6 > 0$ (3.1)

From (2.3) and (3.10)

II.
$$[MSE(t_1) - MSE_{min}(t_{RK})] > 0$$

$$\omega_1 - \omega_6 > 0 \tag{3.2}$$

From (2.5) and (3.10)

- III. $[MSE(t_2) - MSE_{min}(t_{RK})] > 0$
 - $\omega_2 \omega_6 > 0$ (3.3)

From (2.7) and (3.10)

IV.
$$[MSE(t_3) - MSE_{min}(t_{RK})] > 0$$

$$\omega_3 - \omega_6 > 0 \tag{3.4}$$

From (2.9) and (3.10)

 $[MSE(t_4) - MSE_{min}(t_{RK})] > 0$ V.

 $\omega_4 - \omega_4$ From (2.11) and (3.10)

$$\omega_4 - \omega_6 > 0 \tag{3.5}$$

From
$$(2.11)$$
 and (3.10)

$$[MSE(t_{5}) - MSE_{min}(t_{RK})] > 0$$

$$\omega_{5} - \omega_{6} > 0$$

$$\omega_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} - \overline{y} \right)^{2}$$

$$\omega_{1} = \left[(\lambda_{40} - 1) + (\lambda_{04} - 1) + 2(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$

$$\omega_{2} = \left[(\lambda_{40} - 1) + \frac{(\lambda_{04} - 1)}{4} - (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$

$$\omega_{3} = \left[(\lambda_{40} - 1) + \frac{(\lambda_{04} - 1)}{4} + (\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
(3.6)

$$\omega_{4} = \left[(\lambda_{40} - 1) + 4(\lambda_{04} - 1) - 4(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$

$$\omega_{5} = \left[(\lambda_{40} - 1) + 4(\lambda_{04} - 1) + 4(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$

$$\omega_{6} = \left[1 - \frac{4\phi_{3} + \phi_{1}\phi_{2}^{2} - 2\phi_{2}\phi_{4}}{4\phi_{1}\phi_{3} - \phi_{4}^{2}} \right]$$

It is observed that $\hat{Y}_{RK(min)}$ is always more proficient than the traditional estimators t_0 , t_1 , t_2 , t_3 , t_4 and t_5 , because the conditions from (3.1) to (3.6) are always satisfied.

5. EMPIRICAL STUDY

A data set is considered to exhibits the enactment of the suggested estimator; we use some real-life population. Description of the populations is given below:

Population I: in [7]

Y: Figure of inhabitants in 1930 *X*: Figure of inhabitants in 1920

Table 5.1. Statistical Description							
N = 196	n = 49	$\lambda_{40}=8.5362$	$\lambda_{04} = 7.3617$	$\lambda_{22} = 7.8780$	$S_y = 123.12$	$S_x = 104.57$	

Population II: in [8]

Y: Output for 80 factories. *X:* Fixed Capital.

Table 5.2. Statistical Description

N = 80 n = 20 $\lambda 40 = 2.2667$ $\lambda 04 = 2.8664$ $\lambda 22 = 2.2209$ S _y = 18.3569 S _x = 11.4563							
	N = 80	n = 20	$\lambda 40 = 2.2667$	λ04= 2.8664	λ22=2.2209	$S_y = 18.3569$	$S_x = 11.4563$

VI.

Population III: in [9]

Y: leaf area for newly developed strain.

X: weight of leaves

$N = 39 \qquad n = 14 \qquad \lambda_{40} = 2.4032 \qquad \lambda_{04} = 2.993$	$\lambda_{22}=2.4882$	$S_y = 25.2442$	$S_x = 13.1413$
---	-----------------------	-----------------	-----------------

Population IV: in [10]

An artificial population containing the following description below.

Table 5.4. Statistical Description							
N = 200	n = 60	$\lambda_{40}=2.56$	$\lambda_{04} = 3.74$	$\lambda_{22} = 2.47$	$S_y = 14.109$	$S_x = 6.967$	

Estimators	PRE					
Estimators	POPULATION I	POPULATION II	POPULATION III	POPULATION IV		
$t_0 = S_y^2$	S _y ²		-	-		
t ₁	27.252	22.723	22.019	21.547		
t ₂	335.147	247.209	339.557	201.29		
t_3	47.088	42.878	41.397	41.992		
t_4	137.748	32.912	41.001	23.494		
t_5	12.458	9.3031	9.155	8.4783		
t _{RK}	789.457	139.831	155.938	173.007		

Table 5.5, revealed the percent relative proficiencies (PRE) of estimators for population I. Here we that the suggested exponential ratio type estimator t_{RK} proved to be the best estimator in the sense of having highest percent relative efficiency than usual unbiased estimators $t_0 = S_y^2$, t_1 , t_2 , t_3 , t_4 and t_5 for the population I.

Also the relative proficiencies of estimators for population II-IV. It is seen that the proposed exponential ratio type estimator t_{RK} proved to be the best estimator in the sense of having highest percent relative efficiency than usual unbiased estimators $t_0 = S_y^2$, t_1 , t_3 , t_4 and t_5 for the population II-IV.

6. CONCLUSION

Finally, we conclude that when auxiliary data is available, there is sufficient flexibility in selecting the suggested estimator of population variance of variable under to be study. Thus suggested exponential ratio type estimator t_{RK} is thought to be the best estimator in terms of percent relative efficiency when compared to existing estimators.

REFERENCES

- [1] Isaki, C.T., Journal of the American Statistical Association, 78, 117, 1983.
- [2] Singh, R., Chauhan, P., Sawan, N., Smarandache F., *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **28**, 101 108, 2011.
- [3] Kadilar, C., Cingi, H., Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 32, 105, 2003.
- [4] Robson, D.S., Journal of the American Statistical Association, 52, 511, 1957.
- [5] Bahl, S., Tuteja, R.K., Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences, 12, 159, 1991.

- [6] Tiwari, K.K., Bhougal, S., Kumar, S., A Difference-Cum-Exponential Type Efficient Estimator of Population Mean. In Kulshrestha, R., Shekhar, C., Jain, M., Chakravarthy S.R. (Eds.), *Mathematical Modeling and Computation of Real-Time Problems. An interdisciplinary approach*, 1st ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 181-193, 2021.
- [7] Cochran, W.G., *Sampling Techniques*. New-York, John Wiley and Sons, 1977.
- [8] Murthy, M.N., *Sampling Theory and Methods*, Statistical Publishing Society, Calcutta, 1967.
- [9] Singh, R., Mangat, N.S., *Elements of surveys sampling*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [10] Shukla, D., Thakur, N.S., *Statistics in Transition*, **9**(1), 33, 2008.