**ORIGINAL PAPER** 

# ON A PROPERTY OF THE SPLINE FUNCTIONS

ADRIAN BRANGA<sup>1</sup>, EUGEN CONSTANTINESCU<sup>2</sup>

Manuscript received: 16.07.2011; Accepted paper: 11.09.2011; Published online: 01.12.2011

**Abstract.** The definition of the spline functions as solutions of a variational problem is presented in the preliminaries of this paper and are shown some theorems regarding to the existence and uniqueness. The main result of this article consists of a property verified by the spline functions in connection with the spaces of functions used.

*Keywords:* spline functions, variational problems, best approximation. **2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 41A15, 41A50, 41A52.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

**Definition 1.** Let  $E_1$  be a real linear space,  $(E_2, \|\cdot\|_2)$  a normed real linear space,  $T: E_1 \to E_2$  an operator and  $U \subseteq E_1$  a non-empty set. The problem of finding the elements  $s \in U$  which satisfy

$$\|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{s})\|_{2} = \inf_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}} \|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u})\|_{2},\tag{1}$$

is called the general spline interpolation problem, corresponding to the set U.

A solution of this problem, provided that exists, is named general spline interpolation element, corresponding to the set U.

The set U is called interpolatory set.

In the sequel we assume that  $E_1$  is a real linear space,  $(E_2, (.,.)_2, \|.\|_2)$  is a real Hilbert space,  $T: E_1 \to E_2$  is a linear operator and  $U \subseteq E_1$  is a non-empty convex set.

**Lemma 1.**  $T(U) \subseteq E_2$  is a non-empty convex set.

The proof follows directly from the linearity of the operator T, taking into account that U is a non-empty set.

**Theorem 1.** (Existence Theorem) If  $T(U) \subseteq E_2$  is a closed set, then the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to U) has at least a solution.

The proof is shown in the papers [1, 4].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu, Department of Matematics, 550012, Sibiu, Romania. E-mail: <u>adrian\_branga@yahoo.com</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu, Department of Matematics, 550012, Sibiu, Romania. E-mail: <u>egnconst68@yahoo.com</u>

For every element  $s \in U$  we define the set

$$U(s) \coloneqq U - s. \tag{2}$$

**Lemma 2.** For every element  $s \in U$  the set U(s) is non-empty  $(0_{E_1} \in U(s))$ .

The result follows directly from the relation (2).

**Theorem 2.** (Uniqueness Theorem) If  $T(U) \subseteq E_2$  is closed set and exists an element  $s \in U$  solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to U), such that U(s) is linear subspace of  $E_1$ , then the following statements are true

i) For any elements  $s_1$ ,  $s_2 \in U$  solutions of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to U) we have

$$s_1 - s_2 \in Ker(T) \cap U(s); \tag{3}$$

ii) The element  $s \in U$  is the unique solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to U) if and only if

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{T}) \cap \mathsf{U}(\mathbf{s}) = \{\mathbf{0}_{\mathsf{E}_1}\}.$$
(4)

A proof is presented in the papers [2, 5].

### **2. MAIN RESULTS**

**Lemma 3.** An element  $s \in U$ , such that U(s) is linear subspace of  $E_1$ , is solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to U) if and only if

$$(T(s), T(\tilde{u}))_2 = 0, \ (\forall) \ \tilde{u} \in U(s).$$
(5)

A proof is shown in the papers [2, 3].

For every element  $s \in U$  we consider the set  $S(s) \coloneqq \{ v \in E_1 | (T(v), T(\tilde{u}))_2 = 0, (\forall) \ \tilde{u} \in U(s) \}.$ (6)

#### **Proposition 1.** For every element $s \in U$ the set S(s) has the following properties

- i) S(s) is non-empty set  $(0_{E_1} \in S(s))$ ;
- ii) S(s) is linear subspace of  $E_1$ ;
- iii)  $\text{Ker}(T) \subseteq S(s)$ .

For a proof see the paper [2].

**Lemma 4.** An element  $s \in U$ , such that U(s) is linear subspace of  $E_1$ , is solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to U) if and only if

$$s \in S(s). \tag{7}$$

The result is a consequence of Lemma 3.

**Lemma 5.** For every element  $s \in U$  the set T(S(s)) has the following properties

- i) T(S(s)) is non-empty set  $(0_{E_2} \in T(S(s)))$ ;
- ii) T(S(s)) is linear subspace of  $E_2$ ;
- iii)  $T(S(s)) \subseteq (T(U(s)))^{\perp}$ .

A proof is shown in the paper [1].

**Theorem 3.** If an element  $s \in U$ , such that U(s) is linear subspace of  $E_1$  is solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to U), then the following inequality is true

$$\|T(s) - T(v)\|_{2} \le \|T(u) - T(v)\|_{2}, \ (\forall) \ u \in U, \ (\forall) \ v \in S(s),$$
(8)

with equality if and only if T(u) = T(s), i.e.  $u - s \in Ker(T)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in U, v \in S(s)$  be arbitrary elements.

Using the properties of the inner product 
$$(.,.)_2$$
 we deduce  
 $||T(u) - T(v)||_2^2 = ||(T(u) - T(s)) + (T(s) - T(v))||_2^2 =$   
 $= ||T(u) - T(s)||_2^2 + 2(T(u) - T(s), T(s) - T(v))_2 + ||T(s) - T(v)||_2^2.$  (9)

As 
$$u \in U$$
 and  $s \in U$  it obtains  $u - s \in U(s)$ , therefore  
 $T(u - s) \in T(U(s)).$ 
(10)

Because  $s \in U$ , from Proposition 1 ii) it follows that S(s) is linear subspace of  $E_1$ . On the other hand, as  $s \in U$ , such that U(s) is linear subspace of  $E_1$ , is solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to U), using Lemma 4 we deduce  $s \in S(s)$ . Also, we have  $v \in S(s)$ . Consequently, it follows that  $s - v \in S(s)$ , hence

$$T(s-v) \in T(S(s)). \tag{11}$$

Taking into account that  $s \in U$  and using Lemma 5 iii), the formula (11) implies that

$$T(s-v) \in \left(T(U(s))\right)^{\perp}.$$
(12)

From relations (10), (12) and using the property of the orthogonality we deduce  

$$(T(u-s), T(s-v))_2 = 0.$$
 (13)

As T is a linear operator, the relation (13) can be written as  

$$(T(u) - T(s), T(s) - T(v))_2 = 0.$$
 (14)

Substituting the formula (14) in the equality (9) it follows that

$$||T(u) - T(v)||_2^2 = ||T(u) - T(s)||_2^2 + ||T(s) - T(v)||_2^2.$$
(15)

The relation (15) implies

$$||T(s) - T(v)||_2 \le ||T(u) - T(v)||_2,$$
(16)

with equality if and only if  $||T(u) - T(s)||_2 = 0$ , equivalent T(u) = T(s), i.e.  $u - s \in Ker(T)$ .

**Theorem 4.** If an element  $s \in U$ , such that U(s) is linear subspace of  $E_1$  is solution of the general spline interpolation problem (1) (corresponding to U), then

$$\|T(s) - T(v)\|_{2} = \inf_{u \in U} \|T(u) - T(v)\|_{2}, \ (\forall)v \in S(s),$$
(17)

i.e. T(s) is the unique element in T(U) of the best approximation for T(v),  $(\forall)v \in S(s)$ .

This result follows directly from Theorem 3.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Branga, A., *Contribuții la Teoria Funcțiilor Spline, Teză de Doctorat*, Universitatea Babeș- Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.
- [2] Branga, A., *Aproximare și Optimizare prin Funcții Spline*, Casa Cărții de Știință, Cluj-Napoca, 2009.
- [3] Branga, A., Acu, M., *Filomat*, **24**(4), 121, 2010.
- [4] Micula, Gh. Funcții Spline și Aplicații, Editura Tehnică, București, 1978.
- [5] Micula, Gh., Micula, S., *Handbook of Splines*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1999.