

ON A CLASS OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTION

ABDOLREZA TEHRANCHI¹, M. WAGHEFI¹*Manuscript received: 19.03.2013; Accepted paper: 04.06.2013;**Published online: 15.06.2013.*

Abstract. In this paper, we study two subclasses $V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$ and $W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$ of holomorphic functions $f(z)$ in the open unit disk U associated with some holomorphic function $g(z)$. The object of the present paper is to drive some interesting conditions and necessary conditions for $f(z)$ belonging to the classes $V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$ and $W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$ and some results of Jack's Lemma [3].

Keywords: Holomorphic function, sufficient condition, necessary condition.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let A denote the class of functions analytic in the unit disk

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in U) \quad (1)$$

Definition 1.1. We say that $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$ if it satisfies

$$\left| z f''(z) - e^{i\alpha} \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| < \lambda \quad (z \in U) \quad (2)$$

for some real α ($-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi$), $\lambda > 1$, and for some $g(z) \in A$.

Definition 1.2. We say that $f(z) \in W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z} - z e^{i\alpha} g''(z) \right| < \lambda \quad (z \in U) \quad (3)$$

for some real α ($-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi$), $\lambda > 1$, and for some $g(z) \in A$.

¹ Islamic Azad University, Department of Mathematics, South Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran.
E-mail: abdolrezatehranchi@yahoo.com.

2. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS

To discuss our problem, we consider

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (z \in U) \quad (4)$$

with $f(z) \in A$ in (1) and $g(z) \in A$ in (4), we derive the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha} b_n| \leq \lambda - 1 \quad (5)$$

for some $\alpha (-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi)$, $\lambda > 1$, and for some $g(z) \in A$, then $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$.

Proof: For $f(z)$ in (1) and $g(z)$ in (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| z f''(z) - e^{i\alpha} \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| &= \left| z \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n z^{n-2} \right) - e^{i\alpha} \left(\frac{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n}{z} \right) \right| = \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n z^{n-1} - e^{i\alpha} \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \right) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha} b_n| |z|^{n-1} + |e^{i\alpha}| < \\ &< \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha} b_n| + 1 < \\ &< \lambda - 1 + 1 = \lambda \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$.

Example 2.2. For any function $g(z) \in A$, let us define function $f(z) \in A$ with

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{n(n-1)} e^{i\gamma} + e^{i\alpha} b_n \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots) \quad (6)$$

Then, clearly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha} b_n| &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\lambda-1}{n(n-1)} e^{i\gamma} + e^{i\alpha} b_n - e^{i\alpha} b_n \right| = (\lambda-1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} |e^{i\gamma}| = \\ &= (\lambda-1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} = (\lambda-1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \lambda - 1 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$.

Corollary 2.3. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)|a_n| - |b_n|| \leq \lambda - 1 \quad (7)$$

for some $\alpha (-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi)$, $\lambda > 1$, and for some $g(z) \in A$, with

$$\arg(a_n) - \arg(b_n) = \alpha \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots) \quad (8)$$

then $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$.

Proof: Since $\arg(a_n) - \arg(b_n) = \alpha$, we can write

$$\arg(a_n) = \varphi_n, \quad \arg(b_n) = \varphi_n - \alpha \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots \quad (9)$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha}b_n| &= |n(n-1)|a_n|e^{i\varphi_n} - e^{i\alpha}|b_n|e^{i(\varphi_n - \alpha)}| = \\ &= |n(n-1)|a_n|e^{i\varphi_n} - |b_n|e^{i\varphi_n}| = |(n(n-1)|a_n| - |b_n||e^{i\varphi_n}| = \\ &= |n(n-1)|a_n| - |b_n||e^{i\varphi_n}| = |n(n-1)|a_n| - |b_n| \end{aligned}$$

so that $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$.

Corollary 2.4. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sqrt{n^2(n-1)^2 - 2n(n-1)\cos\alpha + 1}|a_n| \leq \lambda - 1 \quad (10)$$

for some $\alpha (-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi)$, $\lambda > 1$, then $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; f)$.

Applying the same method for $f(z) \in W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$, we have

Theorem 2.5. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - e^{i\alpha}n(n-1)b_n| \leq \lambda - 1 \quad (11)$$

for some $\alpha (-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi)$, $\lambda > 1$, and for some $g(z) \in A$, then $f(z) \in W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$.

Example 2.6. For any function $g(z) \in A$, let us define function $f(z) \in A$ with

$$a_n = \frac{\lambda - 1}{n(n-1)} e^{i\gamma} + e^{i\alpha} n(n-1) b_n \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots) \quad (12)$$

Then, clearly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - e^{i\alpha} n(n-1) b_n| &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\lambda - 1}{n(n-1)} e^{i\gamma} + e^{i\alpha} n(n-1) b_n - e^{i\alpha} n(n-1) b_n \right| = \\ &= (\lambda - 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} |e^{i\gamma}| = (\lambda - 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} = (\lambda - 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \lambda - 1 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $f(z) \in W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$.

Corollary 2.7. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \| |a_n| - n(n-1) |b_n| \| \leq \lambda - 1 \quad (13)$$

for some $\alpha (-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi)$, $\lambda > 1$, and for some $g(z) \in A$, with

$$\arg(a_n) - \arg(b_n) = \alpha \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots) \quad (14)$$

then $f(z) \in W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$.

Corollary 2.8. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sqrt{n^2(n-1)^2 - 2n(n-1)\cos\alpha + 1} |a_n| \leq \lambda - 1 \quad (15)$$

for some $\alpha (-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi)$, $\lambda > 1$, then $f(z) \in W(\alpha, \lambda; f)$.

3. NECESSARY CONDITIONS

Next, we discuss some necessary conditions for $f(z)$ belonging to $V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$ and $W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$.

Theorem 3.1. If $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$ with

$$\arg(n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha} b_n) = (n-1)\varphi \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$$

then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha}b_n| \leq \lambda + \cos \alpha \tag{16}$$

Proof: Assume that $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$, then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|zf''(z) - e^{i\alpha} \frac{g(z)}{z}\right| &= \left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n z^{n-1} - e^{i\alpha} \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^{n-1}\right)\right| = \\ &= \left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha}b_n) z^{n-1} - e^{i\alpha}\right| = \left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha}b_n| e^{i(n-1)\varphi} z^{n-1} - e^{i\alpha}\right| < \lambda \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in U$. We take a point $z \in U$ such that $\arg(z) = -\varphi$. Then, we have $z^{n-1} = |z|^{n-1} e^{-i(n-1)\varphi}$. Therefore

$$\left|zf''(z) - e^{i\alpha} \frac{g(z)}{z}\right| = \left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha}b_n| |z|^{n-1} - e^{i\alpha}\right|$$

for all $z \in U$. This implies that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha}b_n| |z|^{n-1} - \cos \alpha < \lambda$$

for all $z \in U$. Therefore, letting $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - e^{i\alpha}b_n| \leq \lambda + \cos \alpha \quad \square$$

Taking $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.2. If $f(z) \in V(\alpha, \lambda; g)$ with

$$\arg(n(n-1)a_n - ib_n) = (n-1)\varphi \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$$

then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n(n-1)a_n - ib_n| \leq \lambda \tag{17}$$

For the class $W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. If $f(z) \in W(\alpha, \lambda; g)$ with

$$\arg(a_n - e^{i\alpha} n(n-1)b_n) = (n-1)\varphi \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$$

then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - e^{i\alpha} n(n-1)b_n| \leq \lambda + \cos \alpha$$

Corollary 3.4. If $f(z) \in W\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \lambda; g\right)$ with

$$\arg(a_n - in(n-1)b_n) = (n-1)\varphi \quad (n = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$$

then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - in(n-1)b_n| \leq \lambda$$

4. SOME RESULT OF JACK'S LEMMA

To discuss some result of Jack's lemma for $f(z)$, we recall here the following lemma due to Jack [1] or due to Miller and Mocanu [2], you can see also [4 - 6].

Lemma 4.1. Let $w(z)$ be holomorphic in U with $w(0) = 0$. If there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| \quad (18)$$

then $z_0 w'(0) = kw(0)$, where k is real and $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 4.2. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z} - ze^{i\alpha} g''(z) \right| < 2\lambda - 1 \quad (z \in U) \quad (19)$$

for some $\alpha (-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi)$, $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$, and for some $g(z) \in A$, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - e^{i\alpha} \int_0^z t g''(t) dt \right| < \lambda + 1 \quad (z \in U) \quad (20)$$

Proof: Let us define the function $w(z)$ in U by

$$\frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - e^{i\alpha} \int_0^z t g''(t) dt - 1 = \lambda w(z) \tag{21}$$

Then, clearly, $w(0) = 0$ and $w(z)$ is holomorphic in U . We want to prove that $w(z)$ satisfies $|w(z)| < 1$ in U . By differentiating both sides of (21) and do some calculation we obtain

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} - z e^{i\alpha} g''(z) = 1 + \lambda w(z) \left(1 + \frac{z w'(z)}{w(z)} \right)$$

and hence

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z} - z e^{i\alpha} g''(z) \right| = \left| 1 + \lambda w(z) \left(1 + \frac{z w'(z)}{w(z)} \right) \right| < 2\lambda - 1$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1$$

Applying Jack's lemma to $w(z)$ at a point z_0 , we can write

$$w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}, \quad z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z_0)}{z_0} - e^{i\alpha} z_0 g''(z_0) \right| &= |1 + \lambda e^{i\theta} (1 + k)| \geq \\ &\geq |\lambda(1 + k)| - 1 < 2\lambda - 1 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts our condition of the theorem. Thus, there is no point z_0 in U such that $|w(z_0)| = 1$. This implies that $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$. Therefore, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - e^{i\alpha} \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z t g''(t) dt \right| < \lambda + 1 \quad (z \in U) \quad \square$$

Corollary 4. 3. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z} - iz g''(z) \right| < 2\lambda - 1 \quad (z \in U)$$

for some $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - \frac{i}{z} \int_0^z t g''(t) dt \right| < \lambda + 1 \quad (z \in U)$$

Corollary 4.4. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\left| z f''(z) - e^{i\alpha} \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| < 2\lambda - 1 \quad (z \in U)$$

for some real $\alpha (-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi)$, $\lambda > 1$, and for some $g(z) \in A$, for some $g(z) \in A$, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z t f''(t) dt - e^{i\alpha} \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{g(t)}{t} dt \right| < \lambda + 1 \quad (z \in U) \quad (22)$$

Theorem 4.5. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} - e^{i\alpha} z g''(z) \right) > 1 - \frac{3}{4} \lambda \quad (z \in U) \quad (23)$$

for some real $\alpha (-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi)$, $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$, and for some $g(z) \in A$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - e^{i\alpha} \int_0^z t g''(t) dt \right) > 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad (z \in U) \quad (24)$$

Proof: Let us define the function $w(z)$ by

$$\frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - e^{i\alpha} \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z t g''(t) dt - 1 = \lambda \frac{w(z)}{1-w(z)} \quad (w(z) \neq 1)$$

Then, clearly, $w(0) = 0$ and $w(z)$ is holomorphic in U . By the definition for $w(z)$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - e^{i\alpha} \int_0^z t g''(t) dt = \lambda \frac{w(z)}{1-w(z)} + z \quad (25)$$

Differentiating both sides of (25) and do some calculation, we obtain

$$\frac{f(t)}{t} - e^{i\alpha} z g''(z) = 1 + \lambda \frac{w(z)}{1-w(z)} + \lambda \frac{zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))^2}$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(t)}{t} - e^{i\alpha} z g''(z) \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \lambda \frac{w(z)}{1-w(z)} + \lambda \frac{zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))^2} \right) > 1 - \frac{3}{4} \lambda$$

for all $z \in U$. If there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then by applying Jack's lemma at the point z_0 , we can write

$$w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}, \quad z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

This gives us that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} - e^{i\alpha} z g''(z) \right) = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(1 + \frac{k}{2} \right) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{4} \lambda$$

which contradicts our condition. Therefore, $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$ so that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{w(z)}{1-w(z)} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in U),$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt - e^{i\alpha} \int_0^z t g''(t) dt \right) > 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad (z \in U) \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.6. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(z f''(z) - e^{i\alpha} \frac{g(z)}{z} \right) > -\cos \alpha - \frac{3}{4} \lambda \quad (z \in U) \quad (26)$$

for some real α ($-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi$), $\lambda > 0$, and for some $g(z) \in A$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{z} \int_0^z t f''(t) dt - e^{i\alpha} \int_0^z \frac{g(t)}{t} dt + e^{i\alpha} \right) > -\cos \alpha - \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad (z \in U) \quad (27)$$

Acknowledgements: The author wish to thank Research Deputy of Islamic Azad University - South Tehran Branch For its Financial Support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jake, I.S., *J. London Math. Soc.*, **3**, 469, 1971.
- [2] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **65**, 289, 1978.
- [3] Ali Abubaker, A.A., Darus, M., *Revista Notas de Matematica*, **7**(2), 119, 2011.
- [4] Shiraishi, H., Owa, S., *Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math.*, **2**(1), 37, 2009.
- [5] Ochiai, K., Owa, S., Acu, M., *J. General Mathematics*, **13**(2), 73, 2005.
- [6] Shiraishi, H., Owa, S., *J. Studia Univ. "Babes-Bolyai", Mathematica*, **LV**(3), 27, 2010.