ORIGINAL PAPER BICOMPLEX BALANCING AND BICOMPLEX LUCAS-BALANCING QUATERNIONS

DURSUN TASCI¹

Manuscript received: 11.09.2020; Accepted paper: 15.12.2020; Published online: 30.03.2021.

Abstract. In this paper, bicomplex balancing quaternions and bicomplex Lucasbalancing quaternions was defined. Moreover we give some properties involving these sequences. Finally, a different way to obtained the n th terms of these sequence is stated using the determinant of tridiagonal matrix whose entries are bicomplex balancing quaternions and bicomplex Lucas-balancing quaternions.

Keywords: bicomplex balancing; bicomplex balancing quaternions; bicomplex Lucas-Balancing quaternions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The bicomplex numbers are defined by the basis $\{1, i, j, ij\}$, where *i*, *j* and *ij* satisfy the following properties:

 $i^2 = -1$, $j^2 = -1$, ij = ji

A bicomplex number q can be expressed as follows:

$$q = q_1 + iq_2 + jq_3 + ijq_4$$
$$q = (q_1 + iq_2) + j(q_3 + iq_4)$$

where q_1, q_2, q_3 and q_4 are reel numbers.

Also the set of bicomplex numbers can be expressed by a basis $\{1, i, j, ij\}$ as

$$K = \{q = q_1 + iq_2 + jq_3 + ijq_4 : q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 \in IR\}.$$

A set of bicomplex numbers K is a real vector space with the addition and scalar multiplication operations. In addition, the vector space with the properties of scalar multiplication and the product of the bicomplex numbers is a commutative algebra. Again the bicomplex numbers form a commutative ring with unity which contain the complex numbers. For more details about this type numbers [1-2].

Quaternions were formally introduced by W.R. Hamilton in 1843. In [3] D. Tasci and N.F. Yalcin studied Fibonacci p-quaternions. Again in [4], D. Tasci studied k-Jacobsthal and k-Jacobsthal Lucas quaternions. In [5] F.T. Aydın studied bicomplex k- Fibonacci quaternions. Bicomplex numbers, just like quaternions, are a generalization of complex

or

¹ Gazi University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Ankara, Turkey. E-mail: <u>dtasci@gazi.edu.tr</u>.

numbers of entities specified by four real numbers. But quaternions are non-commutative, whereas, bicomplex numbers and bicomplex quaternions are commutative. An other difference is that, quaternions form a division algebra, but bicomplex quaternions do not form a division algebra.

Bicomplex numbers were introduced by C. Segre in 1892 [6]. Further G. B. Price, the bicomplex numbers gave in his book on multicomplex spaces and functions [7].

In recent years it has been stated that several properties of quantum mechanics can be generalized to the bicomplex numbers; the Schrödinger equation for a particle in one dimension was generalized [8]. Further the fractal structures of these numbers are studied [9]. In [10], Horadam introduced the Fibonacci quaternions sequence.

Behera and Panda introduced the concept of balancing numbers [11]. They defined a balancing number n as a solution of Diophantine equation. A positive integer n is called balancing number if

$$1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 1) = (n + 1) + (n + 2) + \dots + (n + r)$$

for some natural number r. Here r is called the balancer corresponding to the balancing number n. For example 6 and 35 are balancing numbers with balancers 2 and 14, respectively. Again some authors proved that the balancing numbers fulfil the following recurrence relation:

$$B_{n+1} = 6B_n - B_{n-1}, n \ge 1$$

where $B_0=0$ and $B_1=1$.

Panda [12] studied several fascinating properties of balancing numbers calling the positive square root of $8x^2+1$, a Lucas- balancing number for each balancing number x. All balancing numbers x and corresponding Lucas-balancing numbers y are positive integer solutions of Diophantine equation $8x^2 + 1 = y^2$. Balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers share the same linear recurrence $x_{n+1} = 6x_n - x_{n-1}$, while initial values of balancing numbers are $x_0=0$, $x_1=1$ and for Lucas-balancing numbers $x_0=1$, $x_1=3$. Ray studied some Diophantine equations involving balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers [13-16].

We denote the n *th* balancing and Lucas-balancing numbers by B_n and C_n , respectively.

The sequences $\{B_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy the following recurrence relations:

$$B_{n+1} = 6B_n - B_{n-1}, (n \ge 1), B_0 = 0, B_1 = 1$$
 (1)

$$C_{n+1} = 6C_n - C_{n-1}, (n \ge 1), C_0 = 1, C_1 = 3$$
 (2)

We note that the Binet-like formulas for balancing and Lucas- balancing numbers are

$$B_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$$
 and $C_n = \frac{\alpha^n + \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$ (3)

respectively. We remark that $\alpha = 3 + \sqrt{8}$ and $\beta = 3 - \sqrt{8}$.

2. BICOMPLEX BALANCING QUATERNIONS

Definition 1. The bicomplex balancing quaternions are defined by

$$QB_n = B_n + iB_{n+1} + jB_{n+2} + ijB_{n+3}$$
(4)

where B_n is the *n* th balancing number.

Lemma 2. For $n \ge 1$, we have

$$QB_{n+1} + QB_{n-1} = 6QB_n.$$

Proof: By the Definition 1, we write

$$QB_{n+1} + QB_{n-1} = (B_{n+1} + B_{n-1}) + i(B_{n+2} + B_n) + j(B_{n+3} + B_n) + ij((B_{n+4} + B_n))$$

Now, considering (1), we have

$$B_{n+1} + B_{n-1} = 6B_n.$$

So we write

$$QB_{n+1} + QB_{n-1} = 6B_n + i(6B_{n+1}) + j(B_{n+2}) + ij((B_{n+3}))$$

= 6(B_n + iB_{n+1} + jB_{n+2} + ijB_{n+3})
= 6QB_n.

Thus the proof is complete.

Considering the Lemma 2, we obtain the following recurrence relation:

$$QB_{n+1} = 6QB_n - QB_{n-1}, (n \ge 1)$$
(5)

with the initial values, $QB_0 = i + 6j + 35ij$ and $QB_1 = 1 + 6i + 35j + 204ij$.

Theorem 3. (The Binet-like formula for bicomplex balancing quaternions) For $n \ge 0$

$$QB_n = \frac{a\alpha^n - b\beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$$

where a and b are bicomplex quaternions defined by $a=1+i\alpha+j\alpha^2+ij\alpha^3$ and $b=1+i\beta+j\beta^2+ij\beta^3$, respectively. Moreover α and β are the roots of the equation r^2 - 6r + 1 = 0, i.e., $\alpha = 3+\sqrt{8}$ and $\beta = 3-\sqrt{8}$.

Proof: Using the Binet formula for balancing numbers, i.e.,

$$B_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$$

we find

$$\begin{split} &QB_n = B_n + iB_{n+1} + jB_{n+2} + ijB_{n+3} \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}\right) + i\left(\frac{\alpha^{n+1} - \beta^{n+1}}{\alpha - \beta}\right) + j\left(\frac{\alpha^{n+2} - \beta^{n+2}}{\alpha - \beta}\right) + ij\left(\frac{\alpha^{n+3} - \beta^{n+3}}{\alpha - \beta}\right) \\ &= \frac{(1 + i\alpha + j\alpha^2 + ij\alpha^3)\alpha^n - (1 + i\beta + j\beta^2 + ij\beta^3)\beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \\ &= \frac{a\alpha^n - b\beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 4. The generating function of the bicomplex balancing quaternions is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} QB_n x^n = \frac{QB_0 + (QB_1 - 6QB_0)x}{1 - 6x + x^2},$$

where $QB_0 = i + 6j + 35ij$ and $QB_1 = 1 + 6i + 35j + 204ij$.

Proof: Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} QB_n x^n = QB_0 + QB_1 x + QB_2 x^2 + \dots + QB_n x^n + \dots$$

be the generating function of the bicomplex balancing quaternions. Since

$$6xf(x) = 6QB_0x + 6QB_1x^2 + 6QB^2x^3 + \dots + QB_{n-1}x^n + \dots$$

and

$$x^{2}f(x) = QB_{0}x^{2} + QB_{1}x^{3} + QB^{2}x^{4} + \dots + QB_{n-2}x^{n} + \dots$$

and considering the recurrence relation for bicomplex balancing quaternions we obtain

$$(1 - 6x + x^2)f(x) = QB_0 + (QB_1 - 6QB_0)x$$

or

$$f(x) = \frac{QB_0 + (QB_1 - 6QB_0)x}{(1 - 6x + x)}$$

So the theorem is proved.

Theorem 5. (The Catalan-like identity for bicomplex balancing quaternions)

$$QB_{n-r}QB_{n+r} - (QB_n)^2 = -(ab)B_r^2$$

where n, $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, n > r and B_r is the *r* th balancing number.

Proof: Using the Binet-like formula for the bicomplex balancing quaternions and taking into account that $\alpha\beta = 1$, we have

$$QB_{n-r}QB_{n+r} - (QB_n)^2 = \left(\frac{a\alpha^{n-r} - b\beta^{n-r}}{\alpha - \beta}\right) \left(\frac{a\alpha^{n+r} - b\beta^{n+r}}{\alpha - \beta}\right) - \left(\frac{a\alpha^n - b\beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}\right)^2$$
$$= -\frac{ab}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} (\alpha\beta)^n \left[\left(\frac{\beta^r}{\alpha^r} + \frac{\alpha^r}{\beta^r}\right) - 2 \right]$$
$$= -\frac{ab}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \left(\frac{\alpha^{2r} + \beta^{2r} - 2}{(\alpha\beta)^r}\right)$$
$$= -\frac{ab}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} (\alpha^r - \beta^r)^2$$
$$= -(ab) \left(\frac{\alpha^r - \beta^r}{\alpha - \beta}\right)^2$$
$$= -(ab)B_r^2$$

Corollary 6. (Cassini's-like identity for bicomplex balancing quaternions)

$$QB_{n-r}QB_{n+r} - (QB_n)^2 = -(ab).$$

Proof: Note that for r = 1, the equality (6) gives Cassini's identity. Further we remark that $B_1^2 = 1$.

Theorem 7. (D'ocagne's-like identity for bicomplex balancing quaternions) If m > n and $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then

$$QB_{\rm m}QB_{\rm n+1} - QB_{\rm m+1}QB_{\rm n} = -({\rm ab}) B_{\rm m-n}.$$

where B_{m-n} is (m-n) th balancing number.

Proof: Using the Binet-like formula for bicomplex balancing quaternions and $\alpha\beta = 1$, we have

$$QB_{m}QB_{n+1} - QB_{m+1}QB_{n} = \left(\frac{a\alpha^{m}-b\beta^{m}}{\alpha-\beta}\right) \left(\frac{a\alpha^{n+1}-b\beta^{n+1}}{\alpha-\beta}\right) - \left(\frac{a\alpha^{m+1}-b\beta^{m+1}}{\alpha-\beta}\right) \left(\frac{a\alpha^{m+1}-b\beta^{m+1}}{\alpha-\beta}\right)$$
$$= -(ab) \left[\frac{(\alpha\beta)^{n}}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}}\right] (-\alpha^{m-n}\beta - \beta^{m-n}\alpha + \alpha^{m-n}\alpha + \beta^{m-n}\beta)$$
$$= \frac{ab}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}} \left[\alpha^{m-n}(-\beta + \alpha) - \beta^{m-n}(\alpha - \beta)\right]$$
$$= \frac{ab}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}} (\alpha - \beta) \left[\alpha^{m-n} - \beta^{m-n}\right]$$
$$= (ab) \left(\frac{\alpha^{m-n}-\beta^{m-n}}{\alpha-\beta}\right)$$
$$= (ab)B_{m-n}.$$

So the proof is complete.

Now we give the summation formula for bicomplex balancing quaternions.

Theorem 8.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} QB_i = \frac{1}{4} [5QB_n - QB_{n-1} - (1 + i + 5j + 29ij)].$$

Proof: From Lemma 2, we know that

$$QB_n = 6QB_{n-1} + QB_{n-2}$$

So we write

Then summing the above equalities, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} QB_i = \frac{1}{4} [5QB_n - QB_{n-1} - 5QB_{-1} - QB_{-2}]$$

Now considering $QB_{-1} = -1 + j + 6ij$ and $QB_{-2} = -6 - i + ij$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} QB_i = \frac{1}{4} [5QB_n - QB_{n-1} - (1 + i + 5j + 29ij)]$$

Thus the theorem is proved..

Theorem 9. For the integer $n \ge 1$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \begin{bmatrix} QB_2 & QB_1 \\ QB_1 & QB_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} QB_{n+2} & QB_{n+1} \\ QB_{n+1} & QB_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof: (By the induction on n). If n = 1, then the result is obvious. We assume that it is true for n-1, i.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} QB_2 & QB_1 \\ QB_1 & QB_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} QB_{n+1} & QB_n \\ QB_n & QB_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By simple calculation using induction's hypothesis we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} QB_{2} & QB_{1} \\ QB_{1} & QB_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} QB_{2} & QB_{1} \\ QB_{1} & QB_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} QB_{n+1} & QB_{n} \\ QB_{n} & QB_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 6QB_{n+1} - QB_{n} & 6QB_{n} - QB_{n-1} \\ QB_{n+1} & QB_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} QB_{n+2} & QB_{n+1} \\ QB_{n+1} & QB_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

which ends the proof.

3. TRIDIAGONAL MATRIX WITH BICOMPLEX BALANCING QUATERNIONS

In this section, we give another way to obtain the n th term of the bicomplex balancing quaternion sequence as the computation of a tridiagonal matrix.

Theorem 10. [4] Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be any second order linear sequence defined recursively by the following ;

$$x_{n+1} = \mathbf{A}x_n + \mathbf{B}x_{n-1} \quad n \ge 1,$$

with $x_0 = C$, $x_1 = D$. Then for all $n \ge 0$

$$x_n = \begin{vmatrix} C & D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & B & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & A & B & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A & B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & A \end{vmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$$

Proposition 11. For all $n \ge 0$

$$QB_{n} = \begin{vmatrix} QB_{0} & QB_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 6 & -1 & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \cdots & 6 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \cdots & -1 & 6 \end{vmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}$$

Proof: In Theorem 10, consider A = 6, B = -1, $C = QB_0$ and $D = QB_1$, then the proof is immediately seen.

4. BICOMPLEX LUCAS-BALANCING QUATERNIONS

Definition 12. The bicomplex Lucas-balancing quaternions are defined by

$$QC_n = C_n + iC_{n+1} + jC_{n+2} + ijC_{n+3}$$
(7)

where C_n is the n th Lucas-balancing number.

Theorem 13. For $n \ge 1$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$QC_{n+1} + QC_{n-1} = 6QC_n.$$

Proof: Considering the equality (7) and

$$C_{n+1} = 6C_n - C_{n-1}$$
, $(n \ge 1)$

then the proof is immediately seen.

We note that by the Theorem 13, we obtain the following recurrence relation:

$$QC_{n+1} = 6QC_n - QC_{n-1}, (n \ge 1), n \ge 1$$

with the initial values $QC_0 = 1 + 3i + 17j + 99ij$ and $QC_1 = 3 + 17i + 99j + 577ij$.

Theorem 14. The Binet- like formula for bicomplex Lucas-balancing quaternions is

$$QC_n = \frac{a\alpha^n + b\beta^n}{2}$$

where $a = 1 + i\alpha + j\alpha^2 + ij\alpha^3$, $b = 1 + i\beta + j\beta^2 + ij\beta^3$ and $\alpha = 3 + \sqrt{8}$, $\beta = 3 - \sqrt{8}$.

Proof: Using the following Binet-like formula for the Lucas- balancing numbers

$$C_n = \frac{\alpha^n + \beta^n}{2}$$

and considering the equality (7), the proof is easily seen.

Theorem 15. The generating function for bicomplex Lucas-balancing quaternions is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} QC_n x^n = \frac{QC_0 + (QC_1 - 6QC_0)x}{1 - 6x + x^2}$$

Proof: The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 16. (The Catalan's formula for bicomplex Lucas-balancing quaternions) For n>r and $n,r\in\mathbb{Z}^+$, we have

$$QC_{n-r}QC_{n+r} - (QC_n)^2 = 2abB_r^2$$
(8)

where $a = 1 + i\alpha + j\alpha^2 + ij\alpha^3$ and $b = 1 + i\beta + j\beta^2 + ij\beta^3$ and B_r is the *r* th balancing number.

Proof: Using the Binet-like formula for the bicomplex Lucas-balancing quaternions, the proof is immediately seen.

Corollary 17. The Cassini's-like formula for bicomplex Lucas-balancing quaternions is

$$QC_{n-1}QC_{n+1} - (QC_n)^2 = 2ab$$
(9)

Proof: We note that for r = 1, the equality (8) gives the formula (9). Further we remark that $B_1^2=1$.

Theorem 18. (D'ocagne's-like identity for bicomplex Lucas-balancing quaternions) If m > n and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then

$$QC_mQC_{n+1} - QC_{m+1}QC_n = -8(ab)B_{m-n}$$

where B_{m-n} is (m-n)*th* balancing number.

Proof: Considering the Binet-like formula for bicomplex Lucas-balancing quaternions, the proof is easily seen.

Theorem 19. The following equalities are valid:

i)
$$2(QB_n)C_n - QB_{2n} = \frac{a-b}{2\sqrt{8}}$$

ii) $2(QC_n)B_n - QB_{2n} = \frac{b-a}{2\sqrt{8}}$

where $a = 1 + i\alpha + j\alpha^2 + ij\alpha^3$ and $b = 1 + i\beta + j\beta^2 + ij\beta^3$.

Proof: Using the following formulas

$$QB_n = \frac{a\alpha^n - b\beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}, \ B_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}, QC_n = \frac{a\alpha^n + b\beta^n}{2}, C_n = \frac{\alpha^n + \beta^n}{2}$$

and $\alpha\beta = 1$, the proof is immediately seen.

Proposition 20. For $n \ge 0$, we have

$$QC_{n} = \begin{vmatrix} QC_{0} & QC_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 6 & -1 & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 6 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 6 \end{vmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}$$

Proof: In Theorem 3.1 considering A = 1, B = -6, $C = QC_0$ and $D = QC_1$, then the proof is easily seen.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented new two sequences, these are bicomplex balancing quaternions and bicomplex Lucas- balancing quaternions. Moreover we obtained some formulas for example; Binet formula, Catalan formula, Cassini formula etc. Furthermore we presented n-th term of bicomplex balancing quaternion sequences as computation of a tridiagonal matrix.

REFERENCES

- [1] Luna- Elizarraras, M.E., Shapiro, M., Struppa, D.C., Vajiac, A., *Cubo A Mathematical Journal*, **44**(2), 61, 2012.
- [2] Hamilton, W.R., *Elements of quaternions*, Longmans, Green and Company, London, p. 45, 1866.
- [3] Tasci, D., Journal of Sciences and Arts, 17(3), 469, 2017.
- [4] Tasci, D., Yalcin, N.F., Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, 25(1), 245, 2015.
- [5] Aydin, T.F., Chaos Solutions and Fractals, 106, 147, 2018.
- [6] Segre, C., *Mathematische Annalen*, **40**(3), 413, 1892.
- [7] Price, G.B., Handbook of Electronic, M. Dekker, New York, p. 48, 1991.
- [8] Ray, P.K., Integers, 14, A8, 2014.
- [9] Ray, P.K., Bulletin of Parana's Mathematical Society, **31**(2), 161, 2013.
- [10] Horadam, A.F., Ulam Quarterly, 2(2), 23, 1993.
- [11] Behera, A., Panda, G.K., Fibonacci Quarterly, 37(2), 98, 1999.
- [12] Panda, G.K., Some fascinating properties of balancing numbers. In: Webb, W.A. (Ed.), *Congressus Numerantium*, Vol. 194 - Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Fibonacci Numbers and Their Applications, Utilitas Mathematica Pub., Winnipeg, p. 185, 2009.
- [13] Kiliç, E., Tasci, D., Haukkanen, P., Ars Combinatoria, 95, 383, 2010.
- [14] Ray, P.K., PhD Thesis *Balancing and cobalancing numbers*, Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, India, 2009.
- [15] Rochon, D., Fractals, 8(4), 355, 2000.
- [16] Rochon, D., Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, 14, 231, 2004.