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#### Abstract

A similar problem was recently considered with $f^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \lambda$ as $t \rightarrow T_{c},\left(T_{c}\right.$ is the maximum value for the existence or no existence of $f_{c}$ ), $\lambda \in\{0,1, \pm \infty\}$ and $0<\beta<1$. In this paper, we study same the equation (with $\beta<0$ ) and we establish the existence of solutions satisfying the boundary conditions $f(0)=a \in \mathbb{R}, f^{\prime}(0)=b<0$ and $f^{\prime}(+\infty) \in$ $\{0,1\}$. In order to do that, we utilize the shooting technique, then we consider the initial value problem consisting of the differential equation such that $f(0)=a \in \mathbb{R}, f^{\prime}(0)=b<0$ and $f "(0)=c \geq 0$. We accompany our results by some numerical illustrations.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the nonlinear autonomous differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}+\beta f^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}-1\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $[0,+\infty)$ with the boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=a<0, f^{\prime}(0)=b<0 \text { and } f^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \lambda \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1) $(b<\beta<0)$ has already been considered in [1-3]. Such problems arise from the study of free convection and of mixed convection boundary layer flows over a vertical surface embedded in a porous medium in [4-8]. Our goal here is to study, as in [9] and [1], the existence or nonexistence and uniqueness of solution of the following boundary problem ( $P_{a, b, \lambda}$ ) with $b<0$ and $\lambda \in\{0,1\}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}+\beta f^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}-1\right)=0 \text { on }[0,+\infty) \\
f(0)=a \\
f^{\prime}(0)=b \\
f^{\prime}(+\infty)=\lambda
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will focus our attention on convex and convex-concave solutions. In what follows, convex and concave will mean strictly convex and strictly concave. Recall that a function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of class $C^{3}$ on the interval $I$ is convex (resp-concave) if and only if $f^{\prime}$ is increasing

[^0](resp. decreasing). If the function $f$ is of class $C^{3}$ such that $f^{\prime \prime}>0$ (resp. $f^{\prime \prime}<0$ ) on $I$, then $f$ is convex (resp. concave). The converse, however, is not true.

Remark 1.1. The solutions of the problem $P(a, b, 1)$ are called similarity solutions (a similarity solution is a particular type of solution which reflects the invariance of the properties of equation (1.1) the latter arises from a system of partial differential equations in certain situations where simplifying assumptions have been made) and the famous example is the Blasius equation (1907) which corresponds to $\beta=0$ and arises in the study of the laminar boundary layer on a flat plate. Note here that many papers have been published about the Blasius equation, see [3,5]. To solve the boundary value problem $P(a, b, 1)$ we investigate the results of [2] and we will use the shooting technique. Let $f_{c}$ be the solution of the initial value problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ consisting of Equation (1) and the conditions $f(0)=a \in$ $\mathbb{R}, f^{\prime}(0)=b<0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=c \geq 0$. Let $\left[0, T_{c}\right)$ be the right maximal interval of existence of $f_{c}$. Obtaining a solution of $(P(a, b, 1))$ is equivalent to finding a value of a $c$ such that $T_{c}=+\infty$ and $f^{\prime}{ }_{c}(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

We examine in Section 2 some preliminary results about the equation:

$$
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}+\beta f^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}-1\right)=0
$$

In Sections 3 and 4, we investigate the problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ and the convex, convexconcave solutions of the problem $P(a, b, 0)$ and $P(a, b, 1)$ for $a<0$ and $a>0$. Finally, we accompany our results by some numerical illustrations for the problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ using shooting algorithm mathematic.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. MATERIALS

In this section, we recall some results about sub-solutions and super-solutions of the Blasius equation (see [2, 10, 11]). The Blasius equation is the third order ordinary differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained from (1) with $\beta=0$.
Definition 2.1.1. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Say that a function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sub-solution (resp. a super-solution) of the Blasius equation, if $f$ is of class $C^{3}$ and if

$$
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime} \leq 0 \text { (resp. } f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime} \geq 0 \text { ) on } I .
$$

Proposition 2.1.2. Let $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. There does not exist a nonpositive convex super-solution of the Blasius equation on the interval $\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)$.

Proposition 2.1.3. Let $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. There does not exist a nonpositive concave sub-solution of the Blasius equation on the interval $\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)$.

Proposition 2.1.4. Let f be a solution of (1) on some maximal interval $I=\left(T_{-}, T_{+}\right)$. The following properties are satisfied:

1) $\left(f^{\prime \prime}(t)+f(t)\left(f^{\prime}(t)-1\right)\right)^{\prime}=(1-\beta) f^{\prime}(t)\left(f^{\prime}(t)-1\right)$ on I
2) If $F$ is any primitive function of $f$ on I

$$
\left(f^{\prime \prime} e^{F}\right)^{\prime}=-\beta f^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}-1\right) e^{F}
$$

3) If $\alpha \in\{0,1\}$ and if there exists a point $t_{0} \in I$ such that $f^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha$, then

$$
f(t)=\alpha\left(t-t_{0}\right)+f\left(t_{0}\right) \text { for all } t \in I
$$

4) If $T_{+}=+\infty, f^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, and if $f$ is of constant sign at infinity, then

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

5) $T_{+}=+\infty$ And if $f^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, then $\lambda \in\{0,1\}$.
6) $T_{+}<+\infty$, then $f^{\prime \prime}$ and $f^{\prime}$ are unbouned near $T_{+}$.

Proof: Let's prove point 4:
Let us assume that $f$ is nonnegative at infinity. we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(f^{\prime \prime 2}+2 \beta\left(\frac{f^{\prime 3}}{3}-\frac{f^{\prime 2}}{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}=2 f^{\prime \prime \prime} f^{\prime \prime}+2 \beta f^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}-1\right) \\
=2\left(-f f^{\prime \prime}-\beta f^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}-1\right)\right) f^{\prime \prime}+2 \beta f^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}-1\right)=-2 f f^{\prime \prime 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $\left(f^{\prime \prime 2}+2 \beta\left(\frac{f^{\prime 3}}{3}-\frac{f^{\prime 2}}{2}\right)\right)$ is nonincreasing at infinity, and since $\left(\frac{f^{\prime 3}}{3}-\frac{f^{\prime 2}}{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\frac{\lambda^{3}}{3}-\right.$ $\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}$ ) as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, we see that $f^{\prime \prime 2}(t)$ has a limit as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, and this limit necessarily equals 0 . Since $f^{\prime}(t)$ has a finite limit as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

### 2.2. METHODS

We have used the shooting method and maple, Mathematica (Wolfram Mathematica 10, Version Number: 10.0.1.0.) for numerical results.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. RESULTS

## The $a<0$ CASES:

In order to obtain solutions of (1), (2), we consider for $c \geq 0, \beta<0, b<0$ and the following initial value problem on $\left[0, T_{c}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}+\beta f^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}-1\right)=0 \text { on }[0,+\infty) \\
f(0)=a \\
f^{\prime}(0)=b \\
f^{\prime \prime}(0)=\mathrm{c}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 3.1.1. If $f_{c}$ is a solution of the initial value problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$, then there exists a point $t_{0}$ satisfying $f^{\prime}{ }_{c}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}{ }_{c}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$.

Proof: Let us assume that $f_{c}$ is a convex solution of $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ on $\left[0, T_{c}\right)$. Hence, from $f_{c}^{\prime}(0)=b<0$ and thanks to Proposition 2.1.4 (Item (2)), we have $f^{\prime \prime}{ }_{c}>0$ on $\left[0, T_{c}\right.$ ). Thus $f_{c}^{\prime}$ is bounded on $\left[0, T_{c}\right.$ ). Hence from Proposition 2.1.4 (Items (5) and (6)), we have $T_{c}=+\infty$ and $f^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Consequently $f_{c}$ is a non-positive convex solution of $P(a, b, 0)$ on $[0,+\infty)$, and

$$
f^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{c}+f_{c} f^{\prime \prime}{ }_{c}=-\beta{f^{\prime}}_{c}\left(f^{\prime}{ }_{c}-1\right)>0,
$$

So, $f_{c}$ is non-positive convex super-solution of the Blasius equation which contradicts Proposition 2.1.2.

Proposition 3.1.2. The boundary value problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 0)$ has no convex solution.
Proof: This results from the previous lemma.
Proposition 3.1.3. The boundary value problem $P(a, b, 0)$ has no non-positive convexconcave solution.

Proof: Let us assume that $f_{c}$ is a non-positive convex-concave solution, let $t_{1}$ be the point of $[0,+\infty)$ such that $f^{\prime \prime}{ }_{c}>0$ on $\left[0, t_{1}\right)$, and $f^{\prime \prime}{ }_{c}<0$ on $\left(t_{1},+\infty\right)$. Hence, $f^{\prime}{ }_{c}$ is strictly increasing on $\left[0, t_{1}\right)$, strictly decreasing on $\left(t_{1},+\infty\right)$. And since $f^{\prime}{ }_{c}(+\infty)=0$ and $f^{\prime}{ }_{c}(0)=$ $b<0$, for all $t>t_{1}, 0<f^{\prime}{ }_{c}(t)<1$ because $f^{\prime}{ }_{c}\left(t_{1}\right)<1$ by Proposition 2.1.4 (Item 2). We have

$$
f^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{c}+f_{c} f^{\prime \prime}{ }_{c}=-\beta{f^{\prime}}_{c}\left(f^{\prime}{ }_{c}-1\right)<0 \text { on }\left(t_{1},+\infty\right) .
$$

Then $f_{c}$ is non-positive concave sub-solution of the Blasius equation, and this contradicts Proposition 2.1.3.

Lemma 3.1.4. Let us assume that $\mathrm{b}<\beta<0$, $\mathrm{a}<0$ and let $f_{c}$ be a solution on [ $0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$. If there exists $\mathrm{t}_{1} \in\left[0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ such that $f^{\prime \prime}{ }_{c}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)=0$, then $f_{c}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)>0$.

Proof: From

$$
H_{c}(t)=f_{c}^{\prime \prime}(t)+f_{c}(t)\left(f_{c}^{\prime}(t)-\beta\right),
$$

we have

$$
H_{c}^{\prime}(t)=(1-\beta) f_{c}^{\prime 2}(t) \geq 0
$$

Then H is nondecreasing on $\left[0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ and

$$
H(0)=c+a(b-\beta)>0 .
$$

So $H_{c}(t)>0$. We have

$$
H_{c}\left(t_{1}\right)=f_{c}\left(t_{1}\right)\left(f_{c}^{\prime}{ }_{c}\left(t_{1}\right)-\beta\right) .
$$

by Proposition 2.1.4 (Item 2), we have $0<f^{\prime}{ }_{c}\left(t_{1}\right)<1$ and $\mathrm{b}<\beta<0$, then

$$
\left(f_{c}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\beta\right)>0
$$

So $f_{c}\left(t_{1}\right)>0$.

Proposition 3.1.5. Let $\alpha=-\sqrt{\frac{1-b^{2}}{\beta-2 b}}$ if $b \in(-\infty,-1], 2 b<\beta<0$ and $a<0$ (resp. $b<\beta<$ $0, \mathrm{~b} \in(-1,0]$ and $\mathrm{a} \in(-\infty, \alpha])$. Then there does not exist c , such that $f_{c}$ is a solution of the problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 1)$.

Proof: Let us assume that $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is a solution of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$. Then there exists $\mathrm{t}_{*} \in\left[0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ such that $f_{c}\left(\mathrm{t}_{*}\right)=0$. Let

$$
K_{c}(t)=f_{c}(t) f_{c}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} f_{c}^{\prime 2}(t)+f_{c}^{2}(t)\left(f_{c}^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \beta\right) .
$$

Then

$$
K_{c}^{\prime}(t)=(2-\beta) f_{c}(t) f_{c}^{\prime 2}(t) .
$$

For all $t \in\left[0 . t_{*}\right)$, we get $K_{c}^{\prime}(t)<0$, and then

$$
2 a c-b^{2}+a^{2}(2 b-\beta)>-f_{c}^{\prime 2}(t)
$$

We put:

$$
p(a)=a^{2}(\beta-2 b)+b^{2}-1
$$

If $b \in(-\infty,-1], p(a)>0$, then $f^{\prime}{ }_{c}\left(t_{*}\right)>1$. The same results are obtained where $\mathrm{b} \in(-1,0]$ and $\mathrm{a} \in(-\infty, \alpha]$.

Theorem 3.1.6. Let $2 \mathrm{~b}<\beta<0$ and $\mathrm{a}<0$.

1) The boundary value problem $P(a, b, 0)$ has no convex solution on $[0,+\infty)$.
2) If $b \leq-1$ or $b \in(-1,0]$ and $a \in(-\infty, \alpha]$, then any solution of the initial problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ with $c \geq 0$ is a convex solution of the boundary value problem $P(a, b,+\infty)$.

Proof: The first result follows from Lemma 3.1.1, from which we have obtained that $f_{c}$ is a solution of the initial value problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ such that $f^{\prime}{ }_{c}$ tends to 0,1 or $+\infty$, as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Also, we have shown that $f_{c}$ is not a solution convex of $P(a, b, 0)$.

The second result follows from Lemma 3.1.1, and Lemma 3.1.3 gives that the boundary value problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 0)$ has no non-positive convex-concave solution, and Proposition 3.1.5 shows that $f_{c}$ is not a solution of the problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 1)$. So, we have that $f_{c}$ is a solution convex of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b},+\infty)$.

## The $a>0$ CASES:

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $b<0$ and $a>0$, and consider the solution $f_{c}$ of the initial value problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ on the right maximal interval of existence $\left[0, T_{c}\right)$.

Proposition 3.1.7. Any solution of $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ changes monotony.
Proof: See [1].
Lemma 3.1.8. If $\mathrm{c}>\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{b}-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$, let $\mathrm{t}_{1} \in(0 .+\infty)$ be the point where $f_{c}$ changes monotony at then $f_{c}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)>0$.

Proof: Let

$$
K_{c}(t)=f_{c}(t) f_{c}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime 2}{ }_{c}(t)+{f_{c}}^{2}(t)\left(f_{c}^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \beta\right),
$$

let $\mathrm{t}_{*} \in\left[0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ and let $\mathrm{t}_{*}<\mathrm{t}_{1}$ such that $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{*}\right)=0, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}>0$ on $\left[0, \mathrm{t}_{*}\right)$. Then

$$
K_{c}^{\prime}(t)=(2-\beta) f_{c}(t) f_{c}^{\prime 2}(t)>0 \text { on }\left[0, t_{*}\right)
$$

We have

$$
K(0)=a c-\frac{b^{2}}{2}+a^{2}\left(b-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)>0
$$

Then $K>0$ on $\left[0, \mathrm{t}_{*}\right)$ and

$$
K\left(t_{*}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime 2}{ }_{c}\left(t_{*}\right)<0
$$

a clear contradiction.
Proposition 3.1.9. If $c>\frac{b^{2}}{2 a}-a\left(b-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$, then the change of the convexity is above the axis of ox.

Proof: This results from the previous Lemma and by Proposition 2.1.4 (Item 2).
Lemma 3.1.10. If $b \in\left[a^{2}-\sqrt{a^{4}-a^{2} \beta}, 0\right)$ and suppose that $f_{c}$ is a solution of the problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ which changes the monotony at the point $t_{1} \in[0,+\infty)$. Then $f_{c}\left(t_{1}\right)>0$.

Proof: Let

$$
K_{c}(t)=f_{c}(t) f_{c}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} f_{c}^{\prime 2}(t)+f_{c}^{2}(t)\left(f_{c}^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \beta\right) .
$$

Let $t_{*} \in\left[0, T_{c}\right)$ and let $t_{*}<t_{1}$ such that $f_{c}\left(t_{*}\right)=0, f_{c}>0$ on $\left[0, t_{*}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{c}^{\prime}(t)=(2-\beta) f_{c}(t) f_{c}^{\prime 2}(t)>0 . \text { on }\left[0, t_{*}\right) . \\
K(0)=a c-\frac{b^{2}}{2}+a^{2}\left(b-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)>0
\end{gathered}
$$

because

$$
p(a)=a c-\frac{b^{2}}{2}+a^{2}\left(b-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)>0 .
$$

If $b \in\left[a^{2}-\sqrt{a^{4}-a^{2} \beta}, 0\right)$, then $K>0$ on $\left[0, t_{*}\right)$ and

$$
K\left(t_{*}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} f_{c}^{\prime 2}\left(t_{*}\right)<0
$$

which is a contradiction.
Proposition 3.1.11. If $b \in\left[a^{2}-\sqrt{a^{4}-a^{2} \beta}, 0\right)$, then the change of the convexity is above the axis of Ox.

Proof: As a consequence of the Lemma 3.1.10, there is $f_{c}$ is a solution of the problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ which changes the monotony to the point $t_{1} \in[0,+\infty)$, and from Proposition 2.1.4 (Item 2)

$$
\left(f_{c}^{\prime \prime} e^{F}\right)^{\prime}=-\beta f_{c}^{\prime}\left(f_{c}^{\prime}-1\right) e^{F}<0
$$

because $\forall t>t_{1}, 0<f_{c}{ }^{\prime}(t)<1$. Thus, we have found the change of the convexity.
The following results for $b \in\left[a^{2}-\sqrt{a^{4}-a^{2} \beta}, 0\right)$.
Lemma 3.1.12. There is no value of c such that $f_{c}$ is a solution of the problem $P(a, b, 1)$.

Proof: Suppose that $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the convex-concave solution of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$, then $\exists \mathrm{t}_{0} \in[0,+\infty)$ such that, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime \prime}>0$ on $\left[0, \mathrm{t}_{0}\right), \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}$ increasing on $\left[0, \mathrm{t}_{0}\right), \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime \prime}<0$ on $\left[\mathrm{t}_{0},+\infty\right], \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}$ decreasing on $\left[\mathrm{t}_{0},+\infty\right]$ and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)=0$. On the other hand, we know that $0<\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)<1$ because

$$
\left(f_{c}^{\prime \prime} e^{F}\right)^{\prime}=-\beta f_{c}^{\prime}\left(f_{c}^{\prime}-1\right) e^{F}<0
$$

on [0.1], so $f_{c}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{F}}$ decreasing on [0.1], and $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ decreasing on $\left(\mathrm{t}_{0},+\infty\right]$. Thus, $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ does not tend to 1 as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

Remark 3.1.13. If $f_{c}$ is a convex-concave solution of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$, then $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ tend to 0 or $-\infty$ as $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proposition 3.1.14. The problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ does not admit a convex-concave solution such that $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ tends to $\mathrm{l} \in[-\infty, 0)$ as $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof: Suppose that $f_{c}$ is a convex-concave solution, then $\exists \mathrm{t}_{1} \in[0,+\infty), f_{c}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)=0$ and $f_{c}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)>0 . \exists \mathrm{t}_{3}>\mathrm{t}_{1} \in[0,+\infty), f_{c}\left(\mathrm{t}_{3}\right)=0$ and $f_{c}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{t}_{3}\right)<0$.

Let

$$
H(t)=f_{c}^{\prime \prime}(t)+f_{c}(t)\left(f_{c}^{\prime}(t)-\beta\right)
$$

and

$$
H^{\prime}(t)=(1-\beta){f_{c}^{\prime 2}}^{2}(t)>0, H\left(t_{1}\right)=f_{c}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\beta f_{c}\left(t_{1}\right)>0
$$

then $H>0$ on $\left[t_{1},+\infty\right)$ but

$$
H\left(t_{3}\right)=f_{c}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{3}\right)<0
$$

which is a contradiction.
Lemma 3.1.15. Any convex-concave solution $f_{c}$ of the initial value problem $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$ on the right maximal interval of existence $\left[0 ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right.$ ) is a convex-concave solution of the boundary value problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 0)$ on the right maximal interval of existence $[0,+\infty)$.

Proof: A mere consequence of the previous proposition.
Theorem 3.1.16. Let $b \in\left[a^{2}-\sqrt{a^{4}-a^{2} \beta}, 0\right)$ and $c>\frac{b^{2}}{2 a}-a\left(b-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$.

1) The boundary value problem $P(a, b, 1)$ has no convex-concave solution on $[0,+\infty)$.
2) All solution of the initial problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ with $c \geq 0$ is a convex-concave solution of the boundary value problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 0)$.

Proof: Let $b \in\left[a^{2}-\sqrt{a^{4}-a^{2} \beta}, 0\right)$ and $c>\frac{b^{2}}{2 a}-a\left(b-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$.
1)The first result follows from Lemma 3.1.8, Proposition 3.1.9, Lemma 3.1.10, and Proposition 3.1.11 which give the change of the convexity, and Lemma 3.1.12 gives that $f_{c}$ is not a convex-concave solution of Problem P(a, b, 1).
2) The second result follows from Lemma 3.1.14 and Lemma 3.1.15. Indeed, Lemma 3.1.14 shows that the problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ does not admit a convex-concave solution such that $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ tends to $\mathrm{l} \in[-\infty, 0)$ as $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow+\infty$, and Lemma 3.1.15 then demonstrates that any solution of the initial problem $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$ with $\mathrm{c} \geq 0$ is a convex-concave solution of the boundary value problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 0)$.

Lemma 3.1.17. Let $b \in\left[a^{2}-\sqrt{a^{4}-a^{2} \beta}, 0\right)$ and $c>\frac{b^{2}}{2 a}-a\left(b-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$. All solutions of the initial problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ are positive.

Proof: From Lemma 3.1.10, we have shown that $f_{c}$ is a solution of Problem $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$ which changes the monotony at the point $\mathrm{t}_{1} \in[0,+\infty)$. Then $f_{c}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)>0$, and from Lemma 3.1.12, Proposition 3.1.14, Lemma 3.1.15, and Theorem 3.1.16 we have that $f_{c}$ is a convex-concave solution of the boundary value problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 0)$. So, $f_{c}$ always remains above the axis of Ox. Accordingly, $f_{c}$ is positive.

### 3.2. NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS

In this section, Problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ is numerically solved using a shooting algorithm of Mathematica and Maple. In Table 1, we give some selected values of the initial conditions and $\beta$. We find that the numerical results for various values of the shooting parameter are compatible with the results of Theorem 3.1.6 (see Figs. 1-4).

Table 1. Related values of $\boldsymbol{c}>0$.

| a | b | $\beta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -4 | -1.5 | -2.5 |
| -4 | -0.05 | -0.01 |
| -0.9 | -0.02 | -0.01 |
| -7 | -0.05 | -0.01 |



Figure 1. Solution with $[a=-7, b=-0.9, \beta=$


Figure 3. Solution with $[a=-0.9, b=-0.5, \beta=$ -0.01]


Fig(I)
Figure 2. Solution with $[\mathrm{a}=-4, \mathrm{~b}=-0.05, \mathrm{c}=$


Fig(IV)
Figure 5. Solution with $[a=-4, b=-1.5, c=$ $0.5, \beta=-2.5]$

### 3.3. DISCUSSION

From what we have obtained in our results, we establish two essential results with $a<0$ :
$A-1)$ The boundary value problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 0)$ has no convex solution on $[0,+\infty)$.
2) If $b \leq-1$ or $b \in(-1,0]$ and $a \in(-\infty, \alpha]$ then all solutions of the initial problem $P_{i}(a, b, c)$ with $c \geq 0$ are convex solutions of the boundary value problem $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b},+\infty)$.
B - For $\mathrm{a}>0$, we have obtained:
Any solution of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$ change monotony and for some associated conditions on $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ we have:
1 - The change the monotone above the axis ox $\left(c>\frac{b^{2}}{2 a}-a\left(b-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right.$ ).
2 - If $b \in\left[a^{2}-\sqrt{a^{4}-a^{2} \beta}, 0\right)$, then the change of the convexity is above the axis of ox.
3 - If $\mathrm{b} \in\left[\mathrm{a}^{2}-\sqrt{\mathrm{a}^{4}-\mathrm{a}^{2} \beta}, 0\right)$, then $f_{c}$ is a convex-concave solution of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$, then $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
C - With the algortithm of Mathematica and maple, we find that the numerical results for various values of the shooting parameter are compatible with the results of Theorem 3.1.6.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

For $a$ and $b$ sufficiently small. In Table 2, we give some selected values of the initials conditions for $a<0, b<\beta<0$ (see Figs. 5-8).

Table 2. Related values of $b, c$ and $\beta=-0.01, a=-10^{11}$.

| Table 2. Related values of $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}=-\mathbf{0 . 0 1}, \boldsymbol{a}=-\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{b}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | b |
| 1.5 | -0.02 |
| -0.4863 | -0.05 |
| -0.49 | -0.05 |
| 0.6 | -0.05 |
| 1.46 | -0.05 |



Figure 5. Solution with $\left[a=-\frac{1}{100000000000}, b=\right.$
$-0.05, \mathrm{c}$ assez petit positif, $\beta=-0.01$ ]


Figure 6. Solution with $\left[a=-\frac{1}{100000000000}, b=\right.$ $-0.05, c=30, \beta=-0.01$ ]


Figure 7. Interpretation of the graph of $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$. Plot $\left[y^{1}[t] V . s s,\{t, 0,30\}\right]$


Figure 8. Interpretation of the graph of $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{c}}{ }^{\prime}$. Plot $\left[y^{2}[t] V . s s,\{t, 0,170\}\right]$

The graph of the function $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ shows that the solution $f_{c}$ becomes convex-concave afterwards.

According to the graphs of Table 2, one concludes that:

- If $a, b, \beta$ are sufficiently small negative numbers, and if :
- $\quad c$ is sufficiently small positive, then the convex-concave function $f_{c}$ and $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ tends to 0 as t tends to $T_{c}=+\infty$.
- $\quad c$ is sufficiently large positive, then the convex-concave function $f_{c}$ and $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ tends to $+\infty$ as t tends to $T_{c} \leq+\infty$.
- $\quad c$ is satisfiable, then the convex-concave function $f_{c}$ and $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ tends to 0 as t tends to $T_{c}=+\infty$.
- If $b, \beta$ are sufficiently large negative numbers, $a$ is sufficiently small negative, $c$ is sufficiently large positive, then $f_{c}$ convex and $f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ tends to $+\infty$ as t tends to $T_{c}<+\infty$.
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